

Around the world in 10 million years: biogeography of the nearly cosmopolitan true toads (Anura: Bufonidae)

Jennifer B. Pramuk^{1*}, Tasia Robertson², Jack W. Sites Jr² and Brice P. Noonan³

¹Department of Herpetology, Bronx Zoo/ Wildlife Conservation Society, 2300 Southern Boulevard, Bronx, NY 10460, USA, ²Brigham Young University, Department of Integrative Biology, Provo, UT 84602, USA, ³Duke University, Department of Biology, Durham, NC 27708, USA

ABSTRACT

Aim The species-rich family of true toads (Anura: Bufonidae) has been the focus of several earlier studies investigating the biogeography of geographically widespread taxa. Herein, we employ newly developed Bayesian divergence estimate methods to investigate the biogeographical history of this group. Resulting age estimates are used to test several key temporal hypotheses including that the origin of the bufonid clade pre-dates Gondwanan vicariance (~105 million years ago, Ma). Area cladograms are also invoked to investigate the geographical origin of the family.

Location Worldwide, except the Australia–New Guinea plate, Madagascar and the Antarctic.

Methods A phylogenetic hypothesis of the relationships among true toads was derived from analysis of 2521 bp of DNA data including fragments from three mitochondrial (*12S*, *tRNA*^{val}, *16S*) and two nuclear (*RAG-1*, *CXCR-4*) genes. Analysis of multiple, unlinked loci with a Bayesian method for estimating divergence times allowed us to address the timing and biogeographical history of Bufonidae. Resulting divergence estimates permitted the investigation of alternative vicariance/dispersal scenarios that have been proposed for true toads.

Results Our area cladogram resulting from phylogenetic analysis of DNA data supports a South American origin for Bufonidae. Divergence estimates indicate that the family originated earlier than had been suggested previously (78–99 Ma). The age of the enigmatic Caribbean clade was dated to the late Palaeocene–early Eocene. A return of bufonids to the New World in the Eocene was followed by rapid diversification and secondary expansion into South America by the early Oligocene (Rupelian).

Main conclusions The South American origin of Bufonidae in the Upper Cretaceous was followed by relatively rapid expansion and radiation around the globe, ending with a return to the Americas via a Eurasian/North American land bridge in the Eocene. Though the exact route of this dispersal (Beringia or North Atlantic) remains unclear, an argument is made for the less frequently invoked North Atlantic connection. The origin of the enigmatic Caribbean lineage was found to be consistent with colonization following the bolide impact at the K/T boundary. These findings provide the first, firm foundation for understanding true toad divergence times and their truly remarkable and global radiation.

Keywords

Anura, Bayes method, biogeography, Bufonidae, *CXCR-4*, divergence times, DNA, mtDNA, phylogeny, *RAG-1*, South America.

*Correspondence: Jennifer Pramuk, Curator of Herpetology, Bronx Zoo/Wildlife Conservation Society, Bronx, NY 10460, USA. E-mail: jpramuk@wcs.org

INTRODUCTION

One fundamental goal of modern systematics investigations is to use the resulting phylogenies to help understand global

biogeographical patterns. Once a well-supported phylogenetic framework is accomplished, it can be employed to estimate divergence times to arrive at an overall picture of the spatial and temporal biogeographical framework of a taxonomic group. Members of the species-rich true toad family Bufonidae, comprising c. 481 species (http://amphibiaweb.org), are native to most regions of the world except the Australia-New Guinea plate, Madagascar and the Antarctic. Their broad geographical distribution has prompted a great many studies focused on resolving the evolutionary relationships of bufonid frogs (e.g. Blair, 1972; Maxson, 1984; Graybeal, 1997; Pauly et al., 2004; Frost et al., 2006; Pramuk, 2006), however, the limited fossil record of this group has provided few calibration points and therefore prevented most workers from estimating divergence times to investigate their age and correlated biogeography. To explain the modern day distribution of true toads, several distinct biogeographical hypotheses proposing various combinations of vicariance and intercontinental dispersal events have been invoked. For example, earlier hypothetical origins for Bufonidae (reviewed in Pauly et al., 2004) range from an African (Tihen, 1962) to a South American origin (Blair, 1972; Maxson, 1984) with hypothesized dispersal and/or vicariance events explaining their modern day, nearly cosmopolitan distribution. Most recently, Pramuk (2006) hypothesized that her phylogeny for Bufonidae, derived from molecular and morphological data, is consistent with an origin that pre-dates Gondwanan vicariance (>105 million years ago, Ma). Similarly, earlier studies offered a Gondwanan vicariance scenario to explain the biogeographical patterns present in this family (e.g. Savage, 1973; Maxson, 1984). Here, we employ phylogenetic reconstruction methods and Bayesian analyses of divergence ages to compare general hypotheses of the spatial and temporal origin of Bufonidae.

The data set of Pramuk (2006), containing 3.5 kb of nuclear and mitochondrial data sequenced for 109 individuals, is currently the most complete for investigating relationships within what formerly was referred to as '*Bufo*'. Prior to Frost *et al.* (2006), the vast majority of species assigned to Bufonidae were placed in the genus *Bufo*; however, these authors elevated most intrageneric clades to new genera. Except where noted, we provisionally adopt the taxonomy proposed by Frost *et al.* (2006).

To test spatial and temporal hypotheses of Bufonidae, we employ the data set of Pramuk (2006) and combine it with novel sequences from an additional nuclear marker (the exon *CXCR*-4), to make it compatible with a previously published data set (Biju & Bossuyt, 2003). Moreover, by expanding the outgroup sampling we increased the number of fossil calibration points in our analysis that fall outside of the fossil-poor Bufonidae. Our resulting maximum parsimony (MP), maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian topologies are broadly congruent with those of earlier studies (Frost *et al.*, 2006; Pramuk, 2006) but they differ in a few notable ways from the former study; the differences between our results and the biogeographical implications of these temporally referenced patterns are discussed herein.

To obtain a strongly supported hypothesis of relationships

within Bufonidae, a previously analysed data matrix of c. 2821 bp

METHODS

Taxon sampling

of *12S–16S* mtDNA and *RAG-1* nuclear data (Pramuk, 2006) for 89 terminals was expanded by the addition of 750 bp of the nuclear exon *CXCR-4*. To provide additional distant Neobatrachian and Archaeobatrachian outgroups, and hence calibration points outside of Bufonidae for divergence time analysis, we included this nuclear marker to make our matrix compatible with that of Biju & Bossuyt (2003), which included homologous sequence data for 2521 bp of *12S* and *16S* rRNA, *RAG-1* and *CXCR-4*. In total, new sequences were collected for 89 specimens representing 74 species, including data for 70 bufonids and four outgroup taxa.

