Geographic Distribution 15 Historical Units, Faunal Areas, Endemism, and General Patterns

HE HERPETOFAUNA of Costa Rica lives between two continents and between two great seas that were connected by a broad seaway through most of Cenozoic times. The Isthmian Link between North and South America, formed mostly by present-day Costa Rica and Panama, has only recently been established to allow a mixing of major faunal elements from the two continents. Present patterns of distribution, however, are not simply products of the development of the recent land bridge, since a much earlier one connected the continents during the beginning of the Cenozoic, with effects still reflected in the composition of the isthmian herpetofauna.

For these reasons, any attempt to explain the biogeography of amphibians and reptiles in the region must recognize that the Costa Rican herpetofauna is embedded within a broader Tropical Mesoamerican faunal unit. This fauna formerly ranged much farther to the north but has become restricted to more southern latitudes by cooling and drying trends from the Oligocene onward and today is found in the tropical lowlands and premontane slopes from Tamaulipas and Sinaloa, Mexico, southward over southern Mexico and all of Central America. In addition, it mixes in a complex fashion with representatives of the northern or Nearctic herpetofauna an the slopes of the mountains bordering the central plateau of Mexico and with that of South America, principally in northern and northwestern areas of that continent.

COMPOSITION OF THE HERPETOFAUNA

The herpetofauna of the Tropical Mesoamerican unit comprises the following numbers of families and genera (tables 15.2, 15.3): Caecilians 1/4, salamanders 1/13, anurans 9/43, lizards 16/47, snakes 9/97, turtles 5/9, crocodilians 1/2; total 42/215. The numbers of families and genera of amphibians and reptiles living in Central America are caecilians 1/4, salamanders 1/8, anurans 9/38, lizards 14/41, snakes 11/79, turtles 5/9, crocodilians 1/2; total 40/18 1.

The genera of the Tropical Mesoamerican unit may be placed into one of four major groupings based an their distribution:

1. Widespread tropical: tropical genera found throughout the Middle and South American tropics with equally strong species differentiation in both regions

- 2. South American: genera with centers of distribution and differentiation in South America
- 3. Tropical Middle American: genera with centers of distribution and differentiation in tropical Mexico and Central America
- Extratropical North American: genera with centers of distribution and differentiation in extratropical Mexico or the United States

A number of distinctive patterns of distribution within the four major groupings are evident and provide a basis for evaluating the composition of the Central American herpetofaunas as shown in table 15.1.

These data demonstrate that the Central American herpetofauna is composed primarily of genera with one of two major distribution patterns. The first (I) includes those genera with a tropical Middle American distribution that predominate an the lowlands and slopes in Mexico from Sinaloa and Tamaulipas southward and at all elevations east of the Isthmus of Tehuantepec to central Panama. The other (II) comprises genera with a South American distribution pattern and is most fully represented in the Central American region in Panama. Of the 215 genera in tropical Mesoamerica, 55% have their distribution centered there, 29% are South American, and 10% are extratropical (Nearctic) in pattern. North of Costa Rica only 21 genera (10%) are South American groups, while in eastern Panama 62 genera are South American (55% of the herpetofauna in the area). These data and the distribution of the 65 families of American amphibians and reptiles (table 15.2) are the basis (Savage 1966a,1982) for recognizing the Middle American tropical assemblage as a biogeographic unit equivalent to the Nearctic and Neotropical units of traditional biogeography (Darlington 1957).

Within the tropical Middle America region seven major herpetofaunal assemblages may be recognized:

1. Eastern and Western Lowland Herpetofauna: a wideranging fauna, the most diverse and the richest in species composition in the region, found along the Atlantic lowlands from Tamaulipas, Mexico, to central Panama, with more or less isolated segments at moderate elevations along the Pacific slopes of Guatemala and in the Golfo Dulce region an the Pacific lowlands of southwestern Costa Rica and extreme western Panama.

Ľa	ıble	15.1.	Distribution of Trop	bical Mesoamerican Genera of Living
۱.	Wi	desprea Eleuth	ad Tropical (8) nerodactylus	Mabuya
		Bufo		Leptotyphlops
		Phryn	ohyas	Micrurus
		Hyla		Bothrops
2.	Sou	ith Am	erican (62)	
	А.	North	ern Limit of Range i	n Panama (22)
		Caecil	lia	Echinosaura
		Protop	pipa	Prionodactylus
		Rham	phophryne	Amphisbaena
		Epipeo Minyo	dobates Ibates	Trachyboa Atractus
		Chias	mocleis	Diaphorolepis
		Elachi	istocleis	Phimophis
		Hemip	phractus	Pseudoboa
		Pieuro	baema	Siphiophis
		Morun	iasaurus ioidas	Bothriopsis
	D	North	ones	n Costa Bian (10)
	D.	Ococi	cilli Linnit of Kange I	Neusticurus
		Gastr	othaca	Anadia
		Nelso	nophrune	Anomalepis
		Phullo	ohates	Helminthiophis
		Colos	tethus	Liotyphlops
		Phullo	omedusa	Epicrates
		Atelor	ous	Liophis
		Dacty	loa	Tripanurgos
		Bachi	a	Ptychoglossus
		Lepos	soma	
	с.	North	ern Limit of Range B	Setween Costa Rica and Guatemala (9)
		Centr	rolenella	Corallus
		Cochr	ranella	Erythrolamprus
		Dend	robates	Nothopsis
		Polyci	hrus	Lachesis
	р	Diplog	glossus * om Limit of Ponco i	n Mariaa (12)
	D.	North	ern Linni of Kange i	Cumporhthalmus
		Physo	laemus	Tunhlons
		Soina	r	Clelia
		Huali	∼ inobatrachium	Oxurhopus
		Ameii	va	Xenodon
		Gona	todes	Caiman
3.	Tr	opical	Middle American (1	19)
	Α.	Enden	mics (44)	
		Gymr	nopis	Anotheca
		Brad	ytriton	Abronia
		Cryp	totriton	Coloptychon
		Dend	lrotriton	Loxocemus
		Ixalo	triton	Adelphicos
		Noto	triton	Amastridium
		Nycto	anolis	Chapinophis
		Pseud	ioeurycea nhminiaaua	Crisaniophis
		Cropi	phryniscus idonhurno	Ludromorphus
		Duall	manohula	Lontodrumus
		Plecta	rohula	Scoleconhis
		Ptuch	ohula	Sumphimus
		Trinr	ion	Tantillita
		Coru	tophanes	Trimetopon
		Ariste	elliaer	Tropidodipsas
		Laem	anctus	Atropoides
		Ctend	osaura	Cerrophidium
		Lepid	lophyma	Porthidium
		Celes	tus *	Claudius
		Mesa	enie	Staurotunus

Note: The lizard genus Phyllodactylus and the snake genera Coniophanes and Drymobius range south to Western Peru; the lizard genus Cnemidophorus and the snake genera Drymarchon, Leptodeira, Oxybelis, and Tantilla range to the Amazon basin or farther south * Also in Antilles

* * Also in Antilles and reaches southern United States.

Dermatemys

Occurs in southern United States

Xenosaurus

- "*
- * Includes Pseudemys and Trachemys

Amphibians and Reptiles

B. Northern Limit of Range in Extratropical North America (19) Rhinophrunus Drumarchon Drymobius Hypopachus Gastrophryne Ficimia Syrrhophus Oxybelis Leptodeira Coleonux Rhadinaea Phullodactulus Heloderma Tantilla Cnemidophorus Trimorphodon Gerrhonotus Kinosternon Coniophanes C. Southern Limit of Range in Northern andlor Northwestern South America (21) Dermophis Ninia Oedipina Rhinobothryum Scaphiodontophis Aaaluchnis Smilisca Sibon Rasiliscus Stenorrhind Lepidoblepharis Tretanorhinus Thecadactylus Urotheca Ungaliophis Bothriechis Rhinoclemmus Dendrophidion Enulius Crocodylus Geophis D. Southern Limit of Range in Amazon Basin or Farther South (12) Bolitoglossa Imantodes Norops Leptophis Iauana Sphaerodactulus Mastigodryas Pseustes Boa Spilotes Dinsas E Endemic Genera in Tropical to Subtropical Mexico (23) Chiropterotriton Adelophis Chersodromus Lineatritor Cryophis Parvimolae Conopsis Thorius Hylactophryne*** Geagras Manolepis Pachumedusa Pseudoficimia Pternohyla * * * Rhadinophanes Anelytropsis Sympholis Barisia Tantalophis Bipes Exiliboa Toluca Ophruacus 4. Extratropical North American (34) A. Southern Limit of Range in Tropical Mexico (18) 1) Southern Limit of Range in Central or Southern Mexico (10) Scaphiopus Gyalopion Hypsiglena Sisturus Phrynosoma Rhinocheilus Sonora Urosaurus Ophisaurus Salvadoro 2) Southern Limit of Range Marginally Tropical (8) * * * * Holbrookia Siren Notophthalmus Anolis Callisaurus Arizona Micruroides Dipsosaurus B. Southern Limit of Range in Central America (10) Storeria Terrapene Sceloporus Nerodia Eumeces Elaphe Sphenomorphu Thamnophis Pituophis Agkistrodon C. Southern Limit of Range n South America (6) Crotalus Rana Coluber Cheludra Chrysemys*"*** Lampropeltis

The eight genera below are not treated further in this book and have been included here only for the sake of completeness

Table 15.2. Distribution of New World Families of Amphihians and Nonmarine Reptiles

