Phylogenetic analysis of Geotrupidae (Coleoptera, Scarabaeoidea) based on larvae

JOSÉ R. VERDÚ¹, EDUARDO GALANTE¹, JEAN-PIERRE LUMARET² and FRANCISCO J. CABRERO-SAÑUDO³

¹Centro Iberoamericano de la Biodiversidad (CIBIO), Universidad de Alicante, Spain;

²CEFE, UMR 5175, Département Ecologie des Arthropodes, Université Paul Valéry, Montpellier, France; and

³Departamento Biodiversidad y Biología Evolutiva, Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales (CSIC), Madrid, Spain

Abstract. Thirty-eight characters derived from the larvae of Geotrupidae (Scarabaeoidea, Coleoptera) were analysed using parsimony and Bayesian inference. Trees were rooted with two Trogidae species and one species of Pleocomidae as outgroups. The monophyly of Geotrupidae (including Bolboceratinae) is supported by four autapomorphies: abdominal segments 3-7 with two dorsal annulets, chaetoparia and acanthoparia of the epipharynx not prominent, glossa and hypopharynx fused and without sclerome, trochanter and femur without fossorial setae. Bolboceratinae showed notable differences with Pleocomidae, being more related to Geotrupinae than to other groups. Odonteus species (Bolboceratinae s.str.) appear to constitute the closest sister group to Geotrupinae. Polyphyly of Bolboceratinae is implied by the following apomorphic characters observed in the 'Odonteus lineage': anterior and posterior epitormae of epipharynx developed, tormae of epipharynx fused, oncyli of hypopharynx developed, tarsal claws reduced or absent, plectrum and pars stridens of legs well developed and apex of antennal segment 2 with a unique sensorium. A 'Bolbelasmus lineage' is supported by the autapomorphic presence of various sensoria on the apex of the antennal segment, and the subtriangular labrum (except Eucanthus). This group constituted by Bolbelasmus, Bolbocerosoma and Eucanthus is the first evidence for a close relationship among genera, but more characters should be analysed to test the support for the clade. A preliminary classification at tribe level of Geotrupinae is suggested as follows: Chromogeotrupini (type genus Chromogeotrupes), Lethrini (type genus Lethrus), Taurocerastini (type genus Taurocerastes) and Geotrupini (type genus Geotrupes). Some ecological facts of Geotrupidae evolution could also be explained by the present results, such as those related to diet and nesting behaviour. Both coprophagy and male-female co-operation in nesting appear as derived traits.

Introduction

Geotrupidae include sixty-eight genera and about 620 species distributed in temperate, subtropical and Asian-tropical regions. It is classified into three subfamilies (*sensu* Lawrence & Newton, 1995). The Geotrupinae are distributed mainly in the Holarctic region. The Lethrinae are distributed

predominantly in Eastern Europe and Asia. The Bolboceratinae (= Bolboceratidae *sensu* Scholtz & Browne, 1996; including Bolboceratini and Athyreini) occur in Australia, Africa, Europe and South America. Some authors (e.g. Zunino, 1984a, b) include the southern South American Taurocerastinae at subfamily level.

Many authors have considered Geotrupidae to be monophyletic (e.g. Crowson, 1954, 1960, 1981; Paulian, 1959; Balthasar, 1963; Howden, 1964; Medvedev, 1976; Iablokoff-Khnzorian, 1977; Scholtz, 1990; Lawrence & Newton, 1995). Nevertheless, Scholtz & Browne (1996) described the family Bolboceratidae as an independent lineage more

Correspondence: José R. Verdú, CIBIO, Universidad de Alicante, San Vicente del Raspeig, E-03080 Alicante, Spain. E-mail: jr.verdu@ua.es

closely related to Pleocomidae. Morphological studies on immature stages have suggested a probable polyphyly in the Bolboceratinae group (Verdú et al., 1998), as Bolbelasmus and Odonteus features differ considerably. Some authors (Davis, 1935; Paulian, 1941; Ritcher, 1947) have included the genus *Pleocoma* in the Geotrupidae, although *Pleocoma* species differ from the geotrupids on many adult and larval characters (e.g. Browne & Scholtz, 1999). On the basis of morphological and biological characters, Howden (1982) hypothesized that the Geotrupinae (including Geotrupini, Athyreini, Bolboceratini, and Lethrini) form a monophyletic lineage closely related to the Pleocomidae (= Pleocominae sensu Howden, 1982). Conversely, other authors (Browne & Scholtz, 1995, 1999; Scholtz & Chown, 1995; Scholtz & Browne, 1996) suggested that geotrupids constitute a polyphyletic group with Bolboceratidae being a part of a lineage which includes Pleocomidae, Trogidae, Glaphyridae, Passalidae, Lucanidae, and Diphyllostomatidae, whereas Geotrupidae (including Geotrupinae, Taurocerastinae, and Lethrinae) are part of a different lineage which includes Ochodaeidae, Ceratocanthidae and Hybosoridae.

Crowson (1954) suggested that speculation on the systematic placement of *Taurocerastes* and *Frickius* should be delayed until the larvae were known, inferring that adult characters were not sufficient. Nevertheless the systematic position of these genera has been discussed by taxonomists, with allocation to either Geotrupinae (Geotrupini *sensu* Howden, 1982) at tribe level (Ritcher, 1968, 1969; Howden, 1982) or with Taurocerastinae at subfamily level (e.g. Zunino, 1984a, b).

In most papers dealing with the phylogeny of Coleoptera, adult characters are the rule, mainly because larval material is mostly missing or has been very poorly compared with adult character sets. In the previous classifications dealing with adults in Geotrupidae (Zunino, 1984a; Browne & Scholtz, 1995, 1999), polytomies and inconsistency between phylogenetic trees were obtained. On the other hand, in the previous analyses which combined adult and larval characters (Howden, 1982; Browne & Scholtz, 1999), the set of larval characters was too reduced to provide significant effects on the results. Moreover, in these studies, several mistakes have been noticed in the structure of larval respiratory spiracles and the morphology of endoskeletal figure (nomenclature *sensu* Ritcher, 1966) of anal lobes (see the reinterpretation in the present paper).