To resolve a phylogeny of Bufonidae, genes with disparate rates of evolutionary change were sequenced to target a wide range of signal and to incorporate independently evolving molecular markers (Pennington, 1996). For example, regions of the *12S– 16S* mitochondrial genome were sequenced to give signal at intermediate and recent levels of divergence. The *12S–16S* fragment was obtained with several sets of overlapping primers used previously for *Bufo* (Goebel *et al.*, 1999; Pramuk, 2006) or modified from published primers. Portions of the nuclear *RAG-1* and *CXCR-4* exons were sequenced in order to provide signal at deeper levels of divergence and were selected based on their established phylogenetic utility for other groups of vertebrates (e.g. Biju & Bossuyt, 2003). All primers used in this study, and their original references, are listed in Table 1.

DNA extraction, polymerase chain reaction amplification and DNA sequencing

Previously published data for the *12S–16S* fragment (Pauly *et al.*, 2004) were used for the outgroups *Ceratophrys cornuta* and *Hyla cinerea*. Additionally, previously published sequences (Biju & Bossuyt, 2003) for *CXCR-4* and portions of *12S* and *16S* rRNA data were included for 31 additional outgroup taxa. For a complete list of voucher specimens and their respective GenBank accession numbers, refer to Appendix S1 in Supplementary Material.

Prior to extraction, tissues were stored at -80 °C or fixed in 95-100% ethanol. The DNA was extracted from small amounts (c. 50 ng) of muscle or liver tissue with the Qiagen DNeasy Tissue Kit® and visualized on 1% high melt agarose gels in Tris-acetate-EDTA (TAE) buffer. We performed a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in 13-µl reactions containing TaKaRa Hotstart Taq polymerase, 10× reaction buffer [100 mм Tris HCl (pH 8.3), 500 mм KCl, 15 mм MgCl₂]. Amplification followed published PCR conditions and was performed on a MJ Research thermal cycler. Cycle sequencing reactions were completed with Big Dye Sequencing kits (ABI Inc.). The PCR products were purified with Millipore MANU030 PCR plates. Double-stranded, purified products were used in 1/32 deoxy-termination sequencing reactions (10 µl total volume). Sequencing reactions were cleaned with Sephadex columns and sequencing was performed directly using an ABI 3100 automated sequencer.

The program Sequencher 3.1.1 (Gene Codes Corp.) was used to edit sequences. CLUSTALX (Thompson *et al.*, 1997) was employed to perform preliminary alignment using default parameters (gap opening = 15; gap extension = 6.666; delay

J. B. Pramuk et al.

Primer name	Position	Primer sequence $(5' \rightarrow 3')$	Goebel no.	
12SZL	2206-2225	AAAGGTTTGGTCCTAGCCTT	35	
12H2(R) mod.	2484-2503	GGTATGTAATCCCAGTTTG	N/A	
12L6 mod.	2487-2508	ACTGGGATTAGATACCCCACTA	N/A	
12SKH	2975-2996	TCCRGTAYRCTTACCDTGTTACGA	70	
12Sc(L)	2834-2853	AAGGCGGATTTAGHAGTAAA	65	
16H14(R) mod.	3753-3774	TCTTGTTACTAGTTTTAACAT	85	
16L10	3622-3641	AGTGGGCCTAAAAGCAGCCA	82	
16H10	4054-4076	TGATTACGCTACCTTCGCACGGT	92	
16L9	3956-3976	CGCCTGTTTACCAAAAACAT	88	
16H13	4551-4572	CCGGTCTGAACTCAGATCACGTA	96	
CXCR-4 C	_	GTC ATG GGC TAY CAR AAG AA	_	
CXCR-4 F	_	TGA ATT TGG CCC RAG GAA RGC	_	
RAG-1 MartFl1	_	AGCTGCAGYCARTAYCAYAARATGTA	_	
RAG-1 AmpR1	-	AACTCAGCTGCATTKCCAATRTCA	-	

Table 1Amplification and sequencingprimers used for the continuous 12S–16Sregion of the mitochondrial genome, andportions of the nuclear genes CXCR-4 andRAG-1. Position refers to the location of the12S–16S rRNA primers in the Xenopusmitochondrial genome. The Goebel no. refersto primers listed in Goebel et al. (1999;Table 3). CXCR-4 and RAG-1 primers are fromBiju & Bossuyt (2003) and Chiari et al. (2004),respectively. Other modified primers arefrom Pramuk (2006).

divergent sequences = 30%; transition:transversion = 50%), with adjustments by eye. Alignment of the protein coding sequences was straightforward and they were translated into amino acids to verify alignment. Although they were relatively uncommon within nuclear genes, heterozygous bases were coded with IUPAC symbols. Published secondary structure models of the 16S and 12S genes for Eleutherodactylus cuneatus and Xenopus laevis (De Rijk et al., 1994; Van de Peer et al., 1994) were used to infer the secondary structure of the non-protein coding genes. Alignments of ribosomal DNA were adjusted to conform to known secondary structure, and insertions and deletions preferentially were placed into loop regions rather than stems. Regions of the mtDNA that remained unalignable were deleted from analyses using default parameters in the program Gblocks version 0.91b (Castresana, 2000) on the Gblocks server (http:// molevol.ibmb.csic.es/Gblocks.html).

Phylogenetic analyses

Maximum parsimony (MP), maximum likelihood (ML) and Bayesian analyses were performed on separate molecular partitions, and were also performed on the combined data sets. Initially, data from each of the three DNA fragments (12S-16S, CXCR-4, RAG-1) were analysed separately. Strong support for individual nodes is defined as nodes with bpp (Bayesian posterior probabilities) ≥ 0.95 (Alfaro *et al.*, 2003) or npb (nonparametric bootstrap) ≥ 70 (Hillis & Bull, 1993). No strongly supported conflicting relationships were recovered, so all data were combined for subsequent phylogenetic analyses. Maximum parsimony analyses were performed with PAUP* (version 4.0b10; Swofford, 2002) using a heuristic search with 100 random addition sequence replicates and tree bisection-reconnection (TBR) branch swapping. Nodal support for MP results was assessed through nonparametric bootstrap analysis with 2000 bootstrap pseudoreplicates and 10 random taxon-addition replicates.

The most appropriate model of gene evolution for the ML (and Bayesian) analysis was estimated for each gene region and combined data sets using the Akaike information criterion (AIC)

as implemented in Modeltest 3.06 PPC (Posada & Crandall, 1998). The ML analyses were performed on separate and combined data sets. For ML searches of all combined DNA data, Modeltest selected the best model as GTR + I + G (base frequencies: A, 0.3378; C, 0.2383; G, 0.1701; T, 0.2538; rate matrix: A–C, 1.6291; A–G, 4.7567; A-T, 2.0694; C–G, 1.0174; C–T, 9.5593; G–T, 1.0000; shape parameter for gamma distribution, 0.5244; proportion of invariant sites, 0.2216). The ML searches were run using 100 random addition replicates and TBR branch swapping. Confidence in the resulting topology was assessed with npb (Felsenstein, 1985) with 1000 bootstrap replicates, and heuristic searches of one random addition with TBR branch swapping per replicate.

