

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



MOLECULAR PHYLOGENETICS AND EVOLUTION

Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 44 (2007) 1346–1351

www.elsevier.com/locate/ympev

Molecular evidence and phylogenetic affiliations of *Wolbachia* in cockroaches $\stackrel{\text{\tiny{}^{\diamond}}}{=}$

Short communication

Parag A. Vaishampayan^a, Dhiraj P. Dhotre^a, Rakeshkumar P. Gupta^a, Pritesh Lalwani^a, Hemant Ghate^b, Milind S. Patole^a, Yogesh S. Shouche^{a,*}

^a National Centre for Cell Science, University of Pune, Ganeshkhind, Pune 411007, India ^b Department of Zoology, Modern College, Shivaji Nagar, Pune 411005, India

Received 29 September 2006; revised 2 January 2007; accepted 4 January 2007 Available online 19 January 2007

1. Introduction

Wolbachia is a genus of obligate intracellular bacteria in the Anaplasmataceae family of the α -Proteobacteria that are transmitted through the egg cytoplasm and manipulate reproduction in their hosts in various ways (Werren, 1997). Wolbachia are associated with cytoplasmic incompatibility, parthenogenesis, feminization and male killing in arthropods and these aspects have been adequately reviewed recently (Charlat et al., 2003). Wolbachia are considered as potent evolutionary force, especially since these also harbor active bacteriophages like WO-A and WO-B, leading even to speciation in arthropods through effects such as reproductive isolation caused by cytoplasmic incompatibility (Shoemaker et al., 1999; Hurst and Werren, 2001; Charlat et al., 2003; Bordenstein and Wernegreen, 2004; Jaenike et al., 2006). Wolbachia are presently known from a large variety of arthropods and a few species of nematode worms.

Among arthropods, *Wolbachia* are known to be widely distributed in insects; the other groups being mites, spiders and terrestrial Crustacea (or Isopoda). In a survey of *Wolbachia* in different groups of insects from Panama, Werren et al. (1995) showed that over 16% of the species are infected. In a subsequent survey of temperate North American insects, Werren and Windsor (2000) found over 19% of the insect species to be infected with this endosymbionts. A further report by Jeyaprakash and Hoy (2000), using 'long PCR' modification, demonstrated that *Wolbachia* is present in over 76% of the arthropods tested. A survey of Japanese

Corresponding author. Fax: +91 20 25692259. *E-mail address:* yogesh@nccs.res.in (Y.S. Shouche).

1055-7903/\$ - see front matter © 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2007.01.003 Lepidoptera (9 families and 49 species) revealed that almost 45% of Lepidoptera are infected (Tagami and Miura, 2004), while a similar survey of Malaysian ants indicated that 25 out of 50 (i.e., 50%) ant species are harboring *Wolbachia* (Wenseleers et al., 1998).

Apart from the above two groups (orders) of insects, many other insect groups are known to carry *Wolbachia*: thus it is known in wingless insects like springtails (Vandekerckhove et al., 1999), leafhoppers, thrips and whiteflies (Nirgianaki et al., 2003), termites (Bandi et al., 1997; Lo et al., 2002; Bordenstein and Rosengaus, 2005), beetles (Werren and Windsor, 2000; Nirgianaki et al., 2003); odonates or dragon and damselflies (Thipaksorn et al., 2003), and crickets (Komoda et al., 2000). In dipteran insects, especially mosquitoes, *Wolbachia* infections are known for a long time (Hertig and Wolbach, 1924 as cited by Bordenstein (2003)) and much work on drosophilids and tephritid flies have revealed that the latter are sometimes infected with as many as five distinct strains of *Wolbachia* (Jamnongluk et al., 2002).

Since *Wolbachia* are so widely spread in arthropods, especially in insects, and since insects form a vast proportion of biodiversity, it is no wonder that *Wolbachia* are perhaps the most abundant and globally distributed bacterial endosymbionts, as has been recently pointed out (Bordenstein, 2003).