In Coleoptera, many apomorphic larval characters were crucial to elucidate phylogenetic relationships at the highest taxonomic levels in spite of the conservative nature of some characters (e.g. Crowson, 1954; Ritcher, 1966; Chown & Scholtz, 1990; Scholtz & Peck, 1990; Ashe & Newton, 1993; Beutel, 1993, 1999; Pinto *et al.*, 1996; Archangelsky, 1998; Beutel *et al.*, 1999; Beutel & Hörnschemeyer, 2002). For example, in Hydrophiloidea, a combined analysis at the family level showed that larvae were most informative deeper in the phylogeny, compared with adults which were more informative at the apex of the tree (Archangelsky, 1998). Also, in several larval studies of Scarabaeoidea, as expected, larval characters appeared to be more conservative than those of adults, but allowed elucidation of small monogeneric or paucigeneric groups (Hayes, 1949; Ritcher, 1966; Paulian & Lumaret, 1974, 1982; Verdú et al., 1998; Verdú & Galante, 2001). In the present study, we hypothesize that the use of larval characters may provide powerful information to enlighten the phylogenetic history of Geotrupidae. All geotrupid larvae develop subterranean nests, a buffered system (stability of microclimatic conditions) protected from competition for food and space (individual brood masses). Conversely to larvae, the adults have to compete for reproduction and for food (both for themselves and the provisioning of the nest) against other geotrupids and all dung beetles present inside dung pats. In some species, adults have developed thoracic horns in males (e.g. Typhaeus, Taurocerastes) which could have orientated selection in the mating system, as observed in males of Onthophagus with cephalic horns (Moczek & Emlen, 2000; Emlen, 2001). In dung beetles, larvae have primitive mandibles compared with adults, whose mandibles differ according to the food regime or behaviour (Cambefort, 1991). Mouthparts of larvae of Anomalini (Scarabaeidae: Rutelinae) are very uniform in contrast to those observed in adults (Murayama, 1931; Ritcher, 1966). Adult beetles associated with rabbit pellets as food show morphological convergence of the epipharynx, as in several species of Aphodius and Onthophagus (Verdú & Galante, 2004). The specialization on dry excrements (such as rabbit pellets) also led to modifications in the shape of the hypopharynx and the mandibles of Thorectes adults (Verdú & Galante, 2004). In Lethrinae, adults are equipped with very large, chisel-shaped mandibles with which they cut young leaves. Afterwards, cut leaves are chewed by the female and packed into burrows in the shape of a sausage, similar to those of Geotrupinae (Popovici-Baznosanu, 1932; Nikolaiev, 1966). More generally, particular trophic habits and morphological adaptations in adults are more frequent and recent than in larvae.

Using only larval characters, we expect that in geotrupids these characters could be more informative than adult ones deeper in the phylogeny, with less background noise than for adult characters.

Our contribution presents a study of the larval morphology of representatives of all families, subfamilies and tribes classified historically into Geotrupidae (*sensu lato*); only the Athyreini are excluded here because their larvae are unknown.

Four main questions will be considered: (1) the monophyly or polyphyly of the Geotrupidae; (2) the phylogenetic relationships between the Bolboceratinae (or Bolboceratidae, *sensu* Scholtz & Browne, 1996) and the Pleocomidae; (3) the possible polyphyly of the Bolboceratine group; and (4) the systematic position of the Taurocerastinae.

Materials and methods

Taxa studied

For this study, larvae were obtained either by breeding adults in the laboratory or by collecting larvae in the field. Field-caught larvae were kept in the laboratory throughout their larval development in order to obtain the final instar and adults for identification. This material has been deposited at the Entomological Collections of the Universidad de Alicante, Spain and the Université Paul Valéry, Montpellier, France. Other taxa were obtained from museum collections: Oregon State University; the Canadian Museum of Nature, Instituto de Ecología de Xalapa, México; or borrowed from Dr V. Grebennikov. A list of taxa studied is shown in Table 1.

Data on the larvae of *Odonteus liebecki* (Wallis, 1928), *O. simi* (Wallis, 1928), *Bolbocerosoma tumefactum* (Palisot de Beauvois, 1805), *Anoplotrupes stercorosus* (Scriba, 1790), *Ceratophyus gopherinus* Cartwright, 1966, and *C. polyceros* Pallas, 1771, were taken from the literature (van Emden, 1941; Ritcher, 1947, 1966; Howden, 1955; Ritcher & Duff, 1971; Nikolaiev, 1975).

Nomenclatural note: After the controversial comments about the precedence of *Bolboceras* Kirby, or *Odonteus* Samouelle made by Jameson & Howden (2002) and Krell *et al.* (2003), we considered more appropriate the precedence of *Odonteus* over *Bolboceras* according to arguments of Krell *et al.* (2003).

Specimen preparation and study

Dissections of larval skins were made with a stereomicroscope (magnification up to $40\times$), and separated parts were studied on temporary slides under a microscope using magnifications of 100 and 200×. Drawings were made using a FSA 25 PE tube (Leica[®]). Light micrographs of respiratory spiracles were recorded on Ektachrome 64T (Kodak[®]) film with a photomicroscope (Leitz DM-RB, Leica[®]) using interference contrast. Scanning electron microscopy of mandibles and respiratory spiracles was carried out using a scanning microscope (JSM-840, Jeol[®]). Mouthparts and spiracles were first cleaned with ether–alcohol (1:1) and ultrasound and then coated with gold for 4 min. Anatomical terminology follows Jerath (1960) and Ritcher (1966).

Characters and character states used in the analysis

- 1. *General body shape*: (0) broadly C-shaped (Figs 1, 2); (1) strongly curved at the level of fourth or fifth abdominal segments (Fig. 3).
- 2. *Last abdominal segment*: (0) rounded and narrowed apically (Fig. 1); (1) obliquely flattened (Figs 2, 3).
- 3. *Sclerotized plate of prothorax*: (0) strongly sclerotized; (1) slightly sclerotized.
- 4. *Abdominal segments* 3–7: (0) with four dorsal annulets (Fig. 4); (1) with three dorsal annulets (Fig. 5); (2) with two dorsal annulets (Figs 1–3).
- 5. *Clypeo-frontal suture*: (0) present (Fig. 6); (1) absent (Figs 7, 8).

- 6. *Epicranial suture*: (0) not extending between frontal suture (Figs 6, 8a); (1) extending between frontal suture (Fig. 7).
- 7. Frontal suture: (0) V-shaped (Figs 6, 8a); (1) U-shaped (Fig. 7).
- 8. Clypeus: (0) symmetric (Figs 6, 8a); (1) asymmetric (Fig. 7).
- 9. Stemmata: (0) present (Fig. 8b); (1) absent.
- 10. Antenna: (0) with three segments (Figs 9–11); (1) with two segments (Fig. 12).
- 11. Apex of antennal segment 2: (0) with various sensoria (Fig. 9); (1) with a unique sensorium (Figs 10–12).

Based on more than 100 species of Scarabaeoidea studied, we have observed in several taxa (e.g. Aphodiidae and Geotrupidae) that only the number of larval sensoria shows phylogenetic information at the high taxa level. The shape of sensoria is very variable (e.g. conical, disklike, flattened) at the specific level, as observed between species of *Aphodius* subgenera (Verdú & Galante, 1997) and also in *Geotrupes* genus (unpublished data).