The data sets were analysed in combined, mixed-model analyses using MrBayes 3.04b (Ronquist & Huelsenbeck, 2003). The analysis of combined data utilized eight model partitions for the *12S–16S*, *RAG-1* and *CXCR-4* data sets (see Table 2 for a summary of each locus). To check for congruence on an identical topology, a minimum of two replicate searches were performed for each separate and combined data set. Analyses were initiated with random starting trees and each analysis was run for 20×10^6 generations, with four Markov chains employed and with the chain sampled every 1000th generation. The application Tracer (version 1.2; Rambaut & Drummond, 2003) was used to view output of the *sump* file generated by MrBayes. Trees generated prior to reaching stationarity were discarded as burn-in. Most analyses reached stationarity relatively quickly (all reached stationarity after 160,000 generations).

Divergence time estimates

We performed divergence time estimates using a relaxed Bayesian molecular clock with uncorrelated rates (BEAST 1.3; Drummond & Rambaut, 2003). This method was chosen over alternatives as recent work has shown that the autocorrelation of rates (e.g. MULTIDIVTIME, Thorne *et al.*, 1998; Thorne & Kishino, 2002) may not be a realistic way to model rate evolution (Drummond *et al.*, 2006). An additional benefit of BEAST is the ability to

Table 2 Main data mantitions and hand in							
this study, their characteristics resulting from MP analysis, and the appropriate models used	Partition (no. of taxa)	No. of characters (pars. inf.)	No. of MP Trees	TL	CI	RI	ML model
in separate and combined Bayesian and ML data partitions	12S–16S mtDNA (104)	2023 (955)	804	8662	0.23	0.52	GTR + I + G
	RAG-1 (107)	795 (385)	2723	1850	0.48	0.61	TVM + I + G
	CXCR-4 (107)	753 (369)	198,052	2606	0.28	0.59	TVM + I + G
	All nuclear data (109)	1548 (754)	13,389	4864	0.29	0.58	TVM + I + G
	All data (109)	3571 (1709)	1	13,859	0.24	0.54	GTR + I + G

CI, consistency index (excluding uninformative characters); G, gamma; ML, maximum likelihood; MP, most parsimonious; RI, retention index; TL, tree length.

 Table 3
 Fourteen calibration points in addition to the root node calibration (employed simultaneously) and their corresponding nodes on our Bayesian tree (Fig. 1).

Calibration (node)	Min. time estimate	Reference		
Teleost vs. Tetrapoda (root to tip mean)	420 Ma	San Mauro <i>et al.</i> (2005)		
Homo, Gallus (amniotes) vs. amphibians (A)	338 Ma	Ruta et al. (2003)		
Divergence of diapsids from synapsids (Gallus vs. Homo) (B)	310 Ma	Benton (1997)		
Oldest anuran (C) Prosalirus bitis	195 Ma	Jenkins & Shubin (1998)		
Oldest discoglossid (F) Eodiscoglossus	164 Ma	Evans <i>et al.</i> (1990)		
Origin of Pipoidea (E) Rhadinosteus parvus	151 Ma	Henrici (1998)		
Oldest leptodactylid (I) Baurubatrachus pricei	86 Ma	Báez & Perí (1989)		
Oldest 'Bufo' (K)	55 Ma (L. Palaeocene)	Báez & Nicoli (2004)		
Oldest bufonid (J)	57 Ma (L. Palaeocene)	Báez & Gasparini (1979)		
Oldest pelobatid (D) Eopelobates	49 Ma (L. Eocene)	Antunes & Russell (1981)		
Oldest ranid (G)	37 Ma (L. Eocene)	Rage (1984)		
Bufo: Central–N. American split (L): B. praevis	20 Ma (E. Miocene)	Tihen (1951)		
Microhylidae (H) Gastrophryne cf. carolinensis	20 Ma (Hemingfordian, Miocene)	Sanchíz (1998)		
Bufo marinus (M)	Mid Miocene (11 Ma)	Sanchíz (1998)		

simultaneously estimate phylogeny and divergence times. Fourteen fossil calibrations and three divergence estimates from the literature (presented in Table 3) were used to place priors on the age of nodes within our tree (including the root node). Prior information on clade ages derived from fossil material was implemented as the lower limit of the 95% credibility interval of a normal distribution on the age of the node uniting the descendant clade representative of that fossil and its sister clade. Upper constraints were placed on two of the deeper nodes of our tree (as above) based on generally accepted divergence time of the synapsid-diapsid split (necessarily more recent than the 338 Ma inferred timing of the amniote-amphibian, Ruta et al., 2003), and assuming that the diversification of the extant Anura is more recent than the oldest fossil anuran (Prosalirus bitis at 195 Ma; Jenkins & Shubin, 1998; Rocek, 2003). The prior on the age of the root node was based on the generally accepted teleost-tetrapod divergence time of 420 Ma (Ahlberg & Milner, 1994).

While the mitochondrial rRNA data proved useful in analysis of phylogenetic relationships, we did not include sequence data for these loci for the most distant outgroups (*Danio*, *Homo* and *Gallus*) because they were problematic for aligning across divergent taxa and we were thus obligated to exclude these data from the divergence time analyses. For the BEAST analyses we assumed a GTR + I + G model of nucleotide substitution (see above) and an uncorrelated lognormal model of rate variation. The prior for the rate at the root node was set to the median value of the sum of all root-to-tip branch lengths divided by time. The monophyly of some clades strongly supported by phylogenetic analysis was constrained for reasons of computational efficiency and a Yule prior was placed on the branching rates. The results of three independent, 10,000,000-generation analyses were compared and combined in Tracer 1.2 (Rambaut & Drummond, 2003). In order to ascertain the true 'joint prior' of the temporal constraints used in the BEAST analysis, and thus test the strength of signal in our data, we conducted one analysis with only a single ambiguous character in our data matrix.

