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Abstract Managua, capital of Nicaragua, is built on the shore of Lake Managua, within a densely faulted graben at a major discontinuity in the Central American volcanic chain. Shallow moderate earthquakes ($M_s$ 6–6.2) ruptured faults with devastating effect at the heart of urban Managua in 1931 and 1972, and damaging earthquakes are cataloged in the earlier history of the surrounding region. The Aeropuerto fault is a major structure in the Managua Graben, but like other faults in this area its behavior is little understood. Paleoseismic investigations now suggest that the most recent large earthquake on this fault occurred sometime during the interval A.D. 1650–1810. An earlier earthquake on this fault occurred prior to A.D. 1390 and possibly around 2000 B.P.

On the basis of stratigraphic correlations we estimate the ages of two shorelines associated with former high stands of Lake Managua to be less than 6.4 ka and approximately 2 ka, respectively. Deformation of these abandoned shorelines adjacent to the Aeropuerto fault implies a vertical slip rate of 0.3 to 0.9 mm/yr. Strike-slip movement on this fault is also expected, but no direct measurement could be performed. By comparison with faults of similar geometry in the Managua area that ruptured in 1931 and 1972, we suspect a left-lateral component of horizontal slip that is higher than the vertical one but less than 5 mm/yr.

Additional data on slip rate and timing of paleoearthquakes are needed to better assess the Holocene behavior of the Managua faults and to investigate the influence of magmatic processes on the nature of faulting in the Managua Graben.

Introduction

Managua, capital of Nicaragua, is built on the shore of Lake Managua, within a densely faulted graben and located at a major discontinuity in the Central American volcanic chain (Fig. 1). The volcanic chain is a NW–SE trending alignment of stratocone and shield volcanoes associated with the Cocos–Caribbean plate boundary at the Pacific margin of Nicaragua. The Cocos plate is convergent with the Caribbean plate at a rate of about 8 cm/yr (DeMets *et al.*, 1994) and is subducted steeply to about 200 km beneath the volcanic chain (Hernandez *et al.*, 1994; Protti *et al.*, 1995). The volcanic chain is situated on a back-arc lowland (Nicaragua Depression) that extends from El Salvador to Costa Rica and separates the igneous rocks of the interior highlands from marine sedimentary rocks of the Pacific coastal hills (Instituto Geografico Nacional, 1972; Mann *et al.*, 1990).

Two large lakes (Lake Managua and Lake Nicaragua) and a substantial volume of Quaternary volcanoclastic material occupy the Nicaragua Depression, but only a short (70 km) section of the Nicaragua Depression west of Managua is bounded by a prominent fault (Mateare fault; Fig. 1).

In the Managua area, where there is abundant evidence of recent faulting, a graben (Managua Graben) has formed at a 15-km discontinuity in the strike of the volcanic chain, from Apoyeque Caldera to Masaya Caldera, southeast of the metropolitan area (Fig. 1). The Managua Graben and its strike-slip and oblique-normal faults show evidence of mild east–west extension and left-lateral strike slip along a north and northeast trend (Figs. 1, 2). The NNE–SSW trending Tiscapa fault and three adjacent, parallel faults, all exhibited left-lateral and minor normal displacement during the 23 December 1972, $M_{6.2}$ earthquake. Aggregate horizontal movements were in the range of 2 to 38 cm, down to the ESE (Brown *et al.*, 1973; Ward *et al.*, 1974). In 1931 an $M_{6.0}$ earthquake ruptured the Estadio fault, 1.5 km west of the Tiscapa fault, with a maximum observed normal slip of 10 cm and an undefined component of strike slip (Sultan, 1931; White and Harlow, 1993).1

---
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The western margin of the Managua Graben is defined by cinder cones and collapse pits that extend south from the Apoyeque Caldera along a trend known as the Nejapa–Miraflor–Apoyeque alignment (e.g., Bice, 1980) (Fig. 2). The Asososca–Acahualinca and San Judas fault zones form east-facing escarpments at this margin of the graben, and Holocene displacements are documented at several localities (Woodward-Clyde Associates, 1975) (Table 1). The eastern margin of the Managua Graben and Lake Managua are defined by the Cofradia fault. The Cofradia fault forms a prominent escarpment that extends south in right-stepping en echelon sections to the Masaya Caldera (Fig. 2), a large shield volcano and source of voluminous Holocene eruptions and frequent historical activity (Bice, 1980; Williams, 1983). The floor of the Managua Graben is at its lowest elevation west of the Cofradia fault and is marked by small volcanic cones, craters, and multiple unnamed faults along its axis farther south. We informally refer to this deepest part of the Managua Graben as the Airport graben. The Aeropuerto fault forms a prominent, 20-km-long scarp south of Lake Managua and defines the western boundary of the Airport graben (Fig. 2).