- Labrum shape: (0) subspherical (Figs 13, 15); (1) subtriangular not trilobed (Fig. 14); (2) trilobed (Figs 16–18).
- Anterior epitorma of epipharynx: (0) absent (Figs 13–15);
 (1) slightly developed (Fig. 16); (2) well developed (Figs 17, 18).
- 14. Posterior epitorma of epipharynx: (0) absent (Figs 13–15);
 (1) slightly developed (Figs 16, 17); (2) well developed (Fig. 18).
- 15. Sclerotized plates of epipharynx: (0) present (Fig. 15);(1) absent.
- 16. *Tormae of epipharynx*: (0) not fused in middle (Figs 13, 14); (1) fused (Figs 15–18).
- 17. *Crepis of epipharynx*: (0) posterior to the tormae (Fig. 13); (1) inserted and aligned to the tormae (Figs 15–18).
- 18. *Macrosensillae of epipharynx*: (0) not aligned with protophoba (Figs 13–16); (1) aligned (Figs 17, 18).
- 19. Plegmatia of epipharynx: (0) present (Fig. 13); (1) absent.
- 20. *Haptomerum of epipharynx*: (0) with heli (Fig. 13); (1) without heli.
- 21. *Chaetoparia and acanthoparia of epipharynx*: (0) prominent; (1) not prominent.
- 22. Oncyli of hypopharynx: (0) absent (Fig. 19); (1) with symmetric oncyli (Fig. 20); (2) with asymmetric oncyli (Figs 21, 22).
- 23. Glossa and hypopharynx: (0) separated by a transverse sclerome (Fig. 19); (1) fused, without sclerome (Figs 20–22).
- 24. *Lacinia of maxillae*: (0) with bidentate apex; (1) with tridentate apex.
- 25. *Retinaculum of mandibles*: (0) absent (Figs 23, 26); (1) slightly developed (Figs 24, 25); (2) well developed (Fig. 27).
- Molar region of mandibles: (0) poorly developed, molar surface simple (Figs 24, 25); (1) well developed, molar surface asperate or ridged (Figs 23, 26, 27).
- Respiratory spiracles: (0) biforous (Fig. 28); (1) cribriform oligoforous (Figs 29–31); (2) cribriform multiforous (Figs 32–34).

Table 1. Taxa and larval material examined.

Taxa	Material studied	Collections	
Pleocomidae			
Pleocoma Le Conte, 1856			
Pleocoma linslevi Hovore, 1971 ^a	Penultimate-instar larva	XAL	
Trogidae			
Polynoncus Burmeister, 1876			
Polynoncus aeger (Guérin, 1844) ^a	Four final-instar,	CEUA	
	two second-instar larvae		
Trox Fabricius, 1775			
Trox cricetulus Adám, 1994 ^a	Seven final-instar larvae	CEUA	
Geotrupidae			
Anoplotrupes Jekel, 1866			
Anoplotrupes horni (Blanchard, 1888) ^b	One final-instar larva	CMN	
Bolbelasmus Boucomont, 1910			
Bolbelasmus bocchus (Erichson, 1841) ^c	One final-instar larva	CEUA	
Bolbelasmus gallicus (Mulsant, 1842) ^a	One final-instar larva	CEUA	
Ceratophyus Fischer de Waldheim, 1823			
Ceratophyus hoffmannseggi Fairmaire, 1856 ^d	Three final-instar,	UPV	
	two final-instar larvae	CEUA	
Ceratotrupes Jekel, 1865			
Ceratotrupes bolivari Halffter & Martínez, 1962 ^e	One final-instar larva	CMN	
Cnemotrupes Jekel, 1865			
Cnemotrupes blackburnii (Fabricius, 1781)	Three final-instar,	Ore	
	four second-instar, two final-instar larvae		
Cnemotrupes semiopacus (Jekel, 1866) ^b	One final-instar larva	CMN	
Cnemotrupes splendidulus (Fabricius, 1775) ^b	One final-instar larva	CMN	
Cnemotrupes ulkei (Blanchard, 1888) ^f	One second-instar larva	CMN	
Eucanthus Westwood, 1852			
Eucanthus lazarus (Fabricius, 1775) ^f	One final-instar larva	Ore	
Frickius Germain, 1897			
Frickius variolosus Germain, 1897 ^g	One final-instar larva	CMN	
Geohowdenius Zunino, 1984			
Geohowdenius egeriei (Germar, 1824) ^b	One final-instar larva	CMN	
Geohowdenius opacus (Haldeman, 1853) ^h	One final-instar larva	CMN	
Geotrupes Latreille, 1796			
Geotrupes ibericus Baraud, 1958 ^a	Three final-instar larvae	CEUA	
Geotrupes mutator (Marsham, 1802) ⁱ	Two second-instar larvae	CEUA	
Geotrupes puncticollis (Malinowsky, 1811) ⁱ	Three final-instar,	CEUA	
	two second-instar,		
	one final-instar larvae	UPV	
Geotrupes stercorarius (Linnaeus, 1758) ⁱ	One final-instar larva	Ore	
Lethrus Scopoli, 1777			
Lethrus (Abrognathus) tuberculifrons Ballion, 1870 ^a	Three second-instar larvae	Ore	
Lethrus (Lethrus) apterus Laxman, 1870 ⁷	One second-instar,	V. Grebennikov	
	two first-instar larvae		
Mycotrupes Le Conte, 1866			
Mycotrupes gaigei Olson & Hubbell, 1954 ^k	One final-instar larva	CMN	
Odonteus Samouelle, 1819			
Odonteus armiger (Scopoli, 1772)	One final-instar larva	V. Grebennikov	
Odonteus darlingtoni (Wallis, 1928) ^b	One final-instar larva	CMN	
	one second-instar larva	Ore	
Odonteus obesus (Le Conte, 1859) ¹	One final-instar larva	Ore	
Peltotrupes Blanchard, 1888			
Peltotrupes youngi Howden, 1955 ^b	Three final-instar,	Ore	
	one final-instar larvae	CMN	
Sericotrupes Zunino, 1984			
Sericotrupes niger (Marsham, 1802) ^a	Ten final-instar,	UPV	
	three second-instar,		
	one first-instar larvae		
Taurocerastes Philippi, 1866			

Taurocerastes patagonicus Philippi, 1866 ^m	One final-instar larva	CMN
Thorectes Mulsant, 1842		
Thorectes (Jekelius) albarracinus (Wagner, 1928) ^a	Six final-instar larvae	CEUA
Thorectes (Jekelius) intermedius (O.G. Costa, 1827) ^a	Three final-instar larvae	CEUA
Thorectes (Jekelius) punctatolineatus (François, 1904) ^a	Three final-instar,	
	two second-instar larvae	CEUA
Thorectes (Jekelius) sericeus (Jekel, 1865) ^a	Two final-instar larvae	UPV
Thorectes (Thorectes) baraudi López-Colón, 1981 ^a	Four final-instar larvae	CEUA
Thorectes (Thorectes) laevigatus lusitanicus (Jekel, 1866) ^a	Twenty final-instar larvae	CEUA
Thorectes (Thorectes) valencianus (Baraud, 1966) ^a	Four final-instar,	CEUA
	two second-instar larvae	
Trypocopris Motschulsky, 1858		
Trypocopris pyrenaeus (Charpentier, 1825) ^a	Two second-instar larvae	UPV
Typhaeus Leach, 1815		
Typhaeus typhoeus (Linnaeus, 1758) ⁱ	Two final-instar larvae	UPV

CEUA, Entomological Collections of the Universidad de Alicante, Spain; UPV, Université Paul Valéry, Montpellier, France; Ore, Oregon State University; CMN, Canadian Museum of Nature; XAL, Instituto de Ecología de Xalapa, México

^{*a*}First published data on their larval morphology. ^{*b*}Previously published by Howden (1955).