Restrict	ed to One Geographic Re	gion (28)
Nearctic (12)	Tropical Mesoamerica (6)	South America (10)
Cryptobranchidae Sirenidae Rhyacotritonidae Proteidae Amphiumidae Dicamptodontidae Salamandridae Ambystomatidae Ascaphidae Pelobatidae Crotaphytidae Trionychidae	Rhinophrynidae Dibamidae Xenosauridae Loxocemidae Ungaliophiidae Dermatemydidae	Rhinatrematidae Typhlonectidae Pipidae" Rhinodermatidae Brachycephalidae Allophrynidae Pseudidae Aniliidae Pelomedusidae Chelidae
Oc	ccurring in Two Region	(16)
Nearctic-Tropical Mesoamerica (5)	South America- Nearctic (1)	Tropical Mesoamerica- South America (10)
Phrynosomatidae Eublepharidae Bipedidae Xantusiidae Helodermatidae	Testudinidae°	Caeciliidae Centrolenidae Dendrobatidae Corytophanidae Hoplocercidae Gymnophthalmidae Amphisbaenidae Anomalepididae Typhlopidae Trophidophiidae"
Occi	arring in All Three Regio	ns (21)
Plethodontidae Leptodactylidae Bufonidae Hylidae Michrohylidae Ranidae	Iguanidae Polychrotidae'''' Gekkonidae Teiidae Scincidae Anguidae Leptotyphlopidae Boidae Colubridae	Elapidae Viperidae Kinosternidae Chelydridae Emydidae Crocodylidae

Reaching Eastern Panama from South.

[°] One Nearctic species.

- 2. Pacific Lowland Herpetofauna: a fauna associated with semiarid to subhumid climatic conditions, ranging along the Pacific lowlands from northern Sinaloa in Mexico to the Golfo de Nicoya region and Meseta Central of Costa Rica; includes the subhumid and semiarid assemblages of Atlantic drainage valleys in Chiapas, Mexico, Guatemala, and Honduras and the uplands of Honduras and Nicaragua; characterized by a predominance of lizard and snake species and virtual absence of salamanders.
- 3. Mexican Highland Herpetofauna: an assemblage restricted to the Sierras of tropical Mexico.
- 4. Nuclear Highland Herpetofauna: an assemblage restricted to the cool, moist habitats of the Chiapas, Guatemala, and Honduras highlands.
- 5. Talamancan Herpetofauna: a fauna with a well-

developed amphibian complement, occurring in the humid environments of highland Costa Rica and western Panama.

- 6. Panamanian Herpetofauna: a fauna associated with disjunct subhumid lowland habitats from eastern Panama, along the Pacific versant, to the Chiriqui region of western Panama; showing affinities to the herpetofaunas of northern lowland Colombia and Venezuela that are associated with subhumid to arid conditions along the Caribbean lowlands.
- 7. Chocoan Herpetofauna: a South American fauna, extremely rich in species composition, found along the Pacific lowlands from northern Ecuador through Colombia and entering eastern Panama, where it is found in the Darien region and along the Caribbean versaut.

The tropical subhumid to semiarid areas of the northern Yucatän Peninsula (Lee 1996) are something of an enigma under this scheme, since they are characterized by a mixture of taxa having affinities to both faunas 1 and 2.

The approximate geographic limits of the assemblages are indicated in figure 15.1. The distribution by genus of all members of the Tropical Mesoamerican herpetofauna is presented in tables 15.3 to 15.7.

Table 15.8 shows the proportion of genera of each major group to the total Tropical Mesoamerican complement of genera in each assemblage and the proportion each makes up within a particular assemblage. The numbers of genera of caecilians, turtles, and crocodilians are so low as to have no significant effect an comparisons. However, rote that turtles and crocodilians are absent from the highland faunas and caecilians are virtually absent.

Other comparisons are somewhat distorted by the unequal number of amphibian genera (60) in relation to reptile genera (155), with a heavy predominance of snake genera (97). Salamanders make their major contribution to the faunas of upland regions. Anurans and lizards contribute nearly equally to all assemblages except that the number of lizard genera is disproportionally greater in the Mexican Highland fauna, with the reverse most striking in the Talamancan Highland fauna. In most faunas the combined proportions of amphibian plus lizard genera are about equal to that of snake genera alone. The most striking exceptions are in the Pacific Lowland assemblage (amphibians plus lizards 39%, snakes 54%), the Mexican Highland assemblage (amphibians plus lizards 44%, snakes 56%), and the Talamancan Highland fauna (amphibians plus lizards 60%, snakes only 40%).

In terms of diversity by taxonomic dass, amphibians reach their zenith in the Talamancan Highland fauna (19 genera, 47% of the assemblage) and reptiles in the humid East and West Lowland assemblage. However the Pacific Lowland, Mexican Highland, and Chocoan faunas also have very high values for the reptile contributions to their faunas, but many



Figure 15.1. Central American herpetofaunas.

Table 15.3.	Distribution	of Caecilian	and Salan	nander (Genera in	Tropical
-------------	--------------	--------------	-----------	----------	-----------	----------

	Humid East and West Lowland	Pacific Lowland	Mexican Highland	Nuclear Highland	Talamancan Highland	Panamanian	Chocoan
Gymnophiona (4)							
Caecilia	Х				х		x
Dermophis	Х	х					x
Gymnopis	Х						х
Oscaecilia	х					х	Х
TOTAL	4	1			1	1	4
Caudata (13)							
Bolitoglossa	Х		Х	х	х		х
Bradytriton				х			
Chiropterotriton			Х				
Cryptotriton			Х	X			
Dendrotriton				х			
Ixalotriton				х			
Lineatriton			х				
Nototriton					Х		
Nuctanolis				Х			
Oedinina	х	х		Х	х		х
Parvimolae			х				
Pseudoeurucea			х				
Thorius			х				
TOTAL	2	1	7	7	3	0	2

Mesoamerica

Table 15.4. Distribution of Anuran Genera in Tropical Mesoamerica

	Humid East and West Lowland	Pacific Lowland	Mexican Highland	Nuclear Highland	Talamancan Highland	Panamanian	Chocoan	
Anura (43)								
Protopipa						X		
Rhino phrynus	X	х				X	х	
Scaphiopus		X						
Eleutherodactylus	X	х	х	Х	х		х	
Hylactophryne			X					
Leptodactylus	Х	х						
Physalaemus	X	х				X	х	
Pleurodema						X		
Syrrhopus	X	х						
Atelophryniscus				X				
Atelopus	X				х		X	
Bufo	X	x	х	х	х	х	X	
Crepidophryne				X				
Rhamphophryne							Х	
Agalychnis	X			X	х	х	х	
Anotheca	X		X					
Duellmanohyla			X	х	х			
Gastrotheca	X						Х	
Hemiphractus	X						Х	
Hula	X	x	Х	х	х	X	Х	
Pachymedusa		X				X		
Phrynohyas	X	x						
Phyllomedusa					X		х	
Plectrohyla			X	х				
Pternohyla		X	х					
Ptychohyla			X	х				
Scinax	X	x				X	Х	
Smilisca	X	\boldsymbol{x}				X	X	
Triprion	X	\boldsymbol{x}						
Phyllobates	X							
Centrolenella	X				\boldsymbol{x}		X	
Cochranella	X				x		X	
Hyalinobatrachium	X				x		X	
Colostethus	X				x		X	
Dendrobates	X				x		X	
Epipedobates					X			
Minyobates	X						X	
Chiasmocleis	X							
Gastrophryne	X	\boldsymbol{x}						
Elaschistocleis						X		
Hypopachus		X		\boldsymbol{x}				
Nelsonophryne	X				x			
Rana	X	\boldsymbol{x}	x	\boldsymbol{x}	x	\boldsymbol{x}	X	
TOTAL	27	17	10	11	15	12	20	

Table 15.5. Distribution of Lizard Genera in Tropical Mesoamerica

	Humid East and West Lowland	Pacific Lowland	Mexican Highland	Nuclear Highland	Talamancan Highland	Panamanian	Chocoan
Sauria (47)							
Basiliscus	\boldsymbol{x}	x				x	\boldsymbol{x}
Corytophanes	X						X
Laemanctus	X						
Ctenosaura	X	x				Х	
Iauana	X	x				Х	X
Morunasaurus	X						
Enualioides							X
Dactuloa	X						X
Norons	X	x	x	x	x	x	x
Poluchrus	X						x
Phurnosoma		X	x				
Sceloporus		X	x	x	x		
Urosaurus		X					
Coleonux	X	x					
Aristelliger	X						
Phullodactulus	X	\boldsymbol{x}					
Thecadactylus	X						X

	Humid East and West Lowland	Pacific Lowland	Mexican Highland	Nuclear Highland	Talamancan Highland	Panamanian	Chocoan
Gonatodes	х	х				X	X
Lepidoblepharis	х						х
Sphaerodactulus	х	X				X	X
Lepidophuma	х	X	X	X			
Ameiva	х	X		X		X	X
Cnemidophorus	х	X	X				
Anadia					X		X
Bachia	x					X	
Echinosaura	x						X
Gumnophthalmus	x	X				Х	X
Lenosoma	x						
Neusticurus							
Prionodactulus							X
Ptuchoalossus	x						X
Amphihaenea	x					X	X
Rines		х					
Eumeres	x	X	X	X			
Mahuua	x	X	X			X	X
Sphenomorphus	x		X	X			
Anelutronsis	x		X				
Celestus	x		X	X	X		
Diploglossus	X						X
Abronia			x	X			
Barisia			x				
Colontuchon	v						
Compagentan	Λ		x				
Meegenie			x	X	X		
Orbioguruo	x						
Yanosaurus	23		x	X			
Holodorma		r	~				
пешиетти		~	15	10	-	11	20
TOTAL	34	19	15	10	5	11	20