The floor of the Managua Graben rises gently southward from the shore of Lake Managua and is underlain by volcaniclastic deposits of Holocene (<10 ka) to late Pleistocene (10–30 ka) age. The deposits have been traced to the cinder cones and collapse pits along the Nejapa–Miraflor–Apoyeque alignment to the west (Bice, 1980), and the Masaya Caldera to the southeast (Williams, 1983). Farther south, the topography rises steeply to more than 900 m across the Mateare fault and is underlain by voluminous ignimbrite sheets and mudflows erupted from a former caldera structure in the Masaya area prior to about 30,000 years ago (Sussman, 1985). These deposits form the local basement geology, and some of the flows extend northward up to 50 km from the source (van Wyk de Vries, 1993).
Fault Kinematics

Faults transverse to the volcanic chain are more prominent at Managua than elsewhere along the arc, and possible kinematic relationships to the driving plate-boundary forces have been debated for several decades (review in Mann et al., 1990). Possibilities include right-lateral, strike-slip faulting parallel to the volcanic chain (e.g., McBirney and Williams, 1965; Carr, 1976; White, 1991; Weinberg, 1992), or transverse breaks in the subducted Cocos plate accounting for the lateral discontinuity in the strike of the volcanic chain across Managua (e.g., Stoiber and Carr, 1973). Another alternative is that Managua Graben faults represent oceanic-type transform faults connecting the ends of two offset and widening volcanic centers (e.g., Dewey and Algermissen, 1974). Alternative models proposed by Malfait and Dinkelmann (1972) and Manton (1987) involve block rotations and eastward motion of the Caribbean plate in response to movements along the Caribbean–North America plate boundary.

Studies of seismicity have concluded that there is no evidence for sharp breaks in the subducted Cocos plate (Bevis and Isacks, 1984; Hernandez et al., 1994; Protti et al., 1995), but there are few data available to resolve the kinematics of shallow faulting. Available geological information from faults at the northern margin of the Nicaragua Depression reportedly indicate no evidence of recent movement (van Wyk de Vries, 1993). Shallow earthquakes recorded during a period of fairly robust local network coverage (1975–1982) indicate that most microseismicity during this period was associated with the Tiscapa fault aftershock activity with little, if any activity, located near the Mateare, Cofradia, or Aeropuerto faults (Hernandez et al., 1994). However, the Cofradia and Aeropuerto faults have clear topographic expression in Holocene deposits. Their length and proximity to other historically active faults suggest that they may contribute significantly to the seismic hazard in the Managua area. Previous investigations of the Cofradia fault have revealed evidence of surface displacement in the last few thousand years near Tipitapa (Dames and Moore-Lamsa, 1978).

Aeropuerto Fault

The purpose of our study is to determine the timing and nature of Late Holocene faulting associated with the Aeropuerto fault and to estimate a preliminary slip rate for this source. Although this fault was first identified several decades ago based on its surface expression across young landforms (Woodward-Clyde Associates, 1975), little is known about the nature of the Aeropuerto fault, its role in the regional tectonics of the Managua Graben, or its contribution to seismic hazard.

Within and west of the Airport graben are two former shorelines of Lake Managua, situated 3 to 4 m above the modern lake level (shorelines 1 and 2, Fig. 3). No higher shorelines have been reported in this region. Shoreline 1
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Table 1
Summary Descriptions of Principal Faults, Managua, Nicaragua