^cPreviously published by Verdú *et al.* (1998).

^dPreviously published by Lumaret (1984).

^ePreviously published by Howden (1967).

^fPreviously published by Ritcher (1947).

^gPreviously published by Howden (1982).

^{*h*}Previously published by Howden (1964).

ⁱPreviously published by van Emden (1941).

^{*j*}Previously published by Panin (1957).

^kPreviously published by Olson *et al.* (1954). ^lPreviously published by Ritcher (1966).

^mPreviously published by Howden & Peck (1987).

Biforous spiracles are found in larvae of Trogidae. Cribriform (oligoforous) spiracles, considered by Ritcher (1966), Scholtz & Browne (1996), and Browne & Scholtz (1999) as 'not biforous', are present in the Bolboceratinae group. Cribriform multiforous spiracles, described by Howden (1982) as 'not cribriform', are present in Lethrinae, Geotrupinae and Taurocerastinae.

- 28. *Legs*: (0) equal or subequal in length (Figs 35–39); (1) metathoracic leg reduced (Fig. 40).
- 29. *Trochanter and femur*: (0) with fossorial setae; (1) without fossorial setae.
- 30 *Metathoracic leg*: (0) four-segmented (Fig. 35); (1) three-segmented (Figs 36–40).

Within Bolboceratinae, the metathoracic legs of *Bolbocerosoma* Schaeffer, 1906 and *Bolbelasmus* are foursegmented (Ritcher, 1966; Verdú *et al.*, 1998). Moreover, the metathoracic legs of *Odonteus* species are not two-segmented as indicated by Ritcher (1966), Howden (1982) and Browne & Scholtz (1999). A reduced tibiotarsus is shown in Fig. 38.

- 31. Plectrum of mesothoracic leg: (0) absent (Figs 35–37);(1) well developed (Figs 38a–40a).
- 32. *Pars stridens of metathoracic leg*: (0) absent; (1) well developed (Figs 38b-40b).
- 33. *Tarsal claws*: (0) well developed (Figs 35–37); (1) reduced or absent (Figs 38–40).
- 34. *Lateral anal lobe*: (0) absent (Figs 41–42); (1) developed Figs 43–49).
- 35. Ventral anal lobes: (0) not fused (Fig. 48); (1) fused (Fig. 49).

- Lateral anal lobes: (0) without dorso-exterior indentation (e.g. Fig. 45); (1) with deep dorso-exterior indentation (Fig. 46).
- Ventral anal lobes: (0) without exterior indentation (e.g. Fig. 46); (1) with deep exterior indentation (Figs 45, 47).
- Lateral anal lobes: (0) without dorso-interior indentation (e.g. Fig. 46); (1) with deep dorso-interior indentation (Fig. 47).

Cladistic analysis

The phylogenetic analyses of the Geotrupidae included thirty-eight larval characters and twenty-two genera (fortyfour species in total). *Trox cricetulus, Polynoncus aeger* and *Pleocoma linsleyi* were used as outgroup taxa. The data matrix used in the analysis is shown in Table 2.

Three analyses are presented here; first, a heuristic parsimony analysis was performed with 1000 random stepwise additions of taxa (TBR branch swapping, MulTrees option in effect). These phylogenetic analyses were performed in PAUP* version 4.0 (Swofford, 1998), under the Fitch criterion (Fitch, 1971). Characters were of equal weight. A heuristic search was then performed with TBR. All characters were unordered. Bootstrap values for clades were calculated in 500 replicates using a single heuristic search.

The second analysis, a ratchet parsimony analysis (Nixon, 1999), was carried out with WINCLADA 1.00.08 (Nixon, 2002), in order to find the most parsimonious tree



Figs 1–12. General body shape: 1, *Bolbelasmus bocchus* (from Verdú *et al.*, 1998); 2, *Typhaeus typhoeus*; 3, *Thorectes valencianus*. Abdominal segments: 4, *Pleocoma linsleyi*; 5, *Polynoncus aeger*. Head: 6, *Frickius variolosus*; 7, *Thorectes punctatolineatus*; 8, *Trox cricetulus*. Antennae: 9, *Bolbelasmus gallicus*; 10, *Odonteus armiger*; 11, *Thorectes punctatolineatus*; 12, *Ceratophyus hoffmanseggi*. PRSC = prescutum; SCU = scutum; SCL = scutellum; PSCL = postscutellum; FS = frontal suture; CFS = clypeo-frontal suture; CS = coronal suture; ST = stemmata.

© 2004 The Royal Entomological Society, Systematic Entomology, 29, 509-523

18



16

17





Figs 13–22. Epipharynx: 13, *Pleocoma linsleyi*; 14, *Bolbelasmus gallicus*; 15, *Polynoncus aeger*; 16, *Odonteus armiger*; 17, *Typhaeus typhoeus*; 18, *Thorectes intermedius*. Hypopharynx: 19, *Pleocoma linsleyi*; 20, *Bolbelasmus bocchus* (from Verdú *et al.*, 1998); 21, *Odonteus armiger*; 22, *Thorectes intermedius*. PL = plegmatium; HE = heli; ACP = acanthoparia; CPA = chaetoparia; MSS = macrosensillae; SP = sclerotized plate; ETA = anterior epitorma; ETP = posterior epitorma; TS = transversal sclerome; O = oncily.

(MP tree; characters codified as nonadditive; Fitch, 1971; heuristic searches with 10 000 iterations/replication, one tree to hold/iteration, four characters to sample). The robustness of the resulting MP tree was tested by means of bootstrapping with 1000 pseudoreplications, as implemented in WINCLADA.

The third analysis, a Bayesian inference of phylogeny (Huelsenbeck & Ronquist, 2001), was performed with MRBAYES 3.0 (Huelsenbeck & Ronquist, 2002) by Metropolis coupled Markov chain Monte Carlo (MC3) sampling for 1 000 000 generations (four simultaneous MC chains, sample frequency 100, burnin=0) under the Mkv model





Figs 23–34. Scanning electron micrographs of mandibles: 23, *Pleocoma linsleyi*; 24, *Bolbelasmus gallicus*; 25, *Eucanthus lazarus*; 26, *Lethrus tuberculifrons*; 27, *Geotrupes ibericus*. Light (a) and scanning electron (b) micrographs of respiratory spiracles: 28, *Trox cricetulus*; 29, *Eucanthus lazarus*; 30, *Bolbelasmus gallicus*; 31, *Odonteus darlingtoni*; 32, *Frickius variolosus*; 33, *Lethrus tuberculifrons*; 34, *Thorectes punctatolineatus* (respiratory holes are indicated by arrows). R = retinaculum; M = molar area; RSP = respiratory plate; SS = spiracular slit.