Table 15.6. Distribution of Snake Genera in Tropical Mesoamerica

Table 15.5. continued

	Humid East and	Pacific	Mexican	Nuclear	Talamancan	. .	<u></u>
	West Lowland	Lowland	Highland	Highland	Highland	Panamanian	Chocoan
Serpentes (97)							
Anomalepis	x						
Helminthophis							X
Liotyphlops	X					x	
Typhlops	X		x	x	x		
Leptotyphlops	X	\boldsymbol{x}	x	x		x	
Loxocemus		X					
Boa	X	x				x	\boldsymbol{x}
Corallus	x					x	x
Epicrates	X	x				x	x
Trachyboa							X
Exiliboa			X				
Ungaliophis	X	x					
Adelophis		X					
Adelphicas				X			
Amastridium	X				x		17
Atractus							X
Chapinophis				X			
Chersodromus			X				
Chironius	X					X	
Clelia	X	\boldsymbol{x}		x	x		x
Coluber	X	\boldsymbol{x}	x	\boldsymbol{x}		x	
Conio phanes	X	x	x				X
Conophis		X					
Conopsis			X				
Crisantophis		X					
Cryophis			X				17
Dendrophidion	X				x		X
Diaphorolepis							X
Dipsas	X	\boldsymbol{x}					X
Drymarchon	X	x	\boldsymbol{x}	x		X	x continued

Table 15.6.	continued
-------------	-----------

	Humid East and West Lowland	Pacific Lowland	Mexican Highland	Nuclear Highland	Talamancan Highland	Panamanian	Chocoan
Drumobius	Х	х	Х	Х		x	x
Elaphe	x		х	х		~	~
Enulius	Â	х		X		х	x
Fruthrolamprus	X	X				X	Ŷ
Ficimia	x	X					~
Coaraas	Ŷ	~					
Geophis	X	х	х	x	x		v
Gualanian		~	x	~	~		^
Gyalopion	X		~		v		
Hyaromorphus	X	Y	Y		^		
Hypsigiena	Y	Ŷ	X			V	X
Imantodes	X	Ŷ	v	v	V	X	X
Lampropeltis	X	Ň	×	X	X	X	Х
Leptodeira	~	~	~			X	Х
Leptodrymus	Y	X	V				
Leptophis	X	X	X	Х	Х		Х
Liophis	X				Х		Х
Manolepis		Х	Х				
Mastigodryas	Х	Х		Х		Х	Х
Nerodia	Х						
Ninia	Х	х	Х	Х	Х		Х
Nothopsis	Х						X
Oxubelis	Х	х	Х	х	х	х	x
Oxurhonus	x					~	Ŷ
Phimonhis	~					Y	~
Pituophis			х	Y		~	
Pacudahag			~	~		v	v
Pseudobou		x	x			~	~
Pseudoficimia	Y	~	Λ				
Pseustes	×		v	V	V		X
Rhadinaea	^		Ň	Х	X		Х
Rhandinophanes			Χ				
Rhinobothryum	x						\boldsymbol{x}
Rhinocheilus		x					
Salvadora		x	x				
Scaphiodontophis	x	x					\boldsymbol{x}
Scolecophis		x					
Sibon	x	x				x	x
Siphlophis						x	x
Sonora		x	x				50
Spilotes	x	x				x	r
Sternorrhing	x	x					х 26
Storeria	x		x				x
Sumphimus	x	x					
Sympholic	x	r					
Tantalanhia	2	r	r				
Tantilla	r	r	r v				
1 anuma Tarra tillitar	л	л У	л			x	x
Tantilita	x	х					
Inamnophis	x	x	x	x	x		
Tolnca			x				
Tretanorhinus	x						
Trimetopon	x				x		
Trimorphodon	x	x	x	x			
Tripanurgos	x						x
Tropidodipsas	x	x	x	x			
Urotheca	x	\boldsymbol{x}					x
Xenodon	x	x				x	x
Micrurus	x	x	x	x		x	x
Agkistrodon	x	x				-	
Atropoides	x	x			x		x
Bothriechis	x			x	r		
Bothrionsis		x			л		v
Bothrons	r	~					x
Common 1 - 1 - 1	л		v	¥		x	x
Cerrophidium			x	x	x		
Crotatus	x	x	x	x			
Lachesis	x						x
Ophryacus			x				
Porthidium	x		x			x	x
Sisturus			x				
TOTAL	62	51	41	24	16	25	45
	~=				10	40	75

	Humid East and West Lowland	Pacific Lowland	Mexican Highland	Nuclear Highland	Talamancan Highland	Panamanian	Chocoan
Testudinata (9)							
Claudius	Х						
Kinosternon	Х	X					
Staurotypus	Х	X					
Dermatemys	Х						
Chelydra	х						Х
Chrysemys	х	X				Х	Х
Rhinoclemmys	х	X					X
Terrapene	х						
Chelonoides						Х	
TOTAL	8	4				3	4
Crocodilia (2)							
Caiman	х	х				Х	X
Crocodylus	Х	X				X	X
TOTAL	2	2				2	2