In spite of global distribution, there is only a single formally named species, namely *Wolbachia pipientis* from *Culex* mosquito. Due to different divergent lineages that were subsequently discovered, it is now customary to refer *Wolbachia* to one or the other "Supergroup" (see Lo et al., 2002). There are now eight different supergroups of *Wolbachia* labeled A–H: of these A and B supergroups are present in arthropods (Werren et al., 1995a), C and D are found only in filarial nematode worms so far (Bandi et al., 1998),

^{*} P.A. Vaishampayan and D.P. Dhotre have contributed equally to this paper.

1999; Czarnetzki and Tebbe, 2004), F exists in termites, crickets (Panaram and Marshall, in press), bedbugs (Sakamoto et al., 2006), louse (Covacin and Barker, 2007) and filarial parasite, Mansonella ozzardi (Casiraghi et al., 2001; Lo et al., 2002). Subsequently a lineage of Wolbachia outside of A-F supergroups was discovered in Australian spiders by Rowley et al. (2004) and was placed in new supergroup G, while another lineage in termites of the genus Zootermopsis is placed in supergroup H (Bordenstein and Rosengaus, 2005). In spite of these, there are some Wolbachia which are not placed in any existing supergroups, for example those from cat flea Ctenocephalides felis, and filarial nematode Dipetalonema gracile (Casiraghi et al., 2005). The phylogeny of all these groups is being investigated by many and it is still incompletely understood; however all these Wolbachia form a monophyletic group.

In spite of enormous work and large number of insect species sampled, the only cockroach (*Percoblatta* sp.) that was tested turned out to be without Wolbachia infection (Werren and Windsor, 2000). There is no report of Wolbachia infection in any species of cockroach so far. As a part of work to sample relatively different tropical insect taxa to detect *Wolbachia*, we have started screening various insects from India. Earlier, in India Wolbachia has been shown in some insect pests of rice (Behera et al., 2001), in Wuchereria bancrofti microfilariae (Hoti et al., 2003) and insect pests of sericulture (Prakash and Puttaraju, 2006).

In this short communication, we intend to show that 2/5 species of cockroach species tested are positive for Wolbachia. Secondly, these Wolbachia harbor WO phage. Thirdly, we also show that in 16S, *ftsZ* based phylogeny, these cockroach Wolbachia are closer to F supergroup while in wsp based phylogeny, the placement is unresolved. Further, we also show that these cockroaches also co-harbor Blattabacterium. We used multigene approach by PCR amplifying three genes because 16S rRNA gene does not adequately resolve fine scale phylogeny in Wolbachia strains and a faster gene like cell cycle gene *ftsZ* and even faster evolving surface-protein gene *wsp*, are being used to improve phylogeny (Zhou et al., 1998) and this approach has also been advocated by others (see Bordenstein and Rosengaus, 2005). The trees drawn are unrooted as Casiraghi et al. (2005) and Bordenstein and Rosengaus (2005) have categorically stated that most outgroups like Anaplasma marginale and Ehrlichia ruminantium are extremely divergent from Wolbachia and hence those have not been useful in resolving basal relationship among supergroups (see also Lo et al., 2002 for additional discussion).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Insects

Cockroaches were collected during 2005 from Pune, India. Five species of cockroaches (namely Pycnoscelus surinamensis, Periplaneta americana, Blattella sp., Blattella nipponica, and Supella longipalpa), males and females (minimum five each, except for P. surinamensis in which only females are known) from each species, were collected for this study. Small cockroaches were subjected to whole-body extraction (excluding wings), whereas large cockroaches were dissected to obtain gonads (some amount of fat was always associated) in PBS (137mM NaCl, 7.8mM Na₂HPO₄, 2.7mM KCl, and 1.47 mM KH2PO4, pH 7.4). DNA was extracted from tissue using the QIAamp[®]DNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN[®]) following the manufacturer's instructions.