© 2004 The Royal Entomological Society, Systematic Entomology, 29, 509-523



Figs 35–40. Meso- and metathoracic legs: 35, *Bolbelasmus gallicus*; 36, *Lethrus tuberculifrons*; 37, *Typhaeus typhoeus*; 38, *Odonteus armiger*, general view (a); metathoracic leg, anterior view (b); 39, *Ceratophyus hoffmannseggi*, general view (a); metathoracic leg, anterior view (b); 40, *Geotrupes ibericus*, general view (a); metathoracic leg, anterior view (b). CX = coxa; TR = trochanter; FE = femur; TT = tibiotarsus; CL = claw; PL = plectrum; PS = pars stridens.

(Lewis, 2001) with a default gamma correction for rate heterogeneity ($\alpha = 0.075$).

Results and discussion

Parsimony analysis

The heuristic analysis resulted in three MP trees (length = 65, consistency index (CI) = 0.69, retention index

(RI) = 0.86; Fig. 50). The ratchet parsimony inference also resulted in three MP trees (length = 66, CI = 0.68, RI = 0.84; Fig. 50). These MP trees are only differentiated by the position of *Eucanthus* and the relationship between *Bolbelasmus* and *Bolbocerosoma*. So, *Eucanthus* could be closely related to the clade composed of *Bolbelasmus* and *Bolbocerosoma*, or at the base of the remaining Geotrupidae genera. On the other hand, *Bolbelasmus* and *Bolbocerosoma* could constitute a monophyletic clade or a polytomy together with the group composed of the other Geotrupidae genera.



Figs 41–49. Anal lobes: 41, *Eucanthus lazarus*; 42, *Bolbelasmus bocchus* (from Verdú *et al.*, 1998); 43, *Odonteus armiger*; 44, *Lethrus apterus*; 45, *Ceratophyus hoffmannseggi*; 46, *Typhaeus typhoeus*; 47, *Frickius variolosus*; 48, *Thorectes valencianus*; 49, *Geotrupes puncticollis*. DAL = dorsal anal lobe; VAL = ventral anal lobe; LL = lateral lobe.

The strict consensus tree for the three MPs of each analysis is shown in Fig. 51; bootstrapping values over 50% are also represented for both analyses. *Bolbelasmus, Bolbocerosoma* and *Eucanthus* genera appear close to the outgroup and their distinction from the rest is relatively well supported. Other supported groups within the remaining Geotrupidae are *Odonteus* and the clade composed of *Frickius* and *Taurocerastes*. Although there seems to be some kind of topological structure within the tree, as shown by strict consensus, most terminal taxa have negligible support or are not supported at all.

Bayesian inference

A Bayesian inference based on the morphological dataset arrived at a similar and congruent consensus tree (Fig. 52). This analysis recovered the clade of *Bolbelasmus* and *Bolbocerosoma* as sister to the remaining Geotrupidae. The next clade might be *Eucanthus*, but this clade and its position are not sufficiently supported. Again, *Odonteus* and the group constituted by *Frickius* and *Taurocerastes* are also significantly differentiated, as well as the *Ceratophyus* and *Peltotrupes* clade. However, the relationships between the



Figs 50-51. Phylogenetic parsimony inferences: 50, Phylogenetic hypothesis preferred among the most parsimonious (MP) trees obtained through the heuristic search and the ratchet procedure. Feeding preferences of larvae are shown in parentheses (Howden, 1955; Ritcher, 1966; Cambefort, 1991; Scholtz & Chown, 1995; Verdú et al., 1998; personal observations); 51, Strict consensus tree in both MP inferences. The numbers refer to boostrapping values (over 50%) of both heuristic (up) and ratchet (down) analyses.

remaining taxa are not specified or must be taken with caution as nodes are supported by posterior probabilities below 95%. Nevertheless, phylogenetic relationships within Geotrupidae appear slightly better resolved and supported in the Bayesian tree than in the MP strict consensus.

Monophyly of Geotrupidae

Monophyly of Geotrupidae is well supported in both parsimony and Bayesian analyses (Figs 50-52). Bolboceratinae (sensu lato) show notable differences from Pleocomidae, and are the sister group to the rest of Geotrupidae. The close phylogenetic relationship between Pleocomidae and Bolboceratinae (-idae) proposed by Browne & Scholtz (1999) is not supported; the following larval apomorphies reject their hypothesis: abdominal segments 3-7 with two dorsal annulets (nonhomoplasious character; character 4), clypeo-frontal suture absent (except for *Taurocerastes* and Frickius; character 5), labrum subtriangular or trilobed

(character 12), chaetoparia and acanthoparia of the epipharynx not prominent (nonhomoplasious character; character 21), glossa and hypopharynx fused, without sclerome (nonhomoplasious character; character 23), trochanter and femur without fossorial setae (character 29) and metathoracic legs three-segmented (except for Bolbelasmus and Bolbocerosoma; character 30).

From a biological viewpoint, adults of most species of Geotrupinae provision larvae in earthen burrows with decaying organic matter (dead leaves, fungus, dung, or humus) (e.g. Howden, 1955). Larvae of the 'Odonteus lineage' can be characterized as decaying vegetable matter consumers, concretely humus. Adults of Odonteus darlingtoni and O. liebecki were found to provide finely divided surface humus for their larvae, which they pack into the bottom of their burrows (Howden, 1955). 'Bolbelasmus lineage' species have been characterized as fungus and humus consumers, concretely for the larval stages (Howden, 1955; González-Peña, 1979; Verdú et al., 1998). However, adults of Pleocomidae do not feed and larvae are phytophagous, concretely root feeders (Ritcher, 1966) (summarized in Fig. 50).



Fig. 52. A strict consensus tree in Bayesian inference of phylogeny. The numbers refer to percentages of stabilized posterior probabilities (and higher than 50%) obtained for each clade through a MC3 procedure.

Polyphyly of the Bolboceratinae group

Bolboceratinae (-idae; s.l.) is clearly not monophyletic. Our results show that the Bolboceratinae group is divided into two lineages. The closest group to Geotrupinae is constituted by *Odonteus* species ('*Odonteus* lineage') and the second group by *Bolbelasmus*, *Bolbocerosoma*, and *Eucanthus* ('*Bolbelasmus* lineage').

The apomorphic character states supporting the 'Odonteus lineage' are: apex of antennal segment 2 with a unique sensorium (character 11), anterior and posterior epitormae of epipharynx developed (characters 13, 14), tormae of epipharynx fused (character 16), oncyli of hypopharynx developed (character 22), tarsal claws reduced or absent (character 33), and plectrum and pars stridens of legs well developed (characters 31, 32). The 'Bolbelasmus lineage' is supported by two apomorphies: apex of antennal segment with various sensoria (autapomorphy; character 11), and labrum subtriangular (excluding Eucanthus; character 12).