Table 15.8. Generic Composition of the Tropical Mesoamerican Herpetofaunas

Humid East and West LowlandPacific LowlandMexican HighlandNuclear HighlandTalamancan HighlandPanamaianChocau ChocauCaccilians (4)00<1<12• total herpetofauma assemblage3100<1<12• total herpetofauma % assemblage1<13310<1<1• total herpetofauma % assemblage1<13310<1<1<1<13310<1<1<13310<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<1<	1	1		1				
West LowlandLowlandHighlandHighlandHighlandPanamanianChocoarCaecilians (4)**0 <1 <1 2* total herpetofauna2 <1 00 <1 <1 2assemblage3100 3 24Salamanders (13)****** total herpetofauna <1 <1 3 3 10 <1 % assemblage111014 8 02Anurans (43)**5 7 6 10% assemblage19171421 38 2221Lizards (47)***20132021Lizards (47)***20132021assemblage23202120132021assemblage45545649404647* total herpetofauna420001.52% assemblage6400042* total herpetofauna <1 <1 000 <1 <1 * total herpetofauna <1 <1 000 <1 <1 * total herpetofauna <1 <1 000 <1 <1 * total herpetofauna <1 <1 000 <t< th=""><th></th><th>Humid East and</th><th>Pacific</th><th>Mexican</th><th>Nuclear</th><th>Talamancan</th><th></th><th></th></t<>		Humid East and	Pacific	Mexican	Nuclear	Talamancan		
Caecilians (4) • total herpetofauna 2 <1 0 0 <1 <1 2 assemblage 3 1 0 0 <1 2 4 Salamanders (13) • total herpetofauna <1 <1 3 3 1 0 <1 % assemblage 1 1 1 0 14 8 0 2 Anurans (43) * total herpetofauna 13 8 5 5 7 6 0 0 % assemblage 19 17 14 21 38 22 21 Lizards (47) • total herpetofauna 16 9 7 5 2 5 9 assemblage 23 20 21 20 13 20 21 Snakes(97) total herpetofauna 29 24 19 11 8 12 21 * assemblage 45 54 56 49 40 46 477 Turtles (9) • total herpetofauna 4 2 0 0 0 0 1.5 2 % assemblage 1 2 0 1.5 2 % assemblage 2 0 0 0 0 0 1.5 2 % assemblage 2 0 0 0 0 0 1.5 2 % assemblage 2 0 0 0 0 0 1.5 2 % assemblage 2 0 0 0 0 0 1.5 2 % assemblage 2 0 0 0 0 0 1.5 2 % assemblage 2 0 0 0 0 0 1.5 2 % assemblage 2 0 0 0 0 0 1.5 2 % assemblage 2 0 0 0 0 0 1.5 2 % assemblage 2 0 0 0 0 0 1.5 2 % assemblage 2 0 0 0 0 0 1.5 2 % assemblage 2 0 0 0 0 0 2 * 1 <1 0 0 0 0 0 2 * 2 Crocodilians (2) • 1 total herpetofauna 4 2 0 0 0 0 0 2 * 1 <1 0 0 0 0 0 2 * 2 Crocodilians (2) • 1 total herpetofauna 50 36 26 16 10 19 34 * assemblage 76 81 77 65 53 76 73 Grand total * 1 <1 0 0 0 0 0 4 * 2 0 0 0 0 0 3 * 2 0 0 0 0 0 3 * 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		West Lowland	Lowland	Highland	Highland	Highland	Panamanian	Chocoan
$\begin{array}{c cccccc} \bullet \mbox{total herpetofauna} & 2 & <1 & 0 & 0 & <1 & <1 & 2 \\ \bullet \mbox{assemblage} & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 4 \\ \hline \mbox{salamaders (13)} & & & & & & & & & & \\ \bullet \mbox{total herpetofauna} & <1 & <1 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 0 & <1 \\ \% \mbox{ assemblage} & 1 & 1 & 10 & 14 & 8 & 0 & 2 \\ \hline \mbox{Anurans (43)} & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \% \mbox{ total herpetofauna} & 13 & 8 & 5 & 5 & 7 & 6 & 10 \\ \% \mbox{ assemblage} & 19 & 17 & 14 & 21 & 38 & 22 & 21 \\ \mbox{Lizards (47)} & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \bullet \mbox{ assemblage} & 23 & 20 & 21 & 20 & 13 & 20 & 21 \\ \mbox{ total herpetofauna} & 16 & 9 & 7 & 5 & 2 & 5 & 9 \\ \mbox{ assemblage} & 23 & 20 & 21 & 20 & 13 & 20 & 21 \\ \mbox{ sakes(97)} & & & & & & & & & \\ \mbox{ total herpetofauna} & 29 & 24 & 19 & 11 & 8 & 12 & 21 \\ \mbox{ total herpetofauna} & 29 & 24 & 19 & 11 & 8 & 12 & 21 \\ \mbox{ total herpetofauna} & 4 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1.5 & 2 \\ \mbox{ assemblage} & 6 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 2 \\ \mbox{ Crocodilians (2)} & & & & & & & & \\ \bullet \mbox{ total herpetofauna} & <1 & <1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (<1 & <1 & \\ \mbox{ assemblage} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 2 \\ \mbox{ total herpetofauna} & <1 & <1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & (<1 & <1 & \\ \mbox{ assemblage} & 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 2 \\ \mbox{ Total amphibians} & & & & & & & \\ N \mbox{ (60)} & 33 & 19 & 17 & 18 & 19 & 13 & 266 \\ \mbox{ total herpetofauna} & 16 & 9 & 8 & 8 & 9 & 6 & 112 \\ \mbox{ assemblage} & 24 & 19 & 23 & 35 & 47 & 24 & 27 \\ \mbox{ Total amphibians} & & & & & & & & \\ N \mbox{ total herpetofauna} & 50 & 36 & 26 & 16 & 10 & 19 & 34 \\ \mbox{ assemblage} & 76 & 56 & 34 & 21 & 41 & 71 \\ \mbox{ total herpetofauna} & 50 & 36 & 26 & 16 & 10 & 19 & 34 \\ \mbox{ assemblage} & 76 & 81 & 77 & 65 & 53 & 76 & 73 \\ \mbox{ Grand total} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Caecilians (4)							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	 total herpetofauna 	2	<1	0	0	<1	<1	2
Salamanders (1.3) • total herpetofauna <1 <1 <1 3 3 3 1 0 <1 % assemblage 1 1 10 14 8 0 2 Anurans (4.3) % total herpetofauna 13 8 5 5 7 6 10 % assemblage 19 17 14 21 38 22 21 Lizards (4.7) • total herpetofauna 16 9 7 5 2 5 9 assemblage 23 20 21 20 13 20 21 Snakes(97) • total herpetofauna 29 24 19 11 8 12 21 • total herpetofauna 4 2 0 46 47 Turtles (9) • total herpetofauna <1 <1 0 0 0 0 1.5 2 % assemblage 6 4 0 0 0 0 6 2 Crocodilians (2) • total herpetofauna <1 2 0 0 0 0 4 2 Total amphibians N (60) 33 19 17 18 19 13 26 • total herpetofauna 16 9 8 8 9 6 12 % assemblage 24 19 23 35 47 24 27 N (50) 33 19 17 18 19 13 26 • total herpetofauna 16 9 8 8 9 6 12 % assemblage 24 19 23 35 47 24 27 N (50) 33 19 77 65 53 76 73 % assemblage 76 81 77 65 53 76 73 Grand total N (215) 106 76 56 34 21 41 71 • total herpetofauna 50 36 26 16 10 19 34 • assemblage 76 81 77 65 53 76 73 Grand total N (215) 139 95 73 52 40 54 97 • total herpetofauna 66 44 34 24 19 26 46	 assemblage 	3	1	0	0	3	2	4
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Salamanders (13)							
	 total herpetofauna 	<1	<1	3	3	1	0	<1
Anurans (43) % total herpetofauna 13 8 5 5 7 6 10 % assemblage 19 17 14 21 38 22 21 Lizards (47) • total herpetofauna 16 9 7 5 2 5 9 assemblage 23 20 21 20 13 20 21 Snakes(97) total herpetofauna 29 24 19 11 8 12 21 • assemblage 45 54 56 49 40 46 47 Turtles (9) • total herpetofauna 4 2 0 0 0 0 1.5 2 % assemblage 6 4 0 0 0 0 $(1.5 2)$ • assemblage 1 2 0 0 0 0 $(1.5 2)$ * assemblage 1 $(1.5 2)$ * $(2.5 2)$	% assemblage	1	1	10	14	8	0	2
	Anurans (43)							
	% total herpetofauna	13	8	5	5	7	6	10
Lizards (47) • total herpetofauna 16 9 7 5 2 5 9 assemblage 23 20 21 20 13 20 21 Snakes(97) total herpetofauna 29 24 19 11 8 12 21 • assemblage 45 54 56 49 40 46 47 Turtles (9) total herpetofauna 4 2 0 0 0 1.5 2 % assemblage 6 4 0 0 0 6 2 Crocodilians (2) • total herpetofauna <1 <1 0 0 0 <1.5 2 % assemblage 1 2 0 0 0 4 2 Total amphibians N(60) 33 19 17 18 19 13 26 • total herpetofauna 16 9 8 8 9 6 12 % assemblage 24 19 23 35 47 24 27 Total reptiles N(155) 106 76 56 34 21 41 71 • total herpetofauna 50 36 26 16 10 19 34 • assemblage 76 81 77 65 53 76 73 Grand total N(215) 139 95 73 52 40 54 97 • total herpetofauna 66 44 34 24 19 26 46	% assemblage	19	17	14	21	38	22	21
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Lizards (47)							
assemblage23202120132021Snakes(97)total herpetofauna2924191181221* assemblage45545649404647Turtles (9)total herpetofauna420001.52% assemblage6400062Crocodilians (2)• total herpetofauna<1	 total herpetofauna 	16	9	7	5	2	5	9
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	assemblage	23	20	21	20	13	20	21
total herpetofauna2924191181221• assemblage45545649404647Turtles (9)4647total herpetofauna420001.52% assemblage6400062Crocodilians (2) </td <td>Snakes(97)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Snakes(97)							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	total herpetofauna	29	24	19	11	8	12	21
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	assemblage	45	54	56	49	40	46	47
total herpetofauna420001.52% assemblage6400062Crocodilians (2)•total herpetofauna<1	Turtles (9)							
$\begin{array}{c cccccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	total herpetofauna	4	2	0	0	0	1.5	2
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c } Crocodilians (2) & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	% assemblage	6	4	0	0	0	6	2
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Crocodilians (2)							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	 total herpetofauna 	<1	<1	0	0	0	<1	<1
Total amphibians $N(60)$ 33 19 17 18 19 13 26 • total herpetofauna 16 9 8 8 9 6 12 % assemblage 24 19 23 35 47 24 27 Total reptiles 7 56 34 21 41 71 * total herpetofauna 50 36 26 16 10 19 34 • total herpetofauna 50 36 26 16 10 19 34 • total herpetofauna 50 36 26 16 10 19 34 • total herpetofauna 50 36 26 37 52 40 54 97 * total herpetofauna 66 44 34 24 19 26 46	% assemblage	1	2	0	0	0	4	2
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Total amphibians							
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	N (60)	33	19	17	18	19	13	26
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	 total herpetofauna 	16	9	8	8	9	6	12
Total reptiles $N(155)$ 106765634214171• total herpetofauna50362616101934• assemblage76817765537673Grand totalV(215)139957352405497• total herpetofauna66443424192646	% assemblage	24	19	23	35	47	24	27
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Total reptiles							
	N (155)	106	76	56	34	21	41	71
	 total herpetofauna 	50	36	26	16	10	19	34
Grand total $N(215)$ 139957352405497• total herpetofauna66443424192646	 assemblage 	76	81	77	65	53	76	73
N(215) 139 95 73 52 40 54 97 • total herpetofauna 66 44 34 24 19 26 46	Grand total							
• total herpetofauna 66 44 34 24 19 26 46	N (215)	139	95	73	52	40	54	97
-	 total herpetofauna 	66	44	34	24	19	26	46

Lowland assemblage (19%) and reptiles the least to the Talamancan Highland fauna (40%).

found an the lowlands of the northwestern region. The third fewer genera. Amphibians contribute the least to the Pacific lives in the Cordillera Central and the Cordillera de Talamanca. These major units have distributions broadly correlated with physiographic and climatic parameters and con-The herpetofauna of Costa Rica is the meeting ground of tain a mixture of genera with different histories. Therefore three of these assemblages: The Eastern and Western Lowcomparisons of the composition of these contemporary land fauna, the Pacific Lowland fauna, and the Talamancan units cannot by themselves elucidate the process by which fauna. The first ranges over the Atlantic lowlands and the they were assembled over time. Pacific lowlands of southwestern Costa Rica. The second is

Geographic Distribution	801
-------------------------	-----

-	0	
	ы	- 22

GENERALIZED TRACKS AND HISTORICAL SOURCE UNITS

The raw data of historical biogeography are the distributions (or tracks) of individual species in space (geographical ecology) and time. Because each species has its own set of peculiar ecological requirements and its own unique evolutionary history, each species has a discrete nonrandom ecogeographic distribution. As a consequence, no species is universally present, and mang species have very small or unique tracks.

The first level of generalization in biogeography is based an the recognition that in spite of the unique nature of individual species distributions, many individual tracks are concordant and Show a common pattern. Determining the patterns (generalized tracks) involving the coincident distribution of many species or several monophyletic groups (genera, families, etc.) of species is the fundamental first step in biogeographic analysis.