RESULTS

Phylogeny and spatial origin

Our Bayesian phylogeny is relatively robust, with most nodes resulting in bpp > 0.95 and npb > 70 (Fig. 1) and is broadly congruent with trees derived from ML and MP methods, as well as



Figure 1 Bayesian consensus tree resulting from analysis of 3571 bp of combined mitochondrial (*12S, tRNA*^{val}, *16S* rRNA) and nuclear (*CXCR*-4 and *RAG*-1) data. Support values are reported as follows: MP bootstraps above nodes; Bayesian posterior probabilities and ML bootstraps, respectively, below nodes. Lettered nodes (A–M) correspond to fossil calibrations listed in Table 3.

with trees from a prior analysis of mitochondrial, nuclear and morphological data (see Fig. 4 of Pramuk, 2006). However, our topology contrasts with the results of Frost *et al.* (see Fig. 70 of Frost *et al.*, 2006) in several regards (see Discussion).

Generally, conflicts between the topology of Pramuk (2006) and that presented herein (Fig. 1) are in poorly supported nodes. As the phylogenetic relationships of Bufonidae included in this analysis are discussed in depth elsewhere (Pramuk, 2006), and



Figure 2 Bayesian consensus tree reduced from Fig. 1, illustrating divergence time estimates within Bufonidae. Divergence times were estimated from the concatenated data set with a Bayesian method with 13 time constraints from fossil calibrations (fossils are listed in Table 3). Labelled nodes of this tree (1–13) correspond to divergence dates of interest, some of which are discussed in the text. Horizontal bars and shaded rectangles indicate 95% credibility intervals of joint prior and posterior estimate of divergence times, respectively; shading indicates the geographical distribution of each lineage.

because the topologies resulting from these studies are broadly congruent, we do not discuss in detail here the differences between these studies. The vast majority of nodes in our hypothesis (Fig. 1) that are relevant to the understanding of bufonid phylogeny are well supported, providing a reliable phylogenetic framework to assess divergence times. The slight discrepancies resulting from this study and the earlier analysis are likely to result from the slightly different composition of the data sets employed for both (i.e. in this study: addition of the nuclear marker *CXCR-4*, omission of the nuclear marker *POMC* and morphological data). The *POMC* data were excluded from this study because they were not very informative and were not readily available, unlike the *CXCR-4* and *RAG-1* fragments, for the added Archaeobatrachian and Neobatrachian outgroups.

The topology resulting from this analysis places the older South American clades of Bufonidae as the sister to all remaining true toads (Fig. 1). This topology is consistent with a South American origin for Bufonidae.

Divergence time estimates and temporal origin

Estimates of divergence times obtained from our analyses suggest that Bufonidae is primarily a post-Gondwanan group [78.3–98.8; 88.2 Ma (95% credibility interval and median, respectively) Fig. 2, node 1], and that clades within it are largely the result of Palaeogene diversification, which agrees with previous estimates of the age of bufonids (e.g. San Mauro *et al.*, 2005). Comparison of posterior estimates of the joint prior indicate that the sequence data are informing the posterior age estimates and that the resulting divergence time estimates are not largely the result of the temporal constraints employed (Fig. 2).

DISCUSSION

Temporal origin

Our divergence time estimate for the ancestral node of Bufonidae (78.3-98.8 Ma; node 1; Fig. 2) places the origin of the family in the Upper Cretaceous. Well-constrained geological data (e.g. Pitman et al., 1993; Maisey, 2000) pinpoint the final separation of South America and Africa in the latest Albian of the Early Cretaceous (c. 105 Ma). On the basis of present-day distribution and morphological characters (e.g. Savage, 1973), divergence estimates from immunological distance data (Maxson, 1984), and area cladograms derived from molecular data (Pramuk, 2006), prior investigators have suggested a Gondwanan origin for the true toads. However, an ancient origin for the family has been met with scepticism (Pauly et al., 2004), primarily because of a lack of bufonid fossils that are old enough (none > 60 Ma) to support a pre-Gondwanan origin for the group. Our data fail to support an origin of Bufonidae on Gondwana, as our estimates place the origin of the family after the break-up of South America and Africa (Fig. 3a). Notably, the oldest fossil attributable to the genus formerly known as 'Bufo' dates from the Late Palaeocene of Itaborai, Brazil (c. 55 Ma; Báez & Nicoli, 2004), with the oldest bufonid being only slightly older (c. 57 Ma; Báez & Gasparini, 1979). The specimen attributed to 'Bufo' reportedly is of a species aligned with the Rhinella marinus group (Báez & Nicoli, 2004). Because this fossil was described on 'an incomplete basal portion of the left ilium' (Báez & Nicoli, 2004), it may be reasonable to assume it can only be assigned with confidence to the South American Rhinella clade. However, this fossil is considerably older than our posterior estimate for Rhinella (node 13, Fig. 2; 31-44 Ma). Complicating matters, the Bufonidae are notoriously



Figure 3 Maps illustrating two key geological intervals relevant to bufonid biogeography. (a) The Earth at c. 88 Ma, illustrating the origin of Bufonidae, which according to our data occurred at 88 Ma (point estimate; 78-99 Ma credibility interval) after the break-up of Gondwana c. 105 Ma (Reyment & Dingle, 1987; Pitman et al., 1993; Maisey, 2000). Although our data do not clarify how ancestral bufonids may have dispersed from South America back into the Old World, giving rise to African and Eurasian clades, our data suggest they may have expanded northward into Central and North America and subsequently into Eurasia via Beringia. (b) Proposed migration of bufonids from Eurasia back into North America during the Eocene. Three routes from Eurasia are possible including the Beringian (BE), De Geer (DG) and Thulean (TH) land bridges. The high latitude (>70° N) during the Eocene of BE and DG relative to TH makes the latter route more probable for an ectothermic lineage. Our median estimate for the divergence of Old and New World bufonids is 43 Ma, a date that corresponds to the Eocene thermal maxima, when ectothermic lineages were likely to have migrated at higher latitudes via Beringia or trans-Atlantic land bridges (map modified from Fig. 8 of Irving, 2005). Our data indicate that climactic fluctuations of the Eocene played a significant role in shaping the current distribution of true toads. Maps were generated from the Ocean Drilling Stratigraphic Network (ODSN) website http://www.odsn.de/odsn/services/paleomap/paleomap.html. Note: continental outlines indicate hypothetical plate margins rather than shorelines (the limits of the latter being particularly contentious).

morphologically conserved (Pramuk, 2006). Thus, this may be yet another instance of the conservative variation in morphology confounding the taxonomic assignment of material – a situation we would expect to be particularly problematic in assigning fragmentary fossil remains. It is worth noting that a similar problem has plagued cichlid fish biogeography for decades, as their apparent Gondwanan distribution was confounded by the fact that the oldest fossil material of this group was from the Eocene (*c.* 38–54 Ma; Chakrabarty, 2004). Recent investigations (e.g. Chakrabarty, 2004; but see Vences *et al.*, 2001) have provided strong support for a Gondwanan origin of the Cichlidae and have highlighted the paucity of the fossil record from this time and the perils of inferring true absence.