All PCR products were purified using PEG–NaCl method (Sambrook et al., 1989) and were sequenced with respective primers using an automated sequencer (3730 DNA analyser, ABI, Hitachi).

2.2. Detection of Wolbachia

PCR was performed in a $25 \,\mu$ L reaction mixture using three primer sets separately for amplification of Wolbachia ftsZ gene, ftsZ F (5'-GTT GTC GCA AAT ACC GAT GC-3') and ftsZ R (5'-CTT AAG TAA GCT GGT ATA TC-3') (Werren et al., 1995a), Wolbachia outer surface protein (wsp) wsp81 (5'-TGG TCC AAT AAG TGA TGA AGA AAC-3') and wsp691R (5'-AAA AAT TAA ACG CTA CTC CA-3') (Braig et al., 1998), 16S rRNA gene of Wolbachia 16wol F (5'-TTG TAG CCT GCT ATG GTA TAA CT-3') and 16wol R (5'-GAA TAG GTA TGA TTT TCA TGT-3') (O'Neill et al., 1992). Reactions contained 2 µL of the template DNA lysate, 10 pmol of each primer, 1.5 mM dNTP, and 0.5 µL of Taq (NEB) with a final MgCl₂ concentration of 1.5 mM in a total volume of 25 µL. PCR cycling conditions for all reactions were 5 min at 94 °C, 35 cycles (30 s at 94 °C, 30 s at 55 °C, 1 min at 72 °C) and 5 min at 72°C.

Samples were also subjected to PCR using primers specific for insect mitochondrial 16S rDNA, 16s insF (5'-TTA CGC TGT TAT CCC TTA-3') and 16s insR (5'-CGC CTG TTT ATC AAA AAC AT-3') (Kambhampati, 1995). The PCR conditions were an initial denaturation step of 94 °C for 3 min followed by 35 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 50 °C for 1 min, and 72 °C for 1.5 min. Homologous sequences from GenBank were searched using BLAST at NCBI.

2.3. Bacteroidetes symbionts

Primer pair which includes ChR (5'-GTG GAT CAC TTA ACG CTT TCG-3') (Zchori-Fein and Perlman, 2004.) to target Bacteroidetes symbionts including Blattabacterium and 16F27 (5'-CCA GAG TTT GAT CMT GGC TCA G-3') (Weisburg et al., 1991) to amplify 16S rRNA gene from all known bacteria was used to obtain a larger segment of the 16S rRNA gene using the parameters described as below. PCR parameters were: denaturation for 2 min at 95 °C, followed by 30 cycles of 30 s at 92 °C, 30 s at 57 °C, 30 s at 72 °C and a 5-min final extension at 72 °C.

2.4. Detection of Wolbachia phage (WO)

The putative phage capsid protein gene (*orf*7) encoded on the prophage WO was PCR amplified with the primers phgWOF (5'-CCC ACA TGA GCC AAT GAC GTC TG-3') and phgWOR (5'-CGT TCG CTC TGC AAG TAA CTC CAT TAA AAC-3') as listed by Masui et al. (2000). The PCR conditions were 94 °C for 3 min followed by 35 amplification cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 57 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 1 min, and finally 72 °C for 5 min.

2.5. Phylogenetic analysis

Wolbachia 16S rRNA, *ftsZ, wsp*, WO phage *orf7* gene and *Blattabacterium* 16S rRNA gene sequences generated in this study were aligned with homologous sequences deposited in GenBank comprising of supergroups A–H. All sequences were aligned unambiguously and manually edited using ClustalW (Higgins et al., 1994) and DAMBE (Xia and Xie, 2001). All uninformative sites were removed from further analysis. Phylogenetic analyses were performed using Bayesian inference, maximum likelihood (ML), and maximum parsimony (MP) methods for each dataset.