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Fault Zone</th>
<th>Strike and Projected Length</th>
<th>Maximum Individual Reported</th>
<th>Displacement</th>
<th>Age of Most Recent Movement (kyr = 10^3 yr)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>References*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MF</td>
<td>Mateare</td>
<td>N50°W, ± 31.8° 35 km to max. 70 km</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>~200 m</td>
<td>Large component down to northeast</td>
<td>NE-facing topographic escarpment in late Pleistocene ignimbrite deposits. No Holocene faulting reported and seismically quiescent since 1975 or longer.</td>
<td>1, 4, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMA</td>
<td>Nejapa–Miraflorres–Apoyeque Alignment</td>
<td>N10°W, ± 5° ~20 km</td>
<td>~1 m normal</td>
<td>~80 m</td>
<td>Down to east</td>
<td>Arcuate scarps associated with volcanic craters defining the western margin of the Managua Graben.</td>
<td>2, 3, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASF</td>
<td>Asososca–Acahualinca</td>
<td>N30°E, ± 10° 5 km</td>
<td>~3 m normal</td>
<td>20 m</td>
<td>Mainly down to east, few scarps down to west</td>
<td>Arcuate east- and west-facing scarps that intersect the NMA to the south.</td>
<td>2, 3, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SJF</td>
<td>San Judas</td>
<td>N–S, ± 5° 5 km to max. 10 km</td>
<td>1 m normal</td>
<td>15 m</td>
<td>Down to east</td>
<td>Arcuate east-facing scarps that displaces Holocene soil. Minor cracking during 1972 earthquake.</td>
<td>2, 3, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF</td>
<td>Estadio</td>
<td>N30°E, ± 5° 2 km on land to max. 10 km beneath Lake Managua</td>
<td>0.5 m normal</td>
<td>~1 m normal</td>
<td>Left-lateral strike-slip and down to east</td>
<td>Surface rupture along a 2-km section of the fault in 1931. Minor cracking during 1972 earthquake.</td>
<td>1, 5, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TF</td>
<td>Tiscapa</td>
<td>N24°E, ± 7° 10 km to max. 20 km beneath Lake Managua</td>
<td>3.5 m normal</td>
<td>20 m normal</td>
<td>Left-lateral strike-slip and down to east</td>
<td>Little geomorphic expression in former downtown area, but left-lateral offset of several meters on northeast wall of Laguna de Tiscapa. Farther SW an east-facing scarps up to 20 m high.</td>
<td>1, 2, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF</td>
<td>Aeropuerto</td>
<td>N3°E, ± 6° ~15 km plus extension beneath Lake Managua</td>
<td>0.5–1 m normal</td>
<td>10–12 m normal</td>
<td>Down to east Strike-slip may be important</td>
<td>Surface trace slightly convex to the east and the fault subvertical. Scarp has maximum height of 10–12 m west of the Airport.</td>
<td>6, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF</td>
<td>Cofradia</td>
<td>N4°E, ± 13° ~40 km</td>
<td>0.5–1 m normal</td>
<td>~15 m</td>
<td>Down to west</td>
<td>Prominent escarpment extends south to the Masaya caldera and is associated with numerous hot springs.</td>
<td>7, 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*1, Brown et al. (1973); 2, Woodward-Clyde (1975); 3, Bice (1980); 4, Hernandez et al. (1994); 5, Sultan (1931); 6, this study; 7, Dames and Moore-Lamsa (1978); 8, Cowan et al. (2000).
forms a prominent erosional cliff about 3 m high, which extends for several kilometers along the edge of metropolitan Managua and is present only west of the Aeropuerto fault (Fig. 3; cf. Fig. 4). The younger shoreline 2 is marked by a low, narrow berm, about 1 to 2 m high, composed of reworked volcanic sand. This ridge separates the north-sloping surface of the most recently abandoned lakebed from an older lakebed to the south.

We analyzed aerial photographs taken in 1986 and 1996, conducted field mapping and topographic profiling, and excavated three trenches at two sites near the northern end of the Aeropuerto fault, close to its intersection with Lake Managua. We also studied and logged a natural streambank exposure that occurs between the two shorelines. We chose this region because the two former lake shorelines indicated the presence of young lakebeds that have been disrupted by the fault. We mapped these shorelines and constructed a leveling profile (pl in Figs. 3 and 9) along the younger one (shoreline 2) to provide information regarding its deformation across and adjacent to the fault. We have proposed a correlation among the deposits exposed in the three trenches and the streambank exposure, and this correlation is reflected in the unit numbering system we have adopted. For example, unit S-7, exposed in the streambank exposure is suggested to correlate to unit T1-7, exposed in trench 1.
Excavations across the Aeropuerto Fault