The second level of generalization is to recognize the several disjunct adjoining or distant clusters of distributions that form nodes or track components along the generalized track. These components may be regarded as defining the geographic limits of major modern biotas, characterized by a high degree of endemism.

A third level of generalization attempts to identify the historical source units (ancestral biotas) that contributed to the modern biotas. In any given region, the biota may have been derived from several historical source units at different times, but usually the dominant source unit has developed in situ and is a component of a major generalized track.

In my 1982 paper an the biogeography of Central American herpetofauna I was able to discern three major general tracks for tropical Mesoamerica based an track analysis:

- 1. The North American-Central American track is a generalized track that includes North America, the Mexican lowlands and montane uplands, Central America, and the Greater Antilles (fig. 15.2a). South American portions of this track extend to Ecuador and Argentina but represent dispersal after the reconnection of Central and South America in the Tertiary.
- 2. The South American-Caribbean track is a generalized track including South America, the Greater and Lesser Antilles, and the Bahamas (fig. 15.2b). Mexican and Central American portions of this track represent dispersal from South America after establishment of the Isthmian Link in the Pliocene.
- 3. The Middle American-Caribbean track is a generalized track including the lowlands of Mexico, Central America and the Greater Antilles, and the Bahamas (fig. 15.2c). The portions of this track that extend to Ecuador and southern Brazil represent post-Miocene dispersal across the Isthmian Link.

A fourth track, the Western North American-Central American track, is a generalized track including western North America, Mexico, and Central America north of Panama (fig. 15.2d). A portion of this track, extending into South America, represents the dispersal of two genera (Cnemidophorus and Crotalus) across the Isthmian Link in late Cenozoic, followed by differentiation into a few species each. This track is represented by only a few taxa of reptiles in the tropical Mesoamerican region.

Each of these tracks is characterized by two features. First, they are composed of a string of components (areas of endemism) that represent a once more wide-ranging generalized fauna that has been fragmented by vicariance events and differentiated in situ. Second, they also reflect the recent emergence of the isthmian land connection between Central and South America that provided a corridor for concordant dispersal of many taxa from south to north and north to south in what has been called the great American biotic interchange (Marshall et al. 1982; Stehli and Webb 1985).

An analysis of distributional data, geologic, climatological, and vegetational correlates and changes, together with an assessment of phylogenetic relationships, led me (Savage 1982) to conclude that the four general tracks described above correspond to four historical herpetofaunal source units whose taxa have had an ancient and continuing association with one another. Genera and a few subgeneric groups whose distributions coincide with a particular track were grouped together as a primary historical unit or element. In the present context the following three elements are recognized as having made significant contributions to the Tropical Mesoamerican herpetofauna:

- Old Northern Element: derivative stocks of originally extratropical (subtropical-warm temperate) groups distributed more or less continuously and circumpolarly in early Tertiary but forced southward and fragmented into several more or less disjunct components as a result of increased cooling and aridity trends and mountain building ¹n the late Cenozoic. This unit comprises taxa having long-term Laurasian affinities. Typical members of this element, including the "hanging" Middle American relicts, the Trog family Rhinophrynidae, the turtle family Dermatemydidae, and the lizard families Xantusiidae, Xenosauridae, and Helodermatidae, were widespread over much of North America to 40° N in the early Tertiary. As ¹ pointed out in 1966 and as was confirmed by Rosen (1978), the Central American component of this stock has been disjunct from other components for most of later Tertiary and Quaternary times and evolved in situ in Middle America.
- South American Element: derivatives of a generalized tropical American biota that evolved in situ in isolation in South America during most of the Cenozoic and must be considered a recent contributor to Middle American faunal diversity. The affinities of this unit are Gondwanan.



а



Figure 15.2. General tracks (historical source units) in the Tropical Mesoamerican region: (a) North American-Central American; (b) South American-Caribbean; (c) Middle American-Caribbean; (d) Western North American-Central American.





	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~		
Table 15.9.	Component Genera of Princi	pal Historical Units of the Tro	pical Mesoamerican Herpetofauna

Old Northern (90)	Middle American (66)	South American (64)
Salamanders (13) Bolitoglossa Bradytriton Chiropterotriton Cryptotriton Dendotriton Lalotriton Lineatriton Notrotriton Nyctanolis Oedipina Paravimolge Pseudoeurycea Thorius	Caecilians (2) Dermophis Gymnopis	Caecilians (2) <i>Caecilia</i> Oscaecilia
Frogs and Toads (3) Rhinophrynus Scaphiopus Rana	Frogs and Toads (18) Atelophrynisus Bufo (pt.) Crepidophryne Eleutherodactylus (Craugaster) Hylactophyrne Syrrhophus Agalychnis Pachymedusa Anotheca Duellmanohyla Hyla (pt.) Plectrohyla Pternohyla Pternohyla Triprion Gastrophryne Hypopachus Nelsonophryne	Frogs and Toads (24) Protoptpa Atelopus Bufo (pt.) Rhamphophryne Eleutherodactylus Leptodactylus Physalaemus Pleurodema Gastrotheca Hemiphractus Hyla (pt.) Phrynohyas Phyllomedusa Scinax Gentrolenella Cochranella Hyalinbatrachium Dendrobates Epipedabates Colostethus Minyobates Phyllobates Phyllobates Chiasmocleis Elachistocleis
Lizards (19) Phrynosoma Sceloporus Urosaurus Coleonyx Lepidophyma Cnemidophorus Bipes Eumeces Mabuya Sphenomorphus Anelytropsis Abronia Barisia Coloptychon Gerrhonotus Mesaspis Ophisaurus Heloderma	Lizards (11) Basiliscus Coryto phanes Ctenosaura Iguana Laemanctus Norops Phyllodactylus (pt.) Gonatodes Sphaerodactylus Aristelliger Celestus	Lizards (18) Dactyloa Enyalioides Morunasaurus Polychrus Lepidoblepharis Phyllodactylus (pt.) Thecadactylus Amevia Anadia Bachia Echinosaura Gymnophthalmus Leposoma Neusticurus Ptychoglossus Prionodactylus Amphisbaena Diploglossus
Xenosaurus Snakes (47) Leptotyphlops (pt.) Loxocemus Adelophis Chironius Coluber Conopsis Dendrophidion	Snakes (34) Boa Exiliboa Ungaliophis Adelphicos Amastridium Atractus Chapinophis	Snakes(18) Anomalepis Helminthophis Liotyphlops Typhlops Leptotyphlops (pt.) Corallus Epicrates

Middle Am	erican (66)
Chersodr	omus
Coniopha	ines
Crisanto	, ohis
Cryophis	
Diaphore	olepis
Dipsas	
Enulius	
Geophis	
Hydromo	orphus
Hypsigle	na
Imantode	'S
Leptodei	a
Manolepi Ninia	S
Nothopsi	s
Rhadinae	ea
Rhadinop	ohanes
Scolecopt	uis
Sibon	1. : _
Tretance	nis hinus
Trimetor	innus
Tropidod	ipsas
Urotheca	
Micrurus	(pt.)
Crocodilian	s (1)
Crocodyl	us
general-	range in N
al North	warm clin
c; devel-	is now N
estricted	Dakotas
late Ce-	Mesoame
ises gen-	11050ume
distribu-	These uni
ion or in	age 1982). l
al Amer-	(table 15 9)
at mem	genetic relat
di ic al	istribu- on or in Amer- t mem-

bers of this unit or their ancestors had a more extensive

Geographic Distribution 805

South American (64)

Trachyboa Clelia Erythrolamprus Liophis Oxyrhopus Phimophis Pseudoboa Siphlophis Tripanurgos Xenodon Micrurus (pt.)

Turtles (1) Chelonoides

Crocodilians (1) Caiman

ange in North America in the early Tertiary, when humid varm climates occurred as far north as the region of what now Montana, Wyoming, Utah, Colorado, and the Dakotas but became restricted southward to tropical desoamerica by climatic change in the Tate Cenozoic.

These units correspond to those 1 discussed earlier (Savage 1982), but with substantial revision in generic content (table 15.9) based an the most recent findings an phylogenetic relationships, especially for "iguanid" lizards (Frost and Etheridge 1989) and colubrid snakes (Jener 1981;

Cadle 1984c, 1987; Zaher 1999). As well as more clearly defining the several elements, these studies have led me to abandon the idea of a separate Young Northern Element. The taxa formerly placed in that unit have proved to be a subset of the Old Northern Element that developed in response to the challenge of physiographic and climatic revolution in the middle latitudes of western North America and Mexico from the Oligocene to the present.

As now understood, the Old Northern Element is represented by four principal components in the Americas: Eastern North American, Western North American, Southwestern North American, and Central American. The Central American Component consists of derivatives of the Old Northern Element taxa that became associated with tropical conditions and isolated from the ancestral unit by cooling and drying trends from the Oligocene onward. Members of this component evolved in situ in Mesoamerica with the autochthonous Middle American Element during the rest of the Cenozoic.

The Southwestern North American Component contains most of the genera previously placed in the Young Northern Element (Savage 1966a, 1982). These genera are derivatives of Old Northern lineages that evolved in the southwest as a result of the orogenic and climatic drving trends in post-Oligocene times that produced the semiarid to desert environments of the region. Only a few tropical Mesoamerican taxa are distributionally associated with this component.

GEOGRAPHIC PATTERNS IN COSTA RICA

As 1 pointed out earlier (Savage 1975) and as was confirmed by Lynch and Duellman (1997), the Holdridge (1967) system is too sophisticated for sketching broad geographic correlates of animal distribution. Although this system, as discussed in chapter 2, is without peer in defining major tropical bioclimates, most species of amphibians and reptiles tend to occur over wider geographic areas that usually encompass two or more bioclimates/plant formations (tables 14.1 to 14.5).