For Bayesian inference of phylogeny, the program MrBayes 3.0 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001) was used. The analysis for each gene consisted of 3,000,000 generations. An appropriate model of sequence evolution for each data set were chosen via Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) using program MrModeltest 2.2 (Nylander, 2002). The selected models were as follows: (GTR+I+G) for *Wolbachia* 16S rRNA, *ftsZ*, *wsp* and *Blattabacterium* 16s rRNA gene fragments; (HKY+G) model for WO phage *orf7* gene. Trees were sampled for every 100 generations. First 3000 trees (10%) were discarded as burn in. Bayesian posterior probabilities were calculated using 50% majority rule consensus. Three independent runs were performed for each dataset.

ML and MP analysis was performed using PAUP* 4.0b10 (Swofford, 1998). For ML analysis appropriate model was selected using AIC in Modeltest 3.7 (Posada and Crandall, 1998). The models used for each dataset were as follows: (GTR+I+G) for *Wolbachia* 16S rRNA and WO phage *orf7* gene fragment; (TIM+I+G) for *Wolbachia ftsZ* gene fragment; (TVM+I+G) for *Wolbachia wsp* and *Blattabacterium* 16s rRNA gene fragment. ML heuristic search was performed with 10 random taxon addition replicates, tree-bisection and reconnection (TBR) branch swapping algorithm and 100 bootstrap replicates. MP heuristic search was performed using branch and bound search, 10 random taxon additions per replicate, TBR branch swapping algorithm and 100 bootstrap replicates with all characters weighted equally.

3. Results and discussion

All the sequences generated during this study have been deposited in the GenBank database and Accession numbers are as follows: for *wsp* gene, *Blattella* sp. (DQ354917, EF193197) and *S. longipalpa* (DQ354918, EF193198), for *ftsZ* gene, *Blattella* sp. (DQ457400, DQ457401) and *S. longipalpa* (DQ457402, DQ457403) for 16S rRNA gene, *Blattella* sp. (DQ354919, EF193196) and *S. longipalpa* (DQ354920, EF193195) for *Blattabacterium* 16S rRNA (EF423763 to EF423768) and finally for WO phage *orf7*gene *Blattella* sp (EF193194) and *S. longipalpa* (DQ354921). Only two cockroaches from each species tested positive for *Wolbachia*. Thus, the prevalence was 2/10 for each species tested. For WO infection, only one individual/specimen of each species yielded sequences. We did not check for multiple infections of *Wolbachia*.

PCR assay using primers for *wsp* and *ftsZ* gave expected amplification product of 650 bp and 1000 bp, respectively, from *Blattella* sp. and *S. longipalpa*. The other cockroach spp. such as *B. nipponica*, *Periplaneta americana*, *P. surinamensis* showed no PCR amplification products. These results suggested that only two of the five cockroach species are infected with *Wolbachia*. These results were further confirmed by PCR amplification using primers for *Wolbachia* specific 16S rRNA. PCR products of expected size of 900 bp were obtained from *Blattella* sp. and *S. longipalpa* but no amplification product was obtained from the other species of cockroaches.

PCR amplification product of 415 bp was obtained with primers specific for insect mitochondrial 16S rRNA from all DNA samples. The nucleotide sequences generated with these PCR products helped in further taxonomic confirmation of cockroach species involved. PCR amplification was observed in all the species of cockroaches tested using primers designed to target *Bacteroidetes* symbionts. All the sequences exhibited high sequence similarity with *Blattabacterium* as shown in Fig. 1. It is evident from the placement of cockroach endosymbionts under study that these are *Blattabacterium* as they are clustering with the known *Blattabacterium* sequences in the database.

In Fig. 2, we show the phylogenetic relationship of cockroach *Wolbachia* based on 16S, *ftsZ* and *wsp* genes. 16S rRNA gene sequence of *Wolbachia* from *Blattella* and *Supella* that we determined in our study showed 98% similarity to the *Wolbachia* endosymbiont of termite *Kalotermes flavicollis* (Y11377) (Lo et al., 2002).