Trench 1

Site T1 is located along a low scarp produced by the Aeropuerto fault. On the downthrown side of the scarp is a small stream and marshy area, where sediments are being deposited and organic materials are accumulating. The trench excavated at site T1 (Fig. 3) exposed a section of volcanic units overlain by peat and organic-rich silt layers (Fig. 5). These units are displaced across a zone of closely spaced, vertical to subvertical faults that do not appear to extend all the way to the ground surface; however, the fault scarp is distinct on the ground surface, so the fact that the faults do not appear to extend to the surface is most likely due to bioturbation of the near-surface deposits. The youngest faulted horizon (unit T1-3A) contains pottery fragments, charcoal, and peat. Radiocarbon analysis of three samples (1, 2, and 3 in Table 2) shows that the upper part of the section is less than 740 years old.

Beneath these youngest sediments is reworked volcanic agglomerate (unit T1-7A), which contains abundant plant fossils and is capped by a strongly calcified siltstone (calcrete, unit T1-4) and soil on the west side of the Aeropuerto fault. The agglomerate is correlated across the fault and displays about 2 m of vertical separation across the fault zone. We could not establish any definite component of strike-slip offset; however, the subvertical dips are indicative of a strike-slip fault, consistent with dips of the Aeropuerto fault exposed in a quarry west of the international airport (Fig. 2, bottom) and those of the Tiscapa and Estadio faults farther west (Woodward-Clyde Associates, 1975). Also suggestive of strike-slip faulting are the thickness changes of units T1-7A and T1-7B across the fault, although because these units are not exposed right at the fault zone on the downthrown side, this observation does not provide definitive evidence of strike-slip displacement.

There is evidence for at least two fault rupture events in trench 1. The most recent earthquake faulted the entire sedimentary sequence up through the unit T1-4–unit T1-3 contact across faults F3, F4, and F5, and displacing the deposits that contain radiocarbon samples 1, 2, and 3. Samples 2 and 3 were collected from units deposited by fluvial overbank processes, and were not redeposited after the event in a fissure or colluvial deposit. The depositional setting of sample 1 is less clear, and this sample could be part of a colluvial unit. We interpret the numerous faults and fractures that break through unit T1-7 and terminate below unit T1-4 to represent at least one earlier earthquake that occurred prior to the formation of unit T1-4. The difference in depositional environment on either side of the fault zone, reflected by the formation of unit T1-4 southwest of the fault and the deposition of unit T1-5 northeast of the fault, provides further evidence for an event at this time. Unit T1-4 formed on the uplifted block and blanketed the scarp, and fluvial overbank deposition with no soil formation (unit T1-5) occurred on the downthrown side.

Trenches 2 and 3

Trenches 2 and 3 are located about 300 m north of trench 1 and are directly north of shoreline 2 (Fig. 3). No
Figure 5. Log of trench 1, showing evidence for two surface-rupturing earthquakes. The most recent event occurred after the deposition of the unit containing sample 2, which formed after 1650 A.D. Although this trench does not provide a minimum limiting date for this event, samples collected from trenches 2 and 3 (see Figs. 5 and 6) indicate that the most recent surface rupture occurred prior to A.D. 1810. Units exposed in this trench include faulted volcaniclastic units (T1-7A, T1-7B, and T1-7C) and overlying fluvial and overbank units composed of sand, silt, and clay (units T1-3 and T1-5). Shaded units represent organic-rich horizons. Unit T1-4 is a strongly calcified siltstone (calcrete). The most recent surface-rupturing event displaced unit T1-4 across faults labeled F3, F4, and F5. Faults labeled F1 and F2 also broke during this event, displacing units containing radiocarbon samples 1, 2, and 3. Most of the faults southwest of F5 do not displace unit T1-4. We interpret these as evidence of an earlier event that occurred prior to the formation of units T1-4 and T1-5 and after the deposition of unit T1-7A.

scarp is present at this location along the projected trend of the fault scarp mapped south of shoreline 2; however, 3 to 4 m west of the location of the fault is a sinuous scarp about 0.5 m high, formed in strongly lithified volcanic agglomerate that forms the youngest abandoned lakebed associated with shoreline 2 (Fig. 3). This scarp is the result of vertical displacement of the former lakebed across the Aeropuerto fault, but its location and trend diverge from that of the fault, indicating a westward retreat of the scarp due to fluvial or lake shore erosion (or both). These relations indicate that the fault is buried at this location, and we expected that unfaulted fluvial sediments would cap the most recent fault rupture, providing an opportunity to determine a minimum age for the most recent surface rupture.