A preliminary analysis of geographic distribution for Costa Rica, influenced in part by the unpublished manuscripts of Norman J. Scott Jr. (1969) for snakes and Marvalee H. Wake (1964) for lizards, identified nine putative geographic areas as high centers of diversity differing from the others in herpetofaunal composition. These faunal areas and their humidity province(s), representative plant Formations, and approximate altitudinal limits are listed below:

Lowlands

- Northwest Pacific (NW): subhumid: Dry Forest; 0 to 600 m.
- Southwest Pacific (SW): humid and perhumid: Lowland Moist and Wet Forests; 0 to 600 m

- Atlantic (A): humid and perhumid: Lowland Moist and Wet Forests; 0 to 500 m
- Foothills and uplands
- Pacific Slope (PS): perhumid and superhumid: Premontane Wet and Rainforests: 600 to 1.600 m
- Meseta Central Occidental (MOC): humid: Premontane Moist Forest: 600 to 1.500 m
- Meseta Central Oriental (MOR): humid: Premontane Moist Forest; 500 to 1,500 m
- Atlantic Slope (AS): perhumid and superhumid: Premontane Wet Forest and Rainforest; 500 to 1,500 m
- Highlands
- Cordillera Central (CC): humid, perhumid, and superhumid: Lower Montane and Montane Moist and Wer Forest and Rainforest; about 1,500 to 3,343 m
- Cordillera de Talamanca (CT): humid, perhumid, and superhumid: Montane Moist and Wet Forests and Rainforest and Subalpine Pluvial Paramo; about 1,500 to 3,840 m

These geographic units are mapped (fig. 15.3) and are used as the basis for evaluating the history of the Costa Rican herpetofauna. The abbreviations listed above are used throughout an appropriate tables and figures. Table 15.10 summarize species distributional data by major systematic group from tables 14.1 to 14.6 for each faunal area.

Not surprisingly, the distribution patterns by faunal area (figs. 15.4 and 15.5) reflect the Same ecological trends discussed in chapter 14. The greatest overall diversity is found in humid lowland and slope areas. The number of reptile species in the subhumid Northwest Pacific area is three times that for amphibians. The number of amphibians spe-



Figure 15.3. Putative faunal areas for the Costa Rican herpetofauna

Table 15.10. Number of Species by Major Taxonomic Group and Percentage Contribution to Each Faunal Area

		1	, ,	1	0					
		NW	SW	А	PS	MOC	MOR	AS	CC	СТ
Caecilians	Ν	0	4	2	3	2	1	3	0	1
		0	2	1	2	2	2	2	0	1
Salamanders	Ν	0	5	9	19	2	1	24	5	10
		0	2	4	10	2	2	13	7	15
Anurans	Ν	18	47	73	66	19	12	63	36	30
		25	27	36	35	19	25	33	47	45
Subtotal	Ν	18	57	84	88	23	14	90	41	40
	%	25	33	41	46	24	30	48	53	60
Lizards	N	16	41	34	29	14	5	26	9	7
	%	22	24	17	15	14	11	14	12	10
Snakes	N	33	68	91	75	59	26	70	26	20
	%	45	40	39	39	60	55	37	34	30
Turtles	N	4	4	5	0	2	2	3	0	0
		5	2	2	0	2	4	2	0	0
Crocodilians	N	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
		3	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subtotal	Ν	55	115	122	104	75	33	99	35	27
		75	67	59	54	76	70	52	46	40
Grand total	Ν	73	172	206	192	98	47	189	76	67

cies exceeds that for reptiles at higher elevations (Cordillera Central and Cordillera de Talamanca areas).

In summary (table 15.10), the total number of species decreases along gradients from humid to drier conditions (e.g., SW to NW areas) and generally from warmer to cooler situations (e.g., AS to CC). The relative proportions change from a predominance of reptiles to amphibians from wet-



Figure 15.4. Composition by number of species of amphibians in each faunal area; % indicates contribution of amphibians to total herpetofauna of each area.

ter to drier conditions (e.g., SW, 67:33 to NW, 75:25) and to cooler and wetter situations (e.g., AS, 52:48 to CT, 40:60). Because species distributions so strongly correlate with current ecological parameters, another approach seems required to analyze historical patterns.

As a consequence 1 have chosen to emphasize the contribution of genera and a few subgeneric groups to each of the





Table 15.11. Distinctive Mesoamerican Clades of the Anuran Genera Bu fo and Hyla

Middle American Element	South American Element
Bufo fastidiosus group Bu fo periglenes group Bufo valliceps group Hyla godmani group Hyla lancasteri group Hyla pictipes group Hyla pseudopuma group Hyla salvadorensis group Hyla zeteki group	Bufo guttatus group Bufo marinus group Hyla albomarginata group Hyla boans group Hyla leucophyllata group Hyla tuberculosa group

faunal areas as a method of establishing the broad historical picture. To carry out this analysis I have plotted the occurrence of each Costa Rica generic or subgeneric group in the faunal areas by their historical source units (elements and components) described earlier in this chapter.

Several currently recognized amphibian genera contain distinctive clades that are assigned to different historical units for this analysis. These include the Middle American (Craugaster) and South American (sensu stricto) divisions of the genus *Eleutherodactylus* and Central (palmipes group) and Eastern North American (pipiens group) components of the genus Rana. In addition, the species groups shown in table 15.11 within the composite genera Bufo and Hyla are treated as separate units.

The distribution of each taxonomic unit (genus or species group) by faunal area is presented in tables 15.12 to 15.15. Table 15.16 summarizes the data for number of genera and their proportional contribution to each faunal area by historical source unit. The contributions of Eastern (E) and Southwestern (SW) Components of the Old Northern Element to the Costa Rican herpetofauna are minimal, so further analysis will emphasize the Central American Component (CA) of the Old Northern Element and Middle American (MA) and South American (SA) Elements. Abbreviations listed above are used for the historical elements and components in table 15.16 and subsequent tables and figures.

The relative proportions (as percentages) of these three units are displayed diagrammatically in figure 15.6. The relative proportions of the predominant historical unit(s) in each area will be used as the basis for determining their historical characteristics and relationships in the following paragraphs.

These data indicate a distinctly different history for the Northwestern faunal area compared with other lowland areas. The Northwestern area is characterized by Central and Middle American genera in about equal proportions, 36:34%, respectively. The Southwestern and Atlantic areas are characterized by similar proportions of South American and Middle American taxa, 37:33% and 31:37%, respectively, with South American ones in slightly greater numbers

					MOO	MOD	10	~~~	~
	NW	SW	A	PS	мос	MOR	AS	CC	СТ
Salamanders (3)									
Bolitoglossa		X	X	x			х	x	Х
Nototriton					х	Х	х	X	х
Oedipina		X	X	x	х	Х	х	x	х
Subtotal	0	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3
Anurans (2)									
Rhinophrynus	X		X						
Rana palmipes group	X	X	X	х	х	х	х	Х	х
Subtotal	2	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
Total amphibians (5)	2	3	4	3	3	3	4	4	4
Lizonda (7)									
Coloonur	x	X		x	x	x			
Lonidonhuma	21	X	x	x	x	x			
Eumana	v	21	21	21					
Lumeces	X	Y	Y	v			x		
Mabuya Sala sa sa santa sa	Л	A V	A V	x			x		
Spnenomorphus		A	А	21			21		
Coloptychon Mesaspis		А						х	х
Subtotal	3	5	3	4	2	2	2	1	1
Snakes(25)									
Loxocemus	X								
Chironius		X	X	x	Х		x		
Dendrophidion		X	X	x			x		
Drumarchon	X	X	X	x	х	х	х		
Drumobius	X	X	X	x	х	х	х		
Elaphe	X			x	х		х		
Lampropeltis	X	X	X	x	х	х	х	x	x
Lentodrumus	x				x				
Leptonhis	x	x	x	x	x		x	х	х
Maatigodrugo	x	x	x	x	x		x	х	
Ombalia	X V	Y	Y	x	x		x		
Oxybells	А	N V	X V	x	x		x		
Pseustes		А	A V		21		x		
Rhinobothryium		v	A V	x			21		
Scaphiodontophis	V	Λ	Л	21	v				
Scolecophis	X	V	V	v	x x	v	v		
Spilotes	X	X		x x	x x	x	x		
Stenorrhina	V	X		x x	x x	x	x		
Tantilla	X	X	X	Λ	л	А	Λ		
Trimorphodon	X			v	v		v	v	v
Atropoides		X	X	X	X	37	x	x v	A V
Bothriechis		X	X	X	X	X	л 	А	л
Bothrops	X	X	X	X	х	Х	х	37	37
Cerrophidium								A	х
Lachesis		X	X	х					
Porthidium	X	X	X	x			x		
Subtotal	15	18	19	19	17	8	18	6	5
Turtles (2)									
Kinosternon	X	X	X	x	x	x	\boldsymbol{x}		
Rhinoclemmus	X		X		x	x			
Subtotal	2	1	2	1	2	2	1	0	0
Total reptiles (34)	20	24	24	24	21	12	21	6	5
	20	07	26	07		15	25	10	9
Grand total (39)	44	41	40	41	47	10		10	

in the southwest and Middle American ones an the Atlantic. These latter differences may be trivial.