In the phylogenetic analysis with the 16S rRNA genes, independent of the method for tree reconstruction, the two cockroach *Wolbachia* sequences clustered as a sister clade in supergroup F. Phylogenetic trees with the *ftsZ* genes, independent of the tree construction method, also led to similar tree topologies as found with the 16S rRNA genes. In all the trees, cockroach *Wolbachia* sequences are close to the supergroup F clade with high bootstrap and posterior probability support.

Phylogenetic trees inferred from the *wsp* gene however showed different topology with respect to placement of cockroach *Wolbachia*. Here, it is close to supergroup A. One possible explanation can be that because there is only



Fig. 1. Unrooted phylogenetic relationships between *Blattabacterium* from our cockroaches samples (bold) and those from other cockroaches in the Gen-Bank, based on 16S rRNA. Names are those of the host species. The topology was inferred using the program Mr.Bayes, with the GTR+G+I model of sequence evolution for each gene. Levels of confidence for each node are shown in the form of posterior probabilities (PP; Bayesian analysis). Trees inferred from maximum likelihood and maximum parsimony using PAUPb10 program were similar though less resolved (data not shown). Bootstrap values obtained from maximum likelihood and maximum parsimony are shown under PP. Accession numbers are shown after each species name in parenthesis. Scale bar represents substitutions per site.

one *wsp* sequence from supergroup F (bedbug). Another possible reason is *wsp* has hyper-variable regions.

The expected 394 bp amplification product was observed from DNA samples of S. longipalpa and Blattella sp., indicating presence of WO phage in these samples. The species that did not have Wolbachia were also negative for WO phage. WO phage phylogeny based on orf7 gene placed the cockroach Wolbachia in WO-B group clade (Fig. 2). Presence of bacteriophage in endosymbionts is an interesting fact recently discovered and this is the first ever report of WO phage in any supergroup F Wolbachia. It has been pointed out by Bordenstein and Wernegreen (2004) that mobile elements like WO can figure prominently in promoting recombination, just as it does in Escherichia coli bacteriophages. Strains of distantly related A and B group Wolbachia which co-inhabit single host share identical orf7 sequences indicating extensive lateral transfer and, therefore, it has been stated that active phage in Wolbachia can be a genetic tool to engineer Wolbachia for biocontrol (Bordenstein et al., 2006). Role of mobile elements in evolution is also reviewed by Hurst and Werren (2001).

Wolbachia of supergroup F are known in divergent groups such as termites, bedbugs, crickets, louse and nematodes but there is no satisfactory explanation how nematodes and insects come to possess related Wolbachia. Recently, while discussing the phylogeny of Wolbachia in F group, Casiraghi et al. (2005) have stated that clustering of *Wolbachia* spp. From insect and nematode host suggest that an independent horizontal transfer of the bacteria between these host phyla might have occurred recently. Transfer of *Wolbachia* can happen between predators and prey, as indicated by closely related *Wolbachia* strains in parasitoids and their hosts (Werren et al., 1995a) but similar transfer cannot be expected in nematodes and insects. Is it likely that nematode *Wolbachia* were passed on to vector insects like mosquito that are known to transmit filariasis?

All the samples of cockroach species were found to harbour *Blattabacterium*. Thus two cases of double infection with *Wolbachia* and *Blattabacterium* were observed in roaches *Blattella* sp. and *S. longipalpa*.

It is now widely recognized that symbiotic microorganisms play a crucial role in the ecology and evolution of their hosts and the discovery *Wolbachia* in cockroaches will further help understanding the phylogeny of *Wolbachia*.