We excavated two trenches (T2 and T3) across the fault, to provide more exposures to work with at this site (Fig. 3). The two trenches were only a few meters apart and exposed similar stratigraphic sections comprising unfaulted fluvial layers overlying a faulted sequence of reworked volcanic agglomerate and finely laminated lake sediments (Figs. 5 and 6). The uppermost fluvial layer (unit T2/3-1) contains contemporary artifacts (plastic and glass), whereas the lower fluvial layer (unit T2/3-2) contains abundant pottery shards and fragments of baked roof tiles. Three charcoal samples
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Table 2
Calculated Dates From $^{14}$C Analysis of Charcoal and Peat, Aeropuerto Fault, Managua, Nicaragua

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Delta $^{13}$C (‰)</th>
<th>$^{14}$C age* (yr B.P.)</th>
<th>Calendar date† (A.D. if unlabeled)</th>
<th>Calibrated years B.P.</th>
<th>Probability distribution (A.D.)</th>
<th>Stratigraphic significance</th>
<th>Material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>T1S1</td>
<td>-26.0</td>
<td>260 ± 40</td>
<td>1490–1950</td>
<td>10–460</td>
<td>1490–1610.39</td>
<td></td>
<td>charcoal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AA-32704</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1610–1680.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1760–1800.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1930–1940.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>T1S2</td>
<td>-25.6</td>
<td>170 ± 50</td>
<td>1650–1940</td>
<td>10–300</td>
<td>1650–1890.85</td>
<td>predates most recent event</td>
<td>peat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta-117480</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1910–1940.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>T1S3</td>
<td>-27.1</td>
<td>720 ± 55</td>
<td>1210–1390</td>
<td>560–740</td>
<td>1210–1340.79</td>
<td>postdates penultimate event</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AA-32705</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1350–1390.21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>T2N2</td>
<td>-26.7</td>
<td>245 ± 45</td>
<td>1490–1940</td>
<td>10–430</td>
<td>1490–1600.24</td>
<td>postdates most recent event</td>
<td>wood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AA-32706</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1610–1810.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1920–1940.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>T2N3a</td>
<td>-29.1</td>
<td>205 ± 45</td>
<td>1630–1950</td>
<td>0–420</td>
<td>1530–1540.01</td>
<td>postdates most recent event</td>
<td>woody peat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AA-32707</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1630–1710.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1720–1880.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1910–1950.13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>T3N20</td>
<td>-29.0</td>
<td>170 ± 40</td>
<td>1660–1950</td>
<td>0–290</td>
<td>1660–1710.19</td>
<td>postdates last surface rupture</td>
<td>charcoal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta-117481</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1720–1880.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1910–1950.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Section/unit IV</td>
<td>-26.8</td>
<td>1970 ± 40</td>
<td>50 B.C.–A.D. 120</td>
<td>1830–1990</td>
<td>approximate age of shoreline 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>charcoal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta-117479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>T3W1</td>
<td>-28.5</td>
<td>5550 ± 50</td>
<td>4490 B.C.–4260 B.C.</td>
<td>6440–6210</td>
<td>approximate age of shoreline 1</td>
<td></td>
<td>organic silt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beta-117482</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Conventional radiocarbon ages reported by Beta Analytic (samples labeled Beta-xx) or University of Arizona (samples labeled AA-xx). Calculations assume a Libby half-life (5568 yr). Uncertainties are 1 standard deviation counting errors.
†Dendrochronologically calibrated, calender age ranges from CALIB Rev. 4.2, Method B, 2 standard deviation uncertainty. Rounded to nearest decade. Stuiver et al. (1998).

(4, 5, and 6; Table 2) collected from unit T2/3-2 provide radiocarbon ages indicating that these sediments were deposited within the last several hundred years. Beneath the erosional contact at the base of unit T2/3-2, coarse, strongly lithified, reworked volcanic agglomerate (unit T2/3-6) of the former lakebed overlies finely laminated lake sediments (unit T2/3-8). The lake sediments contain freshwater mollusks and extend westward beneath the former shoreface of shoreline 2 (Fig. 5 and 6). Both the agglomerate and the lake sediments are faulted and display normal separation down to the east.