Also confounding the hypothesis of a Gondwanan distribution is the absence of extant or fossil bufonids from Madagascar and Australia-New Guinea. Biological continuity of these land masses with South America via Antarctica into the Late Cretaceous (Madagascar) and Palaeogene (Australia) is well supported by both geological and biological data (e.g. Sampson et al., 1998; Hay et al., 1999; Noonan & Chippindale, 2006). However, this apparently enigmatic absence is not unique to bufonids. Other groups with a wide or 'Gondwanan distribution', such as boine snakes, iguanine lizards, pelomedusoid turtles, abelisauroid theropod dinosaurs, cichlid fish and gondwanatherian mammals, are also notably absent from Australia (Sampson et al., 1998; Vences et al., 2001; Noonan & Chippindale, 2006). That so many groups of Gondwanan age are absent from this continent argues for a barrier to dispersal on Antarctica (Janis, 1993). The absence from Madagascar is less common in Gondwanan groups, though these are taxa (e.g. reptiles, birds and mammals) that are likely to have a greater tolerance than anurans to climactic fluctuations and salinity that may have been encountered along this terrestrial connection. The absence of bufonids from Madagascar may also be explained simply as an effect of time. All of the aforementioned Gondwanan groups present on this island are either extinct or persist at very low levels of diversity (one to three species).

Spatial origin

One of the most striking aspects of this (Fig. 1) and other recent analyses of bufonid phylogeny (Pauly *et al.*, 2004; Frost *et al.*, 2006; Pramuk, 2006) is the paraphyly of the South American bufonids (now comprising the 'older' *Atelopus*, *Melanophryniscus*, *Rhaebo*, *Nannophryne* and the relatively 'recent' *Rhinella*). Given this surprising pattern of relationships and the inferred origin of the group in South America (see above), the origin of the South American *Rhinella* and their sister group (the North and Central American clade comprising *Anaxyrus* and *Cranopsis*), suggests a secondary invasion of the New World.

The post-Gondwanan age and phylogenetic placement of Old World bufonids suggests an out-of-South America dispersal in the early Palaeogene. The low tolerance of bufonids to salinity makes overwater dispersal of bufonids from South America to Africa or Eurasia unlikely, but not impossible, as long-distance saltwater dispersal has been invoked to explain the distribution of other Neobatrachian frogs (see de Queiroz, 2005, for a review). The only plausible overland route for this group would be a northward expansion into Central America, North America and then Eurasia via Beringia. This is similar to the hypothesis proposed by Blair (1972) (see also Fig. 1 of Pauly *et al.*, 2004). Despite our relatively poor sampling of Asian bufonids, our recovered phylogenetic pattern, combined with presumed terrestrial connections (Beringia, Thulean and De Geer land bridges), leads us to favour the Beringian connection over those of the North Atlantic for this migration.

Our posterior estimates of divergence times suggest that the New World clade comprising Rhinella, Cranopsis and Anaxyrus diverged from its Old World relatives in the Eocene (43.3 Ma, 36.5-50 Ma; median and credibility interval, respectively). There are three putative land bridges that joined Eurasia with North America at different times throughout the Cenozoic, including the Beringia, Thulean and De Geer land bridges (Fig. 3b). Here we investigate these three possible routes given our data and known geological evidence. While some authors have favoured an Asiatic origin for Nearctic-Palaearctic dispersal (e.g. Blair, 1972), frequently without consideration of documented alternative routes (Macey et al., 2006), the close relationships of the 'recent' New World clade with the exclusively Eurasian + African clades suggests the possibility a trans-Atlantic route. In addition, although Bufonidae could have migrated via Beringia during the Eocene, the high latitude of this route (69–75° N; Tiffney, 1985) probably prohibited its use by ectothermic taxa for most of this time (Davis et al., 2002). During the Eocene, dispersal of bufonids from the Old World to the New World would have been facilitated by a boreotropical connection, or 'North American land bridge' via a series of connections including the Thulean and De Geer land bridges across the North Atlantic (Tiffney, 1985; Davis et al., 2002; Fig. 3b). This pattern of European/ North American, Palaeogene faunal similarity with an apparent disruption in the Eocene has been documented in numerous plant (Tiffney, 1985; Davis et al., 2002) and animal (e.g. Dawson et al., 1976; Estes & Hutchison, 1980; Dawson, 2001) groups.

We suggest, based on our divergence time estimates, that secondary dispersal of Bufonidae to the New World most likely occurred during the latest Palaeocene thermal maximum (LPTM), which occurred in the early Cenozoic (65-40 Ma) and reached its peak 55 Ma (lasting for c. 100-140 kyr (e.g. Peters & Sloan, 2000; Thomas, 2004) via the Thulean (Iceland-Faeroes) land bridge (situated below 62° N), which was significantly lower in latitude than the Beringia or the De Geer land connections (c. 74° N) and therefore more amenable to dispersal by ectothermic animals. An analysis of the δ^{13} C record at the latest Palaeocene thermal maximum (Peters & Sloan, 2000) suggests that of the three land bridges, only the Thulean and Beringian routes are likely to have maintained year-round temperatures above freezing, though fossil evidence suggests the De Geer route was suitable for ectotherms (turtles; Dawson et al., 1976; Estes & Hutchison, 1980). It has been suggested that both the Thulean and De Geer routes became interrupted in the early Eocene (Peters & Sloan, 2000; Sanmartín et al., 2001), a timing that closely fits our findings.

Vertebrate palaeontological data indicate that the faunas of North America and Europe during the early Eocene were broadly overlapping. This similarity peaked in the early Eocene when approximately 60% of known European genera were shared with North America (Tiffney, 1985; Sanmartín et al., 2001). A detailed study of Nearctic patterns of relationship by Sanmartín et al. (2001) revealed that though Beringian dispersal appears to have occurred more frequently than trans-Atlantic dispersal, the difference is insignificant. Furthermore, the findings of Sanmartín et al. (2001) indicate that the height of Beringian dispersal occurred in pre-Cretaceous and Quaternary times whereas trans-Atlantic dispersal peaked in the Palaeogene, again consistent with our Eocene estimate of the timing divergence between Eurasian and New World bufonids. Due to the very broad temporal scale of the inferred terrestrial connection between the eastern Palaearctic and the western Nearctic (via Beringia; see Fig. 10 of Sanmartín et al., 2001) that entirely overlaps the timing of a trans-Atlantic connection, divergence times alone cannot support one hypothesis in favour of the other. Such differentiation will rely on the temporal overlap of divergence times with the comparatively narrow window of a trans-Atlantic terrestrial connection and phylogenetic patterns, suggesting a close European/North American relationship.