Phylogenetic relationships within Geotrupinae

The following apomorphic characters support the monophyly of Geotrupinae: last abdominal segment obliquely flattened (unique within Geotrupidae; character 2), anterior epitormae of epipharynx well developed (unique within Geotrupidae; character 13), macrosensillae of epipharynx forming an alignment with protophoba (unique within Geotrupidae; character 18), molar region of mandibles well developed and respiratory spiracles cribriform multiforous (character 26).

Within Geotrupinae, four groups are included: Chromogeotrupini (type genus Chromogeotrupes; represented by Typhaeus in the analysis) characterized by the presence of the posterior epitormae of the epipharynx slightly developed (character 14) and lateral anal lobes with a deep dorso-exterior indentation (character 36); Lethrini (type genus Lethrus) characterized by the presence of tarsal claws well developed (character 33) and the absence of plectrum of mesothoracic legs and pars stridens of metathoracic legs (characters 31, 32, including Typhaeus); Taurocerastini (type genus Taurocerastes) are included within Geotrupinae, which was also suggested by Howden (1982; fig. 15), and characterized by some apomorphies such as the reduction of the metathoracic legs (character 28) and the presence of well-developed stridulatory organs (character 32); Geotrupini (type genus Geotrupes) are characterized by a strongly curved body shape at the level of the fourth or fifth abdominal segment (nonhomoplasious character; character 1; excluding Ceratophyus and Peltotrupes) and the presence of a well-developed retinaculum of the mandibles (character 25; including Typhaeus). Moreover, the results suggested closer phylogenetic relationships between Ceratophyus and Peltotrupes, supported by some apomorphies such as the reduction of antennal segments (nonhomoplasious character; character 10) and the subtriangular not trilobed labrum shape (character 12), but to confirm this possible group as a new tribe, more characters should be analysed.

Evolutionary specialization: the quality of food and the nesting behaviour

A tendency to coprophagy is evident in several Scarabaeoidea families associated with dung, and in Geotrupidae in particular. The diet of the larvae of the most primitive geotrupids, such as the 'Odonteus lineage' and the 'Bolbelasmus lineage', is based on humus and fungi, whereas many Geotrupinae show coprophagous habits, with modification of mouthparts, particularly in adults (Halffter & Matthews, 1966; Cambefort, 1991). A similar evolutionary polarity regarding nesting behaviour can be seen. Primitive geotrupids construct simple burrows; adults pack surface humus and divide it into fine pieces to fill the nest. A single egg is laid in a small cavity just beyond the packed humus (Howden, 1955). In 'intermediate' geotrupids, such as Lethrini and Chromogeotrupini, adults construct burrows

		1		C		С		
	12345	67890	12345	67890	12345	67890	12345	678
Pleocoma	00100	00010	10001	00000	00010	12000	11000	000
Polynoncus	00010	00000	10000	11011	01001	12000	00000	000
Trox	00011	00000	10000	11011	01001	10000	00000	000
Anoplotrupes	11121	10110	12221	11111	12112	12111	11110	000
Bolbelasmus	00121	00010	01001	01011	11111	01010	00000	000
Bolbocerosoma	00121	00010	01001	01011	11111	01000	00000	000
Ceratophyus	01121	11111	11221	11111	12112	12011	11110	010
Ceratotrupes	11121	10110	12221	11111	12112	12111	11110	000
Cnemotrupes	11121	10110	12221	11111	12112	12111	11110	000
Eucanthus	00121	00010	02001	01011	11111	01001	00000	000
Frickius	01120	00010	12221	11111	12110	12111	11110	011
Geohowdenius	11121	10110	12221	11111	12112	12111	11110	000
Geotrupes	11121	11110	12221	11111	12112	12111	11111	000
Lethrus	01121	10010	12221	11111	12110	12011	00010	000
Mycotrupes	11121	10110	12221	11111	12112	12111	11110	000
Odonteus	00121	10010	12111	11011	12111	01001	11111	000
Peltotrupes	01121	10111	11221	11111	12112	12111	11110	000
Sericotrupes	11121	10110	12221	11111	12112	12111	11111	000
Taurocerastes	01120	00010	12221	11111	12110	12111	11110	011
Thorectes	11121	10110	12221	11111	12112	12111	11110	000
Trypocopris	11121	10110	12221	11111	12112	12111	11110	000
Typhaeus	01121	10110	12211	11111	12112	12011	00110	100

Table 2. Data matrix showing the characters and character states used for the cladistic analysis.

with compact 'sausages' of dead leaves and dung. The eggs are still deposited singly in a small cell in the sand outside the food (Howden, 1955; Brussaard, 1983). In 'modern' geotrupids, such as Geotrupini, dung is generally used as food. In some cases, dead leaves can be used, as in some *Cnemotrupes* Jekel, 1865 species (Howden, 1955). In this group, male–female co-operation is frequent and the egg is laid in a small cell in the food mass, as also occurs in modern Scarabaeinae (Howden, 1955; Halffter & Matthews, 1966; Klemperer, 1979; personal observations). The high diversity of the nesting architecture observed in this group has been considered a possible taxonomic character at generic and subgeneric levels (Howden, 1955).

Taxonomic arrangements

The present results have confirmed the existence of two different lineages within Bolboceratinae s.l. The *Odonteus* lineage constitutes the sister group to Geotrupinae. The second lineage is constituted by *Bolbelasmus*, *Bolbocerosoma*, and *Eucanthus*; this is the first evidence of the close relationship among these genera, but to confirm this group as a well-supported clade, more characters should be analysed. Within Geotrupinae, the analysis of the larval morphology suggests a preliminary classification at tribal level into Lethrini, Chromogeotrupini, Geotrupini and Taurocerastini.

Acknowledgements

For the loan of material we thank Dr D. D. Judd (Oregon State University, Corvallis), Dr F. Génier (Canadian Museum of Nature, Ottawa), Dr V. V. Grebennikov (University of Pretoria) and Dr M. A. Morón (Instituto de Ecología A. C. Xalapa). We are particularly indebted to Dr H. F. Howden (Canadian Museum of Nature, Ottawa), Dr C. H. Scholtz (University of Pretoria), Dr G. E. Rotheray (National Museums of Scotland), Dr M. B. Crespo (CIBIO, Universidad de Alicante), Dr C. J. Marshall (Cornell University) and Dr F.-T. Krell (The Natural History Museum, London) for extensively reviewing and improving the manuscript.