Discussion
Timing of the Most Recent Earthquake on the Aeropuerto Fault

Results from the three excavations provide evidence for the most recent surface rupture and constraints on its age. Based on sample 2 from trench 1, this event occurred sometime after 1650 A.D. (Fig. 5; Table 2). Samples 4 and 6 from trenches 2 and 3 postdate the most recent earthquake and thus provide minimum ages for this event (assuming the sample ages are approximately the same as the age of the sediment in which they are deposited). The full 2-sigma calibrated age ranges for both of these samples extend to the present (A.D. 1490–1940 and 1660–1950, respectively). The probability distribution for sample 4 indicates that the A.D. 1920–1940 age range is unlikely, having a probability of only 5%. In addition, because relations in trench 1 show that this event occurred after A.D. 1650, we can also rule out the earliest interval for sample 4 (A.D. 1490–1600). Thus, the interval A.D. 1610–1810 is the probable interval for the age of sample 4, indicating that the most recent earthquake occurred before A.D. 1810. This is consistent with the probability distributions for samples 5 and 6 (Table 2).

An interval A.D. 1650–1810 for the most recent surface rupture on the Aeropuerto fault indicates that this was a historical event. There are a number of earthquakes reported from Nicaragua during that time interval, but the largest earthquakes reported in the Managua region are those of 1663, 1764, and 1772 (Leeds, 1974), coinciding with volcanic unrest and eruptions from Masaya Caldera.
Figure 6. Log of trench 2 (for trench 3, see Fig. 7), showing strong evidence for the most recent surface-rupturing earthquake, and some evidence suggesting an earlier event. The most recent event is represented by numerous faults that displace unit T2/3-6 but do not displace unit T2/3-2. The ages of radiocarbon samples 4, 5, and 6 all indicate that unit T2/3-2 was deposited within the last few hundred years. Analysis and comparison of the probability distributions for the radiocarbon analyses from all three trenches indicate that the most recent surface-rupturing earthquake probably occurred between A.D. 1650 and 1810. Units exposed in these trenches include lacustrine beds (unit T2/3-8) containing a radiocarbon sample that dates to 6210–6400 calibrated years B.P. The lacustrine beds are overlain by reworked volcaniclastic deposits (unit T2/3-6) and unfaulted fluvial deposits (units T2/3-1 and T2/3-2). The fault between meters 0 and 1 in trench 2 and meters 3 and 4 in trench 3 breaks unit T2/3-8, but not unit T2/3-6, suggesting that an earlier event may have occurred prior to the deposition of unit T2/3-6. This earlier event is most likely the same event we interpret from relations in trench 1.
Timing of the Penultimate Earthquake on the Aeropuerto Fault

The age of the penultimate earthquake is poorly constrained in trench 1 by the radiocarbon date of sample 3 (Fig. 5; Table 2). This sample postdates the penultimate earthquake, because it was collected from unit T1-3B, which overlies unit T1-7A, and the penultimate event horizon is at the top of unit T1-7A. The date for this sample, A.D. 1210–1390, indicates that the penultimate earthquake on the Aeropuerto fault occurred prior to A.D. 1390.

To provide a closer estimate of the age of this event, we attempted to correlate the Quaternary stratigraphy between the trenches and a streambank exposure nearby and then develop a model that integrates the local geomorphology and Quaternary stratigraphy. A few hundred meters east of the Aeropuerto fault, about 150 m southeast of shoreline 2, is a 1.5-m-high stream bank exposure of Holocene lacustrine, volcanic, and fluvial deposits, which we logged in detail (Figs. 3 and 8). The lower part of the sedimentary sequence comprises typical lacustrine sediments (unit S-8), which are overlain by and interfinger with reworked volcanic material (unit S-7), indicating a transition to near-shore conditions and a substantial influx of volcanic sediments. These conditions give way to a marsh environment (unit S-6), consistent with a further fall in lake level to a height below that of this exposure, impeding drainage. Unit S-6 is overlain by overbank deposits (units S-5, S-3, S-2, and S-1) indicative of fluvial deposition. A further fall in lake level to the present elevation has been accompanied by local down-cutting, which has exposed this record of sedimentation.