North America + Central America-South America split

The sister group relationship and reciprocal monophyly of the South American Rhinella and the North (Anaxyrus) + Central (Cranopsis) American clades recovered here is consistent with the results of prior analyses of bufonids (e.g. Pramuk, 2006); here, the estimated split of these clades is 41 Ma and 34-47 Ma (median and credibility interval, respectively). The differentiation between the South and North + Central American groups appears to have followed shortly after the arrival of bufonids in the Americas with diversification within each clade beginning almost immediately. This pattern suggests that the initial invasion of the Americas was rapid and occurred at a time when there was little hindrance to southward dispersal from North America. Southward dispersal of ectothermic groups of organisms (e.g. bufonids) may have been facilitated by cooler global temperatures following the Eocene (Irving, 2005) and intermittent terrestrial connections (see Figs 3 & 5 of Sanmartín et al., 2001). Southward dispersal of North American taxa at this time has been suggested for other groups as well (e.g. the plant Styrax; Fritsch, 1999). Isolation of North America from South America (and potentially a Middle American isolate) from the Neogene until c. 3 Ma (see Fig. 5 of Sanmartín et al., 2001) suggests a mechanism for the diversification of these three geographical lineages.

Caribbean lineage

Our Bayesian estimates for the West Indian clade allow us to test dispersalist versus vicariant hypotheses for *Peltophryne*, a subject that has been explored extensively (e.g. Pramuk, 2002; Frost *et al.*, 2006). Our estimate for the age of this clade is 51 Ma (44–60 Ma), thus precluding the possibility of this taxon representing a Cretaceous

Caribbean lineage that survived the K/T impact event (65 Ma). Regardless, our estimate for the West Indian toads confirms earlier suggestions (e.g. Frost *et al.*, 2006; Pramuk, 2006) that this represents a comparatively ancient clade within Bufonidae.

Existing models of Caribbean biogeography are challenged by the complex and controversial geological history of the Caribbean (e.g. Iturralde-Vincent & MacPhee, 1999). Although the timing of island formation and divergence is not agreed upon, a recent estimate for the age of the modern-day Greater Antilles places them at no older than middle Eocene (Iturralde-Vincent & MacPhee, 1999), indicating that the present day islands are younger than our 51 Ma Palaeocene-early Eocene estimate for Peltophryne. Interestingly, age estimates for other groups of West Indian taxa are remarkably close to our estimates for the native Caribbean toads. For example, the clade leading to the endemic Cuban night lizard Cricosaura was estimated to be 54 Ma (43-64.9 Ma; Vicario et al., 2003) and the split between Middle American cichlids and Greater Antillean species is estimated to be 47 Ma (42-52 Ma; Chakrabarty, 2006). Although a different method (penalized likelihood) and different genes were used to estimate the divergence dates of Cricosaura and the West Indian cichlids, the similarity in divergence estimates for these unrelated Caribbean clades is intriguing.

Phylogeny

Some aspects of our phylogeny differ markedly from those of the studies of Frost et al. (2006) and Pauly et al. (2004). These authors recovered Bufo margaritifer (Rhinella fide Frost et al., 2006) and Rhamphophryne festae as sister to bufonids from Asia including Bufo (=Ingerophrynus fide Frost et al., 2006) galeatus and Bufo divergens. In our analyses, Rhinella margaritifer and Rhamphophryne are sister groups and are nested within the large clade of South American Bufo (=Chaunus, fide Frost et al., 2006). These two taxa in the analysis of Frost et al. (2006) were represented by a relatively small subset of data (an average of 1342 bp of 12S and 16S data) resulting from an unpublished thesis (Gluesenkamp, 2001). We suspect that the phylogenetic placement recovered by Frost et al. (2006) is an artefact of poor taxon and character sampling within the Rhinella and Rhamphophryne clades. Frost et al. (2006) state '[if] Rhinella Fitzinger, 1828, [is] found to be nested within Chaunus Wagler, 1828, the name Rhinella will take precedence for the inclusive group'. In accordance with these authors we reassign Chaunus to Rhinella.

Another striking difference in this study compared with results of prior analyses is the well-supported placement of the North American clade (*Anaxyrus*) as sister to Central American taxa (*Cranopsis*). In contrast, the other analyses supported a [North America (South + Central America)] relationship (Pauly *et al.*, 2004; Frost *et al.*, 2006).

General conclusions

Despite numerous investigations into the evolutionary history of Bufonidae, ours is the first to employ newly developed Bayesian methods to estimate divergence times for this widespread family.

Our study illustrates the utility of increasing outgroup sampling of groups with a relatively detailed fossil record to provide calibration points outside of a fossil-poor clade of interest. Our findings reveal a surprisingly recent age for the origin of the nearly cosmopolitan Bufonidae (78-98 Ma). The relatively rapid spread of this group across the globe in the Cenozoic, accompanied by regional diversification is truly remarkable - particularly so given that the earliest occurrence of any lineage outside South America is no earlier than 52 Ma (node 9, Fig. 2; 38–52 Ma). Subsequent to their dispersal out of South America, the entire radiation of the major lineages (genera) of extant bufonid frogs took place in the Eocene. Tracking the terrestrial route for this global dispersal is likely to remain unresolved due to the temporal overlap of alternative routes. Although a Beringian route has, of late, served as the preferred route for biogeographers examining patterns of biotic exchange between the Nearctic and Palaearctic, the existence of a North Atlantic connection, and its suitability for temperate/tropical taxa, is argued here and must be considered in studies of Laurasian biogeography. What is clear is that the climatic fluctuations of the Eocene, recorded in so many other biotic and geological elements, played a significant role in shaping the current distribution of this group of amphibians.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under awards DEB 0132227 ['The phylogeny of the Xantusiidae, and its placement within the Scleroglossa (Reptilia; Squamata)'] and EF 0334966 ('Assembling the tree of life – the deep scaly project: resolving higher level squamate phylogeny using genomic and morphological approaches').

REFERENCES

- Ahlberg, P.E. & Milner, A.R. (1994) The origin and early diversification of the tetrapods. *Nature*, **368**, 507–514.
- Alfaro, M.E., Zoller, S. & Lutzoni, F. (2003) Bayes or bootstrap? A simulation study comparing the performance of Bayesian Markov chain Monte Carlo sampling and bootstrapping in assessing phylogenetic confidence. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **20**, 255–266.
- Antunes, M.T. & Russell, D.E. (1981) Le gisement de Silveirinha (Bas Mondego, Portugal): la plus ancienne faune de vértebrés éocènes connue en Europe. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences de Paris, Série II*, **293**, 1099–1102.
- Báez, A.M. & Gasparini, Z.B. (1979) The South American herpetofauna: an evaluation of the fossil record. *The South American herpetofauna: its origin, evolution and dispersal* (ed. by W.E. Duellman), Museum of Natural History, University of Kansas Mongraph No. 7, pp. 29–55.
- Báez, A.M. & Nicoli, L. (2004) Bufonid toads from the Late Oligocene beds of Salla Bolivia. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, 24, 73–79.
- Báez, A.M., & Perí, S. (1989) Baurubatrachus pricei, nov. gen. et sp., un Anuro del Cretacio Superior de Minas Gerais, Brasil. Anais Academica Brasileira Ciencias, 61, 447–458.