The highland areas are dominated by Middle American taxa (CC = 38%; CT = 43%), with a stronger representation of South American genera (28%) in the Cordillera de Talamanca and of Central American genera (29%) in the

Geographic Distribution 809

Cordillera Central. The two slope faunal areas are similar in having Middle American dominance (PS = 36%; AS = 41%), with considerable Central American influence (PS =32%; AS = 30%).

The Meseta Central Occidental area is unique in having a high proportion of Central American (40%) to Middle

Table 15.13. Distribution of Genera and Species Group of Eastern and Southwestern North American Components (Old Northern Element) by Faunal Areas

	NW	SW	А	PS	MOC	MOR	AS	CC	СТ
			Eastern	North Ameri	can				
Anurans (1) Rana pipiens group	х		х	х	х	х	Х	х	X
Subtotal	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Total amphibians (1) Snakes (3)	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Coluber Thamnophis Agkistrodon	X X X		x		х	Х		х	
Subtotal	3	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	0
Turtles (2) Chelydra Chrysemys	x	x x	x x	х	х		x		
Subtotal	1	2	2	1	2	0	1	0	0
Total reptiles (5)	4	2	3	1	2	1	1	1	0
Grand total (6)	5	2	4	2	3	2	2	2	1
			Southv	vestern Amer	ica				
Lizards (2) Sceloporus Cnemidophorus	X X			x	X X	х	х	x	x
Subtotal	2	0	0	1	2	1	1	1	1
Snakes(1) Crotalus	x			X	x	×			
Subtotal	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0
Total reptiles (3)	3	0	0	2	3	1	1	1	1
Grand total (3)	3	0	0	2	3	2	1	1	1



Figure 15.6. Diagram of composition of Costa Rican herpetofauna by percentage of genera of each major historical unit in faunal area; values in upper right hand corner are for E and SW Components (Old Northern Element).

American (30%) and South American genera (20%). The Meseta Central Oriental area is nearly identical to the Meseta Central Occidental area in faunal percentages: MA 28: CA 38: SA 25 and MA 30: CA 40: SA 20, respectively.

ENDEMISM

Another parameter of history is the degree of endemism each faunal area exhibits. A high level of endemism suggests a Tonger period of isolation for an area than for others with lower levels. Unfortunately the areas of endemism for lower Central America know no political boundaries, so that many species restricted in distribution to one faunal area in Costa Rica may extend into adjacent Panama or Nicaragua or farther to the north.

As 1 pointed out in my earlier paper (Savage 1982), the Northwest Pacific faunal area is the southern portion of a lowland faunal unit that includes lowland areas in western Nicaragua, Honduras, and El Salvador. The Southwest Pacific faunal area is the greater part of an area that includes the lowlands of extreme Western Chiriquf Province, Panama. The Atlantic Lowland faunal area of Costa Rica is the

Table 1 5.14.	Distribution	of Genera	and Species	Groups of	the Middle	Amei
	210010000000000000000000000000000000000	01 0101010	and opered			

Table 15.14. Distribution of Genera	a and Species	Groups of th	ie Middle A
	NW	SW	А
Caecilians (2)			
Dermophis Cumponis		x	
Subtotal	0	x	x
Subtotal	0	2	1
Anurans (19)			
Bufo periolenes aroun			
Bufo valliceps group	x	x	x
Crepidophryne			
Eleutherodactylus (Craugaster)		x	x
Agalychnis		x	x
Duellmanohyla			x
Hyla godmani group			x
Hyla lancesteri group			x
Hyla pictipes group			
Hyla salvadorensis group			
Hyla zeteki group			
Phrynohyas	\boldsymbol{x}	x	
Smilisca	\boldsymbol{x}	x	x
Gastrophryne	26		x
Nelsonophrune	X	r	r
Subtotal	2	х 6	~
	3	0	9
Total amphibians (21)	3	8	10
Lizards (9)	26		
Corutophanes	X	x	x
Ctenosaura	\boldsymbol{x}	x	x
Iguana	\boldsymbol{x}	x	x
Norops	\boldsymbol{x}	x	x
Phyllodactylus	x	26	
Sphaerodactulus	X	x	x x
Celestus		20	x
Subtotal	6	7	8
Snakes (21)			
Loxocemus	x		
Boa	x	x	x
Ungaliophis Amastridium		x	x
Coniophanes	x	x	x
Conophis	x		
Crisantophis	x		
Dipsas		x	x
Enullus Geophis	x	x x	x
Hydromorphus		x	x
Imantodes	x	x	x
Leptodeira	x	x	x
Ninia	x	x	x
Nothopsis Rhadinaea		x	x
Sibon	x	x	x
Tretanorhinus			x
Trimetopon			x
Urotheca		x	x
MICTURUS	<i>x</i>		<i>x</i>
Subtotal	11	16	17
Crocodulus	r	r	r
Subtotal	1	~ 1	~ 1
Total reptiles (31)	18	24	26
Grand total (52)	10	20	20
Grand total (52)	21	32	36

ican Element by Faunal Area										
PS	MOC	MOR	AS	СС	СТ					
x			x x		x					
2	0	0	2	0	1					
4	0	0	2	0	1					
x			x	x x	x					
x	x	x								
x	x	x	x	r	x x					
x			x	X	x					
\boldsymbol{x}			x							
x			x v							
x			А		x					
x			x	x	x					
x			x	x	x					
~			x	x	x					
x	x	x	x							
	x	x								
x			x	x	x					
14	3	3	12	7	9					
16	3	3	17	7	10					
x			x							
x	x x	x	x	x	x					
x			x	x	x					
4	2	1	3	2	2					
x			x							
			x							
x	x		x							
	x									
	x									
	x		x							
x	x	x	x	x	\boldsymbol{x}					
x x	x x	x r	r		x					
x	x	л	x							
x	x	x	x	x	\boldsymbol{x}					
			x		26					
x	$x \\ x$	x x	$x \\ x$	x	X					
x		x	x	x	x					
x	x		x							
x	x	x	x							
11	13	7	15	4	5					
0	0	0	0	0	0					
15	15	8	18	6	7					
31	18	11	35	13	17					

Table 15.15.	Distribution of Genera	and Species	Groups of the	South American	Element by	Faunal Area
--------------	------------------------	-------------	---------------	----------------	------------	-------------

	NW	SW	А	PS	MOC	MOR	AS	CC	СТ
Caecilians (1)									
Oscaecilia		х							
Subtotal	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Anurans (20)									
Atelopus		х		X	Х	X	x	х	Х
Bufo auttatus group		x	Х	Х	Х	X	x		
Bufo marinus group	x	Х	X	Х	Х	X	х		
Fleutherodactulus (s.s.)		X	X	X			х	х	х
Lantodaotulus	x	Х	Х	Х			х		
Phyoglasmus	x	X							
Castratheea	21						x		
Gastrotheca		x	x						
Hyla albomarginata group		v							
Hyla boans group		21	x						
Hyla bogotensis group		v	x	x			x		
<i>Hyla leucophyllata</i> group	Х	Λ	v	v			v	v	
<i>Hyla tuberculosa</i> group			А	A V			A V	A V	37
Phyllomedusa			37	х			х	х	A
Scinax	Х	X	X						
Centrolenella		x	X	X	Х	Х	х		х
Cochranella		x	X	X			х		х
Hyalinobatrachium		x	X	Х	Х	x	х		х
Colostethus		х	Х				х		х
Dendrobates		x	X						x
Phullohates		x	X						
Inguobales	-	16	15	11	5	5	13	4	8
Subtotal	5	16	15	11	5	5	15	4	0
Total amphibians (21)	5	17	15	11	5	5	13	4	8
Lizards (12)									
Dactuloa									
Poluchrus		x	x	x	x				
Lenidohlenharis		x	\boldsymbol{x}	x			x		
Theored actulus		x	\boldsymbol{x}	x	x				
Amaina	x	x	x	\boldsymbol{x}			x		
Ameiba	50	20		x			x	x	x
Anadia		r							
Bachia		x	r		r				
Gymnophthalamus	X	x	<i>x</i>		x				
Leposoma		\boldsymbol{x}	x						
Neusticurus		\boldsymbol{x}							
Ptychoglossus		x	x	\mathbf{x}			\boldsymbol{x}		x
Diploglossus		\boldsymbol{x}	x	x		x	x		
Subtotal	2	10	8	8	3	3	5	1	2
Snakes(13)									
Anomalenis				x					
Halminithonhis			\boldsymbol{x}		\boldsymbol{x}	\boldsymbol{x}			
Lietunhlene									
Longphiops									
Leptotypniops	x			x				x	
Typhlops		r	r	50				50	
Corallus		л ~	r		r				
Epicrates	x	x	~ ~		\mathcal{A}		v	v	
Clelia	x	x	x				x	x	
Erythrolamprus		x	x	x	x	x	x		
Liophis		\boldsymbol{x}	x	x	\boldsymbol{x}	\boldsymbol{x}	x	x	x
Oxyrhopus		\boldsymbol{x}	x						
Tripanurgos		\boldsymbol{x}							
Xenodon		\boldsymbol{x}	x	x	x		\boldsymbol{x}		
Subtotal	3	8	8	4	4	2	4	3	1
Crocodilians (1)									
Caiman	x	X	X						
Subtotal	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Subiotai	-	-	17	10	7	-	0	4	2
Total reptiles (26)	б	19	17	12	1	5	9	4	3
Grant total (47)	11	36	32	23	12	10	22	8	11

Table 15.16. Number and Percentage of Assemblage and Percentage of Total Genera and Species Groups by Faunal Area

Faunal Area	CA	Е	SW	MA	SA	Total	
NW							
N	22	5	3	21	11	62	
А	36	8	5	34	17	100	
Т	15	3	2	14	8	42	
SW		-	_				
N	27	2	0	32	36	98	
% A	28	2	0	33	37	100	
% T	18	1.4	0	22	25	66	
A							
Ν	26	4	0	36	32	97	
А	27	4	0	37	31	100	
Т	18	3	0	25	21	67	
PS							
N	27	2	2	31	23	85	
А	32	2	2	36	27	100	
Т	18	1.4	1.4	21	16	58	
MOC							
Ν	24	3	3	18	12	60	
А	40	5	5	30	20	100	
Т	17	2	2	12	8	41	
MOR							
N	15	2	2	11	10	40	
Α	38	5	5	28	25	100	
%T	10	1.4	1.4	8	7	27	
AS							
N	25	2	1	35	22	85	
% A	30	2	1.2	41	26	100	
%T	17	1.4	0.6	24	15	58	
CC							
N	10	2	1	13	8	34	
А	29	6	3	38	24	100	
%T	7	1.4	0.6	9	5	23	
CT							
N	9	1	1	17	11	39	
А	23	3	3	43	28	100	
%T	6	0.6	0.6	12	8	27	

A = % of faunal area.