We propose that these sediments record a change in depositional environment from the high stand of the lake that produced shoreline 1, to impeded drainage conditions and a marsh environment associated with the formation of shoreline 2. Finally, the shoreline 2 berm was breached, and the modern through-going drainage system and current lake level were established. If this model is correct, the radiocarbon age of sample 7 (Table 2) collected from unit S-6 (Fig. 7) provides a minimum age for the age of formation of shoreline 2 (and therefore a minimum age for occurrence of the penultimate rupture event) of 1830–1990 calibrated years B.P.

The shoreline 2 berm is composed of volcanic sand that was reworked by lacustrine shoreline processes. This indicates that the shoreline 2 berm formed after an influx of volcanic sediments in and near the lake. The youngest volcanic unit mapped in this area is known as the Masaya Tuff and is estimated to be 2.3 to 6.5 ka (Bice, 1980). The penultimate rupture of the Aeropuerto fault occurred before the formation of shoreline 2. If shoreline 2 formed shortly after the eruption of the Masaya Tuff, the penultimate earthquake occurred before about 2000 years B.P., consistent with our interpretation of the streambank exposure and the age of sample 7.

We suggest that the shorelines represent temporary high stands of Lake Managua associated with the damming of the lake outlet in the aftermath of large eruptions, probably from Masaya Caldera. The reworked volcanic sediments exposed in trench 1 (units T1-7A, T1-7B, and T1-7C, Fig. 5) and trenches 2 and 3 (units T2/3-6, Figs. 6 and 7) are probably derived from the products of those eruptions. However, the reworked volcaniclastic units exposed in trench 1 were not deposited at the same time as those exposed in trenches 2 and 3. Those exposed in T2 and T3 were deposited later than those deposited in T1. We do not know how much time elapsed between the eruption or eruptions and the deposition of the reworked volcanics exposed in the trenches and stream-bank exposure. The penultimate rupture of the Aeropuerto fault occurred after the deposition of the reworked volcanic units in trench 1, and before the formation of shoreline 2. If both volcaniclastic units are derived from the Masaya Tuff, the penultimate earthquake occurred between approximately 2 and 6.5 ka. No lacustrine units are exposed in trench 1, indicating that the volcaniclastic units in trench 1 postdate the lacustrine high-stand of shoreline 1 and implying that lacustrine units are present beneath the floor of the excavation.

In trenches 2 and 3, we interpret lacustrine deposits (unit T2/3-8) similar to those observed in the streambank exposure, to be deposited when shoreline 1 was the lake edge. These lacustrine deposits underlie the volcanic unit (unit T2/3-6) that is reworked to form the base of the shoreline 2 berm. The fault exposed between meters 0 and 1 in trench 2 (Fig. 6), and between meters 3 and 4 in trench 3 (Fig. 7) terminates between units T2/3-8 and T2/3-6. This relationship indicates that the penultimate surface rupture may have occurred after the deposition of unit T2/3-8, but prior to the deposition of unit T2/3-6. Sample 8, collected from unit T2/3-8 in trench 3 (Fig. 7), provides a maximum age for this event of 6440 years B.P. (Table 2).

Lake Managua Shorelines and Estimates of Aeropuerto Fault Slip Rates

The two former shorelines of Lake Managua both formed across the Aeropuerto fault. If our stratigraphic model is correct, shoreline 1 was abandoned sometime in the last 6.4 ka, and prior to 1.9 ka. This is consistent with previous studies in which lake deposits were documented about 6 m above the modern shoreline east of the Cofradía fault near Tipitapa (Fig. 2). Those deposits were dated at about 4000 (shells) and 6000 radiocarbon years (peat) (Dames and Moore-Lamsa, 1978). Shoreline 1 is preserved continuously along the southern margin of Lake Managua west of the Aeropuerto fault, but is absent east of the Aeropuerto fault within the Airport graben (Fig. 3). This indicates that the shoreline is buried within the Airport graben on the eastern, down-dropped side of the fault.