Benton, M.J. (1997) Vertebrate paleontology, 2nd edn. Chapman & Hall, London.

Biju, S.D. & Bossuyt, R. (2003) New frog family from India reveals an ancient biogeographical link with the Seychelles. *Nature*, **425**, 711–714.

Blair, W.F. (1972) Summary. *Evolution in the genus* Bufo (ed. by W.F. Blair), pp. 329–343. University of Texas Press, Austin, TX.

Castresana, J. (2000) Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **17**, 540–552.

Chakrabarty, P. (2004) Cichlid biogeography: comment and review. *Fish and Fisheries*, **5**, 97–119.

Chakrabarty, P. (2006) Systematics and historical biogeography of Greater Antillean Cichlidae. *Molecular Phylogeny and Evolution*, **39**, 619–627.

Chiari, Y.K., Vences, M., Vietes, D.R., Rabemananjara, F., Bora, P., Ramilijaona, Ravoahangimalala, O. & Meyer, A. (2004) New evidence for parallel evolution of colour patterns in Malagasy poison frogs (*Mantella*). *Molecular Ecology*, **13**, 37– 63.

Davis, C.C., Bell, C.D., Mathews, S. & Donoghue, M.J. (2002) Laurasian migration explains Gondwanan disjunctions: Evidence from Malpighiaceae. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, **99**, 6833–6837.

Dawson, M.R. (2001) Early Eocene rodents (Mammalia) from the Eureka Sound group of Ellesmere Island, Canada. *Canadian Journal of Earth Science*, **38**, 1107–1116.

Dawson, M.R., West, R.M., Langston, W., Jr. & Hutchison, J.H. (1976) Paleogene terrestrial vertebrates: northernmost occurrence, Ellesmere Island, Canada. *Science*, **192**, 781–782.

de Queiroz, A. (2005) The resurrection of oceanic dispersal in historical biogeography. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **20**, 68–73.

De Rijk, P.Y., Van de Peer, Y., Chapelle, S. & De Wachter, R. (1994) Database on the structure of large ribosomal subunit DNA. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **22**, 3495–3501.

Drummond, A.J., Ho, S.Y.W., Phillips, M.J. & Rambaut, A. (2006) Relaxed phylogenetics and dating with confidence. *PloS Biology*, **4**, e88.

Drummond, A.J. & Rambaut, A. (2003) *BEAST*, version 1.0. (BEAST Website http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Main_Page.)

Estes, R. & Hutchison, J.H. (1980) Eocene lower vertebrates from Ellesmere Island, Canadian Arctic Archipelago. *Palaeogeography*, *Palaeoclimatology*, *Palaeoecology*, **30**, 325–347.

Evans, S.E., Milner, A.R. & Musset, F. (1990) A discoglossid frog from the Middle Jurassic of England. *Palaeontology*, **33**, 299– 311.

Felsenstein, J. (1985) Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap. *Evolution*, **39**, 783–791.

Fritsch, P.W. (1999) Phylogeny of *Styrax* based on morphological characters, with implications for biogeography and infrageneric classification. *Systematic Botany*, **24**, 356–378.

Frost, D.R., Grant, T., Faivovich, J., Bain, R.H., Haas, A., Haddad, C.F.B., de Sá, R.O., Channing, A., Wilkinson, M., Donnellan, S.C., Raxworthy, C.J., Campbell, J.A., Blotto, B.L., Moler, P., Drewes, R.C., Nussbaum, R.A., Lynch, J.D., Green, D.M. & Wheeler, W.C. (2006) The amphibian tree of life. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History*, no. 297.

Gluesenkamp, A.G. (2001) *Developmental mode and adult morphology in bufonid frogs: a comparative analysis of correlated traits.* PhD Dissertation, The University of Texas at Austin.

Goebel, A.M., Donnelly, J.M. & Atz, M.E. (1999) PCR primers and amplification methods for 12S ribosomal DNA, the control region, cytochrome oxidase I, and cytochrome *b* in bufonids and other frogs, and an overview of PCR primers which have amplified DNA in amphibians successfully. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, **11**, 163–199.

Graybeal, A. (1997) Phylogenetic relationships of bufonid frogs and tests of alternate macroevolutionary hypotheses characterizing their radiation. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **119**, 297–338.

Hay, W.W., DeConto, R.M., Wold, C.N., Wilson, K.M., Voigt, S., Schulz, M., Wold, A.R., Dullo, W., Ronov, A.B., Balukhovsky, A.N. & Söding, E. (1999) Alternative global Cretaceous paleogeography. *Evolution of the Cretaceous ocean–climate system* (ed. by E. Barrera and C. Johnson), Geological Society of America Special Paper 332, pp. 1–47. Geological Society of America, Boulder, CO.

Henrici, A.C. (1998) A new pipoid anuran from the Late Jurassic Morrison Formation at Dinosaur National Monument, Utah. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, **18**, 321–332.

Hillis, D.M. & Bull, J.J. (1993) An empirical test of bootstrapping as a method for assessing confidence in phylogenetic analysis. *Systematic Biology*, **42**, 182–192.

Irving, E. (2005) The role of latitude in mobilism debates. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, **102**, 1821–1828.

Iturralde-Vincent. M.A. & MacPhee, R.D.E. (1999) Paleogeography of the Caribbean region: implications for Cenozoic biogeography. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History*, no. 238, 1–95.

Janis, C.M. (1993) Tertiary mammal evolution in the context of changing climates, vegetation, and tectonic events. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, **24**, 467–500.

Jenkins, F.A., Jr & Shubin, N. (1998) *Prosalirus bitis* and the anuran caudopelvic mechanism. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, **18**, 495–510.

Macey, J.R., Schulte, J.A. II, Strasburg, J.L., Brisson, J.A., Larson, A., Anajeva, N.B., Want, Y., Parham, J.F. & Papenfuss, T.J. (2006) Assembly of the eastern North American herpetofauna: new evidence from lizards and frogs. *Biology Letters*, **2**, 388–392.

Maisey, J.G. (2000) Tectonics, the Santana Lagerstatten, and the implications for late Gondwanan biogeography. *Biological relationships between Africa and South America* (ed. by P. Goldblatt), pp. 435–454. Yale University Press, New Haven, CT.