To summarize: the present investigation reports (1) presence of *Wolbachia* in cockroaches for the first time (2) first detection of WO phage in *Wolbachia* strains from two different cockroach species, viz *S. longipalpa and Blattella* sp. (3) coexistence of intracellular bacteria, *Wolbachia* and *Blattabacterium* in cockroaches. (4) Phylogenetic analysis revealing the fact that *Wolbachia* from cockroaches forms a sister clade with supergroup F.



Fig. 2. Unrooted phylogenetic relationships between *Wolbachia* from cockroaches (bold) and those infecting other organisms, based on 16S rRNA, *ftsZ* and *wsp* genes. WO phage refers to *orf7* gene of WO phage from there specific host. Names are those of the host species. The topology was inferred using the program Mr.Bayes, with following nucleotide substitution models.(GTR+I+G) for *Wolbachia* 16S rRNA, *ftsZ*, *wsp* gene fragments; (HKY+G) for WO phage *orf7* gene fragment. Levels of confidence for each node are shown in the form of posterior probabilities (PP; Bayesian analysis). Trees inferred from maximum likelihood and maximum parsimony using PAUPb10 program were similar though less resolved (data not shown.) Bootstrap values obtained from maximum likelihood and maximum parsimony are shown after PP, respectively (only for supergroup clade support). Accession numbers are shown after each species name in parentheses. Supergroups are shown to the right side of the host species names (except for WO phage). Scale bar represents substitutions per site.

Acknowledgments

We thank Department of Biotechnology, Government of India, for financial support and The Director, NCCS, for providing the necessary infrastructure. Thanks are also due to the authorities of Modern College for facilities. We also wish to thank two anonymous referees for helpful comments and to Prof. David Severson for critical comments on earlier draft of this paper.

References

- Bandi, C., Sironi, M., Nalepa, C.A., Corona, S., Sacchi, L., 1997. Phylogeneticaly distant intracellular symbionts in termites. Parasitologica 39 (1), 71–75.
- Bandi, C., Anderson, T.J.C., Genchi, C., Blaxter, M., 1998. Phylogeny of Wolbachia in filarial nematodes. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 265, 2407–2413.
- Behera, L., Sahu, S.C., Rajamani, S., Mohan, M., 2001. Molecular evidence of *Wolbachia* in rice insects. Curr. Sci. 81 (10), 1299–1300.
- Bordenstein, S.R., 2003. Symbiosis and the origin of species. In: Bourtzis, K., Miller, T. (Eds.), Insect Symbiosis. CRC Press, New York.

- Bordenstein, S.R., Marshall, M.L., Fry, A.J., Kim, U., Wernegreen, J.J., 2006. The Tripartite associations between bacteriophage, *Wolbachia* and arthropods. PLoS Pathog. 2 (5), e43. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0020043.
- Bordenstein, S.R., Rosengaus, R.B., 2005. Discovery of a novel Wolbachia supergroup in Isoptera. Curr. Microbiol. 51, 393–398.
- Bordenstein, S.R., Wernegreen, J.J., 2004. Bacteriophage flux in endosymbionts (*Wolbachia*): infection frequency, lateral transfer, and recombination rates. Mol. Biol. Evol. 21 (10), 1981–1991.
- Braig, H.R., Zhou, W., Dobson, S., O'Neill, S.L., 1998. Cloning and characterization of a gene encoding the major surface protein of the bacterial endosymbiont *Wolbachia pipientis*. J. Bacteriol. 180, 2373–2378.
- Casiraghi, M., Anderson, T.J.C., Bandi, C., Bazzocchi, C., Genchi, C., 2001. A phylogenetic analysis of filarial nematodes: comparison with the phylogeny of *Wolbachia* endosymbionts. Parasitology 122, 93–103.
- Casiraghi, M., Bordenstein, S.R., Baldo, L., Lo, N., Beninati, T., Wernegreen, J.J., Werren, J.H., Bandi, C., 2005. Phylogeny of *Wolbachia pipientis* based on gltA, groEL and *ftsZ* gene sequences: clustering of arthropod and nematode symbionts in the F supergroup, and evidence for further diversity in the *Wolbachia* tree. Microbiology 151, 4015–4022.