References

- Archangelsky, M. (1998) Phylogeny of Hydrophiloidea (Coleoptera: Staphyliniformia) using characters from adult and preimaginal stages. *Systematic Entomology*, 23, 9–24.
- Ashe, J.S. & Newton, A.F. (1993) Larvae of *Trichophya* and phylogeny of the tachyporine group of subfamilies (Coleoptera: Staphylinidae) with a review, new species and characterization of the Trichophynae. *Systematic Entomology*, **18**, 267–286.
- Balthasar, V. (1963) Monographie der Scarabaeidae und Aphodiidae der Paläarktischen und Orientalischen Region (Coleoptera Lamellicornia), Vol. 1. Tschechoslowakische Akademie der Wissenschaften.

- Beutel, R.G. (1993) Phylogenetic analysis of Adephaga (Coleoptera) based on characters of the larval head. Systematic Entomology, 18, 127–147.
- Beutel, R.G. (1999) Morphology and evolution of the larval head of Hydrophiloidea and Histeroidea (Coleoptera: Staphyliniformia). *Tijdschrift voor Entomologie*, **142**, 9–30.
- Beutel, R.G. & Hörnschemeyer, T. (2002) Larval morphology and phylogenetic position of *Micromalthus debilis* LeConte (Coleoptera: Micromalthidae). *Systematic Entomology*, 27, 169–190.
- Beutel, R.G., Maddison, D.R., Haas, A. (1999) Phylogenetic analysis of Myxophaga (Coleoptera) using larval characters. *Systematic Entomology*, 24, 171–192.
- Browne, D.J. & Scholtz, C.H. (1995) Phylogeny of the families of the Scarabaeoidea (Coleoptera) based on characters of the hindwing articulation, hindwing base and wing venation. *Systematic Entomology*, **20**, 145–173.
- Browne, D.J. & Scholtz, C.H. (1999) A phylogeny of the families of Scarabaeoidea (Coleoptera). Systematic Entomology, 24, 51–84.
- Brussaard, L. (1983) Reproductive behaviour and development of the dung beetle *Typhaeus typhoeus* (Coleoptera, Geotrupidae). *Tijschrift voor Entomologie*, **126**, 203–231.
- Cambefort, Y. (1991) From saprophagy to coprophagy. *Dung Beetle Ecology* (ed. by I. Hanski and Y. Cambefort), pp. 22–35. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey.
- Chown, S.L. & Scholtz, C.H. (1990) Description of the larva of *Christensia antarctica* Brinck with implications for the phylogeny of Ectemnorhinini (Curculionidae). *The Coleopterists Bulletin*, 44, 255–264.
- Crowson, R.A. (1954) (Reprint 1967) The Natural Classification of the Families of Coleoptera. E. W. Classey, Middlesex.
- Crowson, R.A. (1960) The phylogeny of Coleoptera. *Annual Review of Entomology*, **5**, 111–134.
- Crowson, R.A. (1981) The Biology of the Coleoptera. Academic Press, London.
- Davis, A.C. (1935) A revision of the genus *Pleocoma*. Bulletin of the Southern California Academy of Science, 33, 123–130.
- Emlen, D.J. (2001) Costs and the diversification of exaggerated animal structures. *Science*, **291**, 1534–1536.
- Fitch, W.M. (1971) Towards defining the course of evolution: minimum change for a specific tree topology. *Systematic Zoology*, **20**, 406–416.
- González-Peña, C. (1979) Contribución al conocimiento del género Bolbelasmus (Col., Geotrupidae): su hábitat, sus costumbres y su distribución en Aragón. Boletín de la Asociación Española de Entomología, 3, 23–27.
- Halffter, G. & Matthews, E.G. (1966) The natural history of dung beetles of the subfamily Scarabaeidae. *Folia Entomológica Mexicana*, **12–14**, 1–312.
- Hayes, W.M. (1949) The larva of *Pleocoma* and its systematic position (Coleoptera, Pleocomidae). *Entomological News*, 58, 117–127.
- Howden, H.F. (1955) Biology and taxonomy of North American beetles of the subfamily Geotrupinae, with revisions of the genera Bolbocerosoma, Eucanthus, Geotrupes, and Peltotrupes (Scarabaeidae). Proceedings of the United States National Museum, 104, 151–319.
- Howden, H.F. (1964) The Geotrupinae of North and Central America. Memoirs of the Entomological Society of Canada, 39, 1–91.
- Howden, H.F. (1967) Mexican Geotrupini: a new species of *Geotrupes* and description of the larva of *Ceratotrupes* (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). *Canadian Entomologist*, **99**, 1003–1007.
- Howden, H.F. (1982) Larval and adult characters of *Frickius* Germain, its relationship to the Geotrupini, and a phylogeny of some major taxa in the Scarabaeoidea (Insecta: Coleoptera). *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, **60**, 2713–2724.

- Howden, H.F. & Peck, S.B. (1987) Adult habits, larval morphology, and phylogenetic placement of *Taucerastes patagonicus* Philippi (Scarabaeidae: Geotrupidae). *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, **65**, 329–332.
- Huelsenbeck, J.P. & Ronquist, F.R. (2001) MrBayes: Bayesian inference of phylogeny. *Bioinformatics*, 17, 754–755.
- Huelsenbeck, J.P. & Ronquist, F.R. (2002) MrBayes 3.0 (http:// morphbank.ebc.uu.se/mrbayes3).
- Iablokoff-Khnzorian, S.M. (1977) Über die Phylogenie der Lamellicornia (Insecta, Coleoptera). Entomologische Abhandlungen, Staatliches Museum für Tierkunde Dresden, 41, 135–200.
- Jameson, M.L. & Howden, H.F. (2002) Bolboceras Kirby, 1819 and Odonteus Samouelle, 1819: proposed conservation of generic name. Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature, 59, 246–248.
- Jerath, M.L. (1960) Notes on larvae of nine genera of Aphodiinae in the United States (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). *Proceedings of* the United States Natural Museum, **111**, 43–94.
- Klemperer, H.G. (1979) An analysis of the nesting behaviour of *Geotrupes spiniger* Marsham (Coleoptera, Scarabaeidae). *Ecological Entomology*, 4, 133–150.
- Krell, F.-T., Ziani, S., Ballerio, A. (2003) Comment on the proposed precedence of *Bolboceras* Kirby, 1819 (July) (Insecta, Coleoptera) over *Odonteus* Samouelle, 1819 (June). *Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature*, **60**, 303–311.
- Lawrence, J.F. & Newton, A.F. (1995) Families and subfamilies of Coleoptera (with selected genera, notes, references and data on family-group names). *Biology, Phylogeny, and Classification of Coleoptera. Papers Celebrating the 80th Birthday of Roy A. Crowson* (ed. by J. Pakaluk and S. A. Slipiński), pp. 779–1006. Muzeum i Instytut Zoologii PAN, Warsaw.
- Lewis, P.O. (2001) A likelihood approach to estimating phylogeny from discrete morphological character data. *Systematic Biology*, 50, 913–925.
- Lumaret, J.-P. (1984) Description de la larve de *Ceratophyus* hoffmannseggi Fairmaire et position taxonomique du genre (Coleoptera: Geotrupidae). Annals de la Societé Entomologique de France (N.S.), **20**, 283–289.
- Medvedev, S.I. (1976) The systematic and phylogeny of the Palaeartic Scarabaeidae (Coleoptera). *Entomological Review*, 55, 97–103.
- Moczek, A.P. & Emlen, D.J. (2000) Male horn dimorphism in the scarab beetle, *Onthophagus taurus*: do alternative reproductive tactics favour alternative phenotypes? *Animal Behaviour*, **59**, 459–466.
- Murayama, J. (1931) A contribution to the morphological and taxonomic study of larvae of certain may-beetles which occur in the nurseries of the peninsula of Korea. *Bulletin of the Forest Experiment Station (Chosen, Japan)*, **11**, 1–108.
- Nikolaiev, G.V. (1966) Lethrus jacobsoni Sem. et Medv. (Coleoptera, Scarabaeidae), pest of vine-shoots in south Kazakhstan. Entomologicheskoe Obozrenie, 45, 814–818 [in Russian].
- Nikolaiev, G.V. (1975) A description of the larva of *Ceratophyus* polyceros (Pall.) (Coleoptera, Scarabaeidae). *Entomological Review of Washington*, **54**, 87–88 (translated from *Entomologicheskoe Obozrenie*).
- Nixon, K.C. (1999) The parsimony ratchet, a new method for rapid parsimony analysis. *Cladistics*, 15, 407–414.
- Nixon, K.C. (2002) Winclada, Version 1.00.08. Published by the author.
- Olson, A.L., Hubbell, T.H. & Howden, H.F. (1954) The burrowing beetles of the genus *Mycotrupes*. *Miscellaneous Publications of the University of Michigan Museum of Zoology*, 84, 1–59.
- Panin, S. (1957) Fauna Republicii Populare Romîne. Insecta: Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae, Vol. X (Fasc. 4). Academiei Republicii Populare Romîne, Bucharest.