Two detailed topographic profiles across the fault scarp south of shoreline 2 (p2 and p3 in Figs. 3 and 9) indicate a scarp height of 2 to 3 m. Trench 1 shows that fluvial deposition has occurred on both sides of the fault since shoreline
Figure 7. Log of trench 3. Commentary as under Fig. 6.
Figure 8. Log of streambank exposure (see Fig. 3) that records a transition from lacustrine conditions (unit S-8) associated with shoreline 1, through a fall in lake level and a volcanic eruption (unit S-7) that we interpret to be associated with the formation of shoreline 2. A marsh environment (unit S-6) subsequently formed behind the shoreline 2 berm. The fluvial overbank deposits (units S-5, S-3, S-2, and S-1) are associated with breaching of the berm and further retreat of the lake. We correlate unit S-8 with unit T2/3-8 in trenches 2 and 3, and unit S-7 with unit T1-7 in trench 1. The age of unit S-6 (1830–1990 calibrated years B.P.) provides an estimate for the time of formation of shoreline 2 (unit T2/3-6 exposed in trenches 2 and 3) and a minimum age for the volcanic eruption and the penultimate surface rupture on the Aeropuerto fault.

Shoreline 2 intersects the Aeropuerto fault several hundred meters north of shoreline 1 (Fig. 3), but the two shorelines merge approximately 1 km farther west. The geometries and relative positions of the modern shoreline and shoreline 2 reflect probable footwall uplift and local tilting of the region west of the Aeropuerto fault. Shoreline 2 is present on both sides of the Aeropuerto fault (Fig. 3). Figure 9A is a profile constructed across the fault along the inner base of the berm. This profile shows a scarp height across the fault of between about 1.0 and 1.7 m, and tilting of the surface farther west.

If our model of the local Quaternary stratigraphy is correct, the shoreline 2 berm formed about 2 ka ago. The berm width is greatest adjacent to the downthrown side of the fault, implying that sediment accumulated at the base of a pre-existing scarp. This geometry implies that the measured scarp height (profile p1, Fig. 9A) represents a maximum estimate of vertical fault slip since the time the berm formed. A maximum of 1.0 to 1.7-m slip in 2000 years gives a maximum vertical slip rate estimate of 0.5 to 0.9 mm/yr. A similar rate is indicated by a 1.0-m offset across the scarp on the younger lakebed surface north of shoreline 2 (profile p4, Fig. 9). This surface is younger than the berm and therefore implies a minimum vertical slip rate estimate of 0.5 mm/yr in less than 2000 years.

The abrupt changes in the thicknesses of units across the fault splays shown in trench 1 (e.g., unit T1-7B across F5, Fig. 5), and the observed historical, left-lateral slip of nearby active faults, all suggest a component of horizontal slip on the Aeropuerto fault; however, the sense and amount of lateral slip across the Aeropuerto fault remains uncertain. We suggest that if the shoreline 2 berm had accumulated as much as 10 m of lateral offset we would be able to detect it, so the lateral slip rate must be less than about 5 mm/yr. The role of the Aeropuerto fault in the overall structure and kinematics of the Managua Graben may differ from that of the strike-slip faults to the west, and may instead be linked to the evolution of the Masaya Caldera located farther south (Fig. 2).

Conclusions

The Aeropuerto fault forms the western boundary of the Airport graben, the deepest part of the Managua Graben. The most recent earthquake on this fault occurred between A.D. 1650 and 1810. The historical record suggests this may have been the earthquake of 1663, 1764, or an event in 1772 associated with the largest historical eruption of Masaya Caldera. The penultimate event most likely occurred 2000 to 6440 years B.P.

We estimate the vertical slip rate on the Aeropuerto fault to be 0.3 to 0.9 mm/yr, based on vertical offsets of the two abandoned shorelines, and our estimate of their ages at less than 6.4 ka and approximately 2 ka, respectively. Although we collected some information that strongly implies a component of horizontal slip, we cannot be certain of the sense...
and amount of lateral slip. Our analysis of the Aeropuerto fault and a comparison with similarly oriented active faults in Managua suggest that lateral slip must be accumulating at a rate of less than 5 mm/yr. The available data imply that fault rupture events occur at intervals of more than 1000 years to produce the observed displacements. Additional data on slip rate and timing of paleoearthquakes are needed to better assess the Holocene behavior of the Managua faults and to investigate the influence of magmatic processes on the nature of faulting in the Managua Graben.
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