T = % of total herpetofauna.

central part of an area that includes the lowlands of Bocas de Toro Province, Panama, the lowlands of eastern Nicaragua, and extreme northeastern Honduras.

Similarly, the Pacific Slope and Atlantic Slope faunal areas are part of areas that extend along the slopes of Chiriquf and Bocas de Toro Provinces, respectively, in western Panama. The Talamancan Highland faunal area would also include the continuation of the Cordillera de Talamanca into western Panama.

For this reason table 15.17, which summarizes the degree CC of species endemism in the Costa Rican herpetofauna, gives two values for each faunal area. One indicates the number of species known only for Costa Rica. The second records the number of otherwise Costa Rican species endemic to a particular area, including those portions of a continuous faunal area that extend outside the country.

Species endemism is highest in the Cordillera de Talamanca faunal area (27%), with lower endemism in the

Geographic Distribution	813
-------------------------	-----

Table 15.17. Degree of Species Endemism in Costa Rican Herpetofauna by Faunal Area

al	<i>N</i> in Area	CR N	Endemics %	Area N	Endemics
	73	0	0	16	22
	172	4	2.3	17	10
	206	8	4	27	13
	192	4	2.1	7	3.6
С	98	0	0	0	0
R	47	0	0	0	0
	189	9	4.8	13	7
	76	10	13	10	13
	67	11	16	18	27
AL N + total					
una	383	46	12	106	28

Note: See text for explanation of Costa Rican versus area endemics.

Northwestern, Cordillera Central, and Atlantic Lowland areas: 22, 13, and 13%, respectively. The Southwestern area has a lower but essentially equal value, 10%. The absence of endemics from the two Meseta Central faunal areas suggests that their recognition as discrete units is suspect.

GENERAL PATTERNS

The analysis above indicates that three general patterns of distribution reflect different histories for groups in the faunal areas. It should not be surprising that the autochthonous Middle American Element and Central American Component are major contributors to most of these faunas. The robust representation of South American Element taxa emphasizes the role of the isthmian region as an area of fairly recent, geologically speaking, mixing of tropical Middle American and South American biotas.

The three patterns are as follows:

- 1. Fauna) areas in which a combination of Middle American and South American elements make up 65% or more of the herpetofauna (SW, A)
- II. Fauna) areas in which a combination of Middle American Element and Central American Component taxa make up 65% or more of their composition, with Middle American genera predominating (PS, AS, CC)
- III. A faunal area where Middle American Element and Central American component genera are nearly equal in contribution (NW)

The areas constituting the Meseta Central Occidental and Meseta Central Oriental are anomalous as the only putative areas in which Central American genera (40:30%) substantially outnumber Middle American (30:28%) ones. They also resemble the NW area in having relatively low numbers of South American Element representatives.

The Cordillera de Talamanca area is unique and ambiguous as to placement, since it could be referred to pattern 1 (MA = 43% + SA = 28% = 71%) or pattern II (MA = 43% + CA = 23% = 66%).

The degree of species endemism within these areas sheds further light an the situation. The high degree of endemism combined with its unique combination of taxa strongly suggests a long-term isolation of the NW fauna from the others. The relatively high level of endemism in SW, A, and CT faunal areas seems to indicate separate histories within a single pattern (1).

Within pattern II, there appears to be no basis for separating out PS and AS as distinctive faunal areas, since they are similar in generic composition and proportional representation of historical units. The Cordillera Central is not supported as a separate faunal area but appears to be at one end of a gradient in historical unit contributions from



Figure 1 5.7. Faunal areas for the Costa Rican herpetofauna.

PS + AS -* MOC - MORC - CC. If PS + AS + CC are combined the level of species endemism is 15%, similar to that for other recognizable faunal areas.

The Meseta Central Occidental (MOC) and Meseta Central Oriental (MOR) have no endemic species and most closely resemble NW in generic composition. As Scott (1969) pointed out long ago, they appear to form a geographic transitional area between patterns III (MA = 34% + CA = 36%= 70%) and II, that is, the NW and upland areas. The Meseta Central Occidental more closely resembles the Northwest region in proportions of historical contributions MA = 30% + CA = 40% = 70% and the Meseta Central Oriental (MA = 28% + CA = 38% = 66%) the uplands (PS = AS + CC): an balance both Meseta faunas are best regarded as part of the Upland Fauna because of the greater similarity in generic composition compared with that of the Northwestern region.

In summary, the following discrete recognizable faunal areas (fig. 15.7) appear to have had separate histories and are the biogeographic areas whose history will be traced in chapter 16:

Lowland

- Pacific Northwest (NW)
- Southwest (SW)
- Atlantic (A)
- Upland/Highland
- Montane Slopes and Cordillera Central (SCC) Highland
- Cordillera de Talamanca (CT)

Development of the Herpetofauna

land-positive region has a lang and complex geologic and climatological history spanning some 90 million years from the late Cretaceous to the present (fig. 16.1). To explain the evolution of the Costa Rican herpetofauna it is first necessary to describe something of this broader history in order to establish the context. The following paragraphs highlight the most important events in earth's history that shaped the region and in turn are responsible for the patterns of distribution described in chapters 14 and 15.

PALEOGEOGRAPHIC BACKGROUND

Mobile Plates, Blocks, and Island Arcs

Among the most exciting scientific discoveries of the second half of the nineteenth century was the realization that the earth's outer layer consists of a number of rigid, mobile plates riding an a deeper elastic, nearly liquid plastic layer. The plates underlying the oceans are about 65 km deep, and those making up the continents are as deep as 140 km (box 16.1). The uppermost portion of the plates forms the earth's rocklike crust. Oceanic crust is very dense, highly magnetized, and relatively thin, about 5 km thick. Continental crust is much lighter, less magnetized, and much thicker than oceanic crust-about 35 to 40 km thick. The two kinds of crust are also composed of different kinds of rocks. In the course of geologic history continental and oceanic crust have maintained their integrity, and interactions between the various plates at their boundaries produced many of the most prominent features of the earth's geography. In addition, the plates have not remained static in position through time; in the Permian what we now recognize as continents formed a single continent, Pangaea, whose constituent plates have separated and drifted apart over the intervening 225 million years.

The geography of Central America is the result of a complex geologic development over the past 75 million years involving the interactions of five of these mobile tectonic plates (fig. 16.2). The current structure of the land portions of the area consists of four primary crustal blocks:

1. Mayan block: mostly continental crust with its southern border at the Motagua fault system in Guatemala

The following paragraphs and accompanying figures (figs. 16.3 to 16.6) present a summary of the major geologic events affecting the origins of the extant herpetofauna, based primarily an a synthesis of data from Coates and Obando (1996), Donnelly et al. (1990), Escalante (1990), Frisch, Meschede, and Sick (1992), Kerr and Iturralde (1999), Lucas (1986), Mann (1995), Marshall and Sempre (1993), Pindell and Barrett (1990), Rage (1978, 1981, 1986, 1995), and Savage (1982).

- C ENTRAL AMERICA as a 2. Chortis block: mostly continental crust with its southern boundary at the Santa Elena fault in northern Costa Rica
 - 3. Chorotega block: accretionary crust, with its southeastern border at the Gattin fault
 - 4. Chocö block: accretionary crust with its boundary with the South American plate at the Romerol fault

The Mayan, Chortis, and Chorotega blocks are bordered an the Pacific margins by the Middle American trench, where oceanic crust is being subducted under the lighter continental and accretionary crust. The Chocö block is similarly bordered an the Pacific by the Colombia trench subduction zone (box 16.2). It should be noted that before about 25 million years ago (Ma) the Cocos and Nazca plates were part of the Farallon plate (Atwater 1989).

The initial fragmentation of the supercontinent Pangaea into a northern Land mass, Laurasia, and a southern one, Gondwanaland, was essentially completed by the middle of the Jurassic epoch, about 160 Ma (Barron et al. 1981).

Box 16.1

- Principal Types of Crusts Forming Upper Layers of Earth's Surface
- Oceanic: formed mainly at midoceanic ridges and bot Spots
- Continental: formed by mantle differentiation, magmatism, sedimentation, and metamorphism; mostly ancient Precambrian crystalline rock
- Accretionary: formed from a mixture of rock types principally at a subduction zones