Charlat, S., Hurst, G.D.D., Mercot, H., 2003. Evolutionary consequences of *Wolbachia* infections. Trends Genet. 19 (4), 217–223.

- Covacin, C., Barker, S., 2007. Supergroup F Wolbachia bacteria parasitise lice (Insecta: Phthiraptera). Parasitol. Res. 100 (3), 479–485.
- Czarnetzki, A.B., Tebbe, C.C., 2004. Detection and phylogenetic analysis of *Wolbachia* in Collembola. Environ. Microbiol. 6 (1), 35–44.

Hertig, M., Wolbach, S.B., 1924. Studies on Rickettsia-like microorganisms in insects. J. Med. Res. 44, 329–374.

- Higgins, D., Thompson, J., Gibson, T., Thompson, J.D., Higgins, D.G., Gibson, T.J., 1994. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight 2 matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res. 22, 4673–4680.
- Hoti, S.L., Sridhar, A., Das, P.K., 2003. Presence of *Wolbachia* endosymbionts in Microfilariae of *Wuchereria bancrofti* (Spirurida: Onchocercidae) from different geographical regions in India. Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz, Rio de Janeiro 98 (8), 1017–1019.
- Huelsenbeck, J.P., Ronquist, F., 2001. MRBAYES 3: Bayesian inference of phylogenetic trees. Bioinformatics 17, 754–755.
- Hurst, G.D.D., Werren, J.H., 2001. The role of selfish genetic elements in eukaryotic evolution. Nat. Rev. Genet. 2, 597–606.
- Jaenike, J., Dyer, K.A., Cornish, C., Minhas, M.S., 2006. Asymmetrical reinforcement and *Wolbachia* infection in *Drosophila*. PLoS Biol. 4 (10), e325.
- Jamnongluk, W., Kittayapong, P., Baimai, V., O'Neill, S.L., 2002. Wolbachia infections of Tephritid fruit flies: Molecular evidence for five distinct strains in a single host species. Curr. Microbiol. 45, 255–260.
- Jeyaprakash, A., Hoy, M.A., 2000. Long PCR improves *Wolbachia* DNA amplification: *wsp* sequences found in 76% of sixty-three arthropod species. Insect Mol. Biol. 9, 393–405.
- Kambhampati, S., 1995. A phylogeny of cockroaches and related insects based on DNA sequence of mitochondrial ribosomal RNA genes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 92, 2017–2020.
- Komoda, S., Masui, S., Ishikawa, H., Sasaki, T., 2000. Wolbachia infection and cytoplasmic incompatibility in the cricket *Teleogryllus taiwanemma*.
- Lo, N., Casiraghi, M., Salati, E., Bazzocchi, C., Bandi, C., 2002. How many Wolbachia supergroups exist? Mol. Biol. Evol. 19 (3), 341–346.
- Masui, S., Kamoda, S., Sasaki, T., Ishikawa, H., 2000. Distribution and evolution of bacteriophage WO in *Wolbachia*, the endosymbiont causing sexual alterations in arthropods. J. Mol. Evol. 51, 491–497.
- Nirgianaki, A., Banks, G.K., Frohlich, D.R., Veneti, Z., Braig, H.R., Miller, T.A., Bedford, I.D., Markham, P.G., Savakis, C., Bourtzis, K., 2003.

Wolbachia infections of the whitefly *Bemisia tabaci*. Curr. Microbiol. 47, 93–101.