- Paulian, R. (1941) La position systématique du genre *Pleocoma* Le Conte (Col. Scarabaeidae). *Revue Française d'Entomologie*, 8, 151–155.
- Paulian, R. (1959) Coléoptères Scarabéides. Faune de France 63. Lechevalier, Paris.
- Paulian, R. & Lumaret, J.-P. (1974) Les larves des Scarabaeidae. IV. Le genre Aulonocnemis Schaufuss. (Col.). Bulletin de la Société Entomologique de France, 79, 233–240.
- Paulian, R. & Lumaret, J.-P. (1982) La larve des Orphnidae. Bulletin de la Société Entomologique de France, 87, 262–272.
- Pinto, J.D., Bologna, M.A. & Bouseman, J.K. (1996) First-instar larvae, courtship and oviposition in Eletica: amending the definition of the Meloidae (Coleoptera: Tenebrionoidea). *Systematic Entomology*, **21**, 63–74.
- Popovici-Baznosanu, A. (1932) Beiträge zur Kenntnis des Rebschneiders Lethrus apterus Laxm. Zoologischer Anzeiger, 100, 3–13.
- Ritcher, P.O. (1947) Larvae of Geotrupinae, with keys to tribes and genera (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). *Bulletin of the Kentucky Agricultural Experiment Station*, **506**, 1–27.
- Ritcher, P.O. (1966) White Grubs and Their Allies. A Study of North American Scarabaeoid Larvae. Oregon State University Press, Corvallis, Oregon.
- Ritcher, P.O. (1968) Spiracles of adult Scarabaeoidea (Coleoptera) and their phylogenetic significance. I. The abdominal spiracles. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, **62**, 869–880.
- Ritcher, P.O. (1969) Spiracles of adult Scarabaeoidea (Coleoptera) and their phylogenetic significance. II. Thoracic spiracles and adjacent sclerites. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, 62, 1388–1397.
- Ritcher, P.O. & Duff, R. (1971) A description of the larva of *Ceratophyus gopherinus* Cartwright with a revised key to the larvae of North American Geotrupini and notes on the biology. *Pan-Pacific Entomologist*, 47, 158–163.
- Scholtz, C.H. (1990) Phylogenetic trends in the Scarabaeoidea. Journal of Natural History, 24, 1027–1066.
- Scholtz, C.H. & Browne, D.J. (1996) Polyphyly in the Geotrupidae (Coleoptera: Scarabaeoidea): a case for a new family. *Journal of Natural History*, **30**, 597–614.
- Scholtz, C.H. & Chown, S.L. (1995) The evolution of habitat use and diet in the Scarabaeoidea: a phylogenetic approach. *Biology*, *Phylogeny and Classification of Coleoptera: Papers Celebrating*

the 80th Birthday of Roy A. Crowson. (ed. by J. Pakaluk and S. A. Slipiński), pp. 355–374. Muzeum i Instytut Zoologii PAN, Warsaw.

- Scholtz, C.H. & Peck, S. (1990) Description of a *Polynoncus* Burmeister larva, with implications for phylogeny of the Trogidae (Coleoptera: Scarabaeoidea). *Systematic Entomology*, 15, 283–289.
- Swofford, D.L. (1998) Paup: Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (*and Other Methods), Version 4.0. Sinuauer, Sunderland, Massachusetts.
- van Emden, F.I. (1941) Larvae of British beetles. II. A key to the British Lamellicornia larvae. *Entomologist's Monthly Magazine*, 77, 117–192.
- Verdú, J.R. & Galante, E. (1997) Aphodius brasiliensis Castelnau (Coleoptera: Aphodiidae): larval morphology and notes on biology. Coleopterists Bulletin, 51, 378–383.
- Verdú, J.R. & Galante, E. (2001) Larval morphology and breeding behavior of the genus *Pedaridium* Harold (Coleoptera: Scarabaeidae). *Annals of the Entomological Society of America*, 94, 596–604.
- Verdú, J.R. & Galante, E. (2004) Behavioural and morphological adaptations for a low-quality resource in semi-arid environments: dung beetles (Coleoptera, Scarabaeoidea) associated with the European rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus* L.). Journal of Natural History, **38**, 705–715.
- Verdú, J.R., Galante, E. & Lumaret, J.P. (1998) Description de la larve de *Bolbelasmus bocchus* (Erichson) et position systématique du genre (Coleoptera: Geotrupidae: Bolboceratinae). *Annales de la Société Entomologique de France*, **34**, 245–251.
- Zunino, M. (1984a) Sistematica generica dei Geotrupinae (Coleoptera, Scarabaeoidea: Geotrupidae), filogenesi della sottofamiglia e considerazioni biogeografiche. *Bolletino del Museo Regionale di Scienze Naturali, Torino*, 2, 9–162.
- Zunino, M. (1984b) Analisi sistematica e zoogeografica della sottofamiglia Taurocerastinae Germain (Coleoptera, Scarabaeoidea: Geotrupidae). Bollettino del Museo Regionale di Scienze Naturali, Torino, 2, 445–464.

Accepted 27 January 2004