Maxson, L.R. (1984) Molecular probes of phylogeny and biogeography in toads of the widespread genus *Bufo*. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **1**, 324–356.

Noonan, B.P. & Chippindale, P.T. (2006) Vicariant origin of Malagasy reptiles supports Late Cretaceous Antarctic landbridge. *The American Naturalist*, **168**, 730–741.

- Pauly, G.B., Hillis, D.M. & Cannatella, D.C. (2004) The history of a Nearctic colonization: Molecular phylogenetics and biogeography of the Nearctic toads (*Bufo*). *Evolution*, 58, 2517–2535.
- Pennington, T.R. (1996) Molecular and morphological data provide phylogenetic resolution at different hierarchical levels in *Andira*. *Systematic Biology*, **45**, 496–515.
- Peters, R.B. & Sloan, L.C. (2000). High concentrations of greenhouse gases and polar stratospheric clouds: a possible solution to high-latitude faunal migration at the latest Paleocene thermal maximum. *Geology*, **28**, 979–982.
- Pitman W.C., Cande S., LaBrecque J. & Pindell J. (1993) Fragmentation of Gondwana: the separation of Africa from South America. *Biological relationships between Africa and South America* (ed. by P. Goldblatt), pp. 15–34. Yale University Press, New haven, CT.
- Posada, D. & Crandall, K.A. (1998) Modeltest: Testing the model of DNA substitution. *Bioinformatics*, **14**, 817–818.
- Pramuk, J.B. (2002) Morphological characters and cladistic relationships of West Indian toads (Anura: Bufonidae). *Herpetological Monographs*, **16**, 121–151.
- Pramuk, J.B. (2006) Phylogeny of South American *Bufo* (Anura: Bufonidae) inferred from combined evidence. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **146**, 407–452.
- Rage, J.C. (1984) Are the Ranidae (Anura, Amphibia) known prior to the Oligocene? *Amphibia-Reptilia*, **5**, 281–288.
- Rambaut, A. & Drummond, A. (2003) *Tracer*, version 1.2. (Available from http://evolve.zoo.ox.ac.uk/software.html?id=tracer.)
- Rocek, Z. (2003) Mesozoic anurans. *Amphibian biology. Volume* 4. *Palaeontology* (ed. by H. Heatwole), pp. 1295–1331. Surrey Beatty & Sons, Chipping Norton, NSW.
- Ronquist, F. & Huelsenbeck, J.P. (2003) MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics*, **19**, 1572–1574.
- Ruta, M., Coates, M. I. & Quicke, D.L. (2003) Early tetrapod relationships revisited. *Biological Review*, **78**, 241–345.
- Sampson, S.D., Witmer, L.M., Forster, C.A., Krause, D.W., O'Connor, P.M., Dodson, P. & Ravoavy, F. (1998) Predatory dinosaur remains from Madagascar: implications for the Cretaceous biogeography of Gondwana. *Science*, 280, 1048– 1051.
- Sanchíz, B. (1998) Salientia. *Handbuch der Paläoherpetologie* (ed. by P. Wellnhofer), Part 4, pp. 1–275. Verlag Dr. Friedrich Pfeil, Munich.
- Sanmartín, I., Enghoff, H. & Ronquist, F. (2001) Patterns of animal dispersal, vicariance and diversification in the Holarctic. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **73**, 345– 390.
- San Mauro, D., Vences, M., Alcobendas, M., Zardoya, R. & Meyer, A. (2005) Initial diversification of living amphibians predated the breakup of Pangaea. *The American Naturalist*, 165, 590–599.
- Savage, J.M. (1973) The geographic distribution of frogs: patterns and predictions. *Evolutionary biology of the anurans: contemporary research on major problems* (ed. by J. Vial), pp. 351–446. University of Missouri Press, Columbia, MO.

- Swofford, D.L. (2002) *Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony* (*PAUP*), version 4.0b10. Computer program distributed by the Illinois Natural History Survey, Champaign, IL.
- Thomas, D.J. (2004) Evidence for production of North Pacific deep waters during the early Cenozoic greenhouse. *Nature*, **430**, 65–68.
- Thompson, J.D., Gibson, T.J., Plewniak, F., Jeanmougin, F. & Higgins, D. (1997) The ClustalX Windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **25**, 4876–4882.
- Thorne, J.L. & Kishino, H. (2002) Divergence time and evolutionary rate estimation with multilocus data. *Systematic Biology*, **51**, 689–702.
- Thorne, J.L., Kishino, H. & Painter, I.S. (1998) Estimating the rate of evolution of the rate of molecular evolution. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **15**, 1647–1657.
- Tiffney, B.H. (1985) Perspectives on the origin of the floristic similarity between eastern Asia and eastern North America. *Journal of the Arnold Arboretum*, **66**, 73–94.
- Tihen, J.A. (1962) Anuran remains from the Miocene of Florida, with the description of a new species of *Bufo. Copeia*, **1951**, 230–235.
- Tihen, J.A. (1965) A review of New World fossil bufonids. *American Midland Naturalist*, **68**, 1–50.
- Van de Peer, Y., Van den Broeck, I., De Rijk, P. & De Wachter, R. (1994) Database on the structure of small subunit RNA. *Nucleic Acids Research*, **22**, 3488–3494.
- Vences, M., Freyhof, J., Sonnenberg, R., Kosuch, J. & Veith, M. (2001) Reconciling fossils and molecules: Cenozoic divergence of cichlid fishes and the biogeography of Madagascar. *Journal* of *Biogeography*, 28, 1091–1099.
- Vicario. S., Caccone, A. & Gauthier, J. (2003) Xantusiid 'night' lizards: a puzzling phylogenetic problem revisited using likelihood-based Bayesian methods on mtDNA sequences. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, **26**, 243–261.

BIOSKETCHES

Jennifer Pramuk and Brice Noonan performed this research at Brigham Young University (BYU) where they had appointments as postdoctoral research associates in the laboratory of Jack W. Sites, Jr. Brice Noonan is now a post-doctoral associate at Duke University and Jennifer Pramuk is the Curator of Herpetology at the Bronx Zoo/Wildlife Conservation Society.

Jack W. Sites, Jr is a Professor of Integrative Biology at Brigham Young University. A portion of this research included mentorship of **Tasia Robertson**, who gained field and laboratory experiences while working on this project and graduated with a degree in biology from BYU in spring of 2006.

Editor: Brad Murray

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The following supplementary material is available for this article:

Appendix S1 Outgroup and ingroup taxa included in this analysis.

This material is available as part of the online article from:

http://www.blackwell-synergy.com/doi/abs/10.1111/ j.1466-8238.2007.00348.x (This link will take you to the article abstract).

Please note: Blackwell Publishing is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supplementary materials supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.