- Nylander, J.A.A., 2002. MrModeltest 2.2, Evolutionary biology Centre, Uppsala University.
- O'Neill, S.L., Giordano, R., Colbert, A.M., Karr, T.L., Robertson, H.M., 1992. 16S rRNA phylogenetic analysis of the bacterial endosymbionts associated with cytoplasmic incompatibility in insects. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA 89, 2699–2702.
- Panaram, K., Marshall, J.L., 2006. F supergroup *Wolbachia* in bush crickets: what do patterns of sequence variation reveal about this supergroup and horizontal transfer between nematodes and arthropods? Genetica, In Press.
- Posada, D., Crandall, K.A., 1998. Modeltest: testing the model of DNA substitution. Bioinformatics 14, 817–818.
- Prakash, B.M., Puttaraju, H.P., 2006. Wolbachia endosymbiont in some insect pests of sericulture. Curr. Sci. 90 (12), 1671–1674.
- Rowley, S.M., Raven, R.J., McGraw, E.A., 2004. Wolbachia pipientis in Australian spiders. Curr. Microbiol. 49, 208–214.
- Sakamoto, J.M., Feinstein, J., Rasgon, J.L., 2006. Wolbachia Infection in the Cimicidae: museum specimens as an untapped resource for endosymbiont surveys. App. Environ. Microbiol. 72 (5), 3161–3167.
- Sambrook, J., Fritsch, E.F., Maniatis, T., 1989. Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, second ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York.
- Shoemaker, D.D., Katju, V., Jaenike, J.J., 1999. Wolbachia and the evolution of reproductive isolation between Drosophila recens and Drosophila subquinaria. Evolution 53, 1157–1164.
- Swofford, D.L., 1998. PAUP: phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (and other methods), version 4.0b10. Sinauer, Sunderland, MA.
- Tagami, Y., Miura, K., 2004. Distribution and prevalence of *Wolbachia* in Japanese population of Lepidoptera. Insect Mol. Biol. 13 (4), 359–364.
- Thipaksorn, A., Jamnongluk, W., Kittayapong, P., 2003. Molecular evidence of *Wolbachia* infection in natural populations of tropical odonates. Curr. Microbiol. 47 (4), 314–318.
- Vandekerckhove, T.T., Watteyene, S., Willems, A., Swings, J.G., Mertens, J., Gillis, M., 1999. Phylogenetic analysis of the 16S rDNA of the cytoplasmic bacterium *Wolbachia* from the novel host *Folsomia candida* (Hexapoda, Collembola) and its implications for Wolbachial taxonomy. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 180 (2), 279–286.
- Weisburg, W.G., Barns, S.M., Pelletier, D.A., Lane, D.J., 1991. 16S ribosomal DNA amplification for phylogenetic study. J. Bacteriol. 173 (2), 697–703.
- Wenseleers, T., Ito, F., Van, Borm, S., Huybrechts, R., Volckaert, F., Billen, J., 1998. Widespread occurrence of the micro-organism *Wolbachia* in ants. Proc. Biol. Sci. 265 (1404), 1447–1452.
- Werren, J.H., Windsor, D., Guo, L., 1995. Distribution of *Wolbachia* among neotropical arthropods. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 262, 197–204.
- Werren, J.H., Windsor, D.M., 2000. Wolbachia infection frequencies in insects: evidence of a global equilibrium? Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 267, 1277–1285.
- Werren, J.H., 1997. Biology of Wolbachia. Ann. Rev. Entomol. 42, 587-609.
- Werren, J.H., Zhang, W., Guo, L.R., 1995a. Evolution and phylogeny of *Wolbachia*: reproductive parasites of arthropods. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B 261, 55–71.
- Zchori-Fein, E., Perlman, S.J., 2004. Distribution of the bacterial symbiont Cardinium in Arthropods. Mol. Ecol. 13 (7), 2009–2016.
- Xia, X., Xie, Z., 2001. DAMBE: software package for data analysis in molecular biology and evolution. J. Hered. 92 (4), 371–373.
- Zhou, W., Rousset, F., O'Neil, S., 1998. Phylogeny and PCR-based classification of *Wolbachia* strains using *wsp* gene sequences. Proc. Biol. Sci. 265 (1395), 